

Data Resource Guide

Fiscal Year 2018

Successful Youth
Strong Leaders
Safer Communities

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

Data Resource Guide Fiscal Year 2018

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

State of Maryland
Larry Hogan, Governor
Sam Abed, Secretary
December 2018

This guide fulfills the Statutory Reporting Requirements set forth in Md. Human Services Code Ann. §9-204 regarding the Agency's comprehensive juvenile services plan as well as reporting juvenile recidivism rates.

This Data Resource Guide as well as previous editions can be found on the DJS website:
<http://djs.maryland.gov/Pages/Data-Resource-Guides.aspx>

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

EXECUTIVE STAFF

Sam Abed, Secretary
Jay Cleary, Chief of Staff
Lynette Holmes, Deputy Secretary for Support Services
Linda McWilliams, Deputy Secretary for Operations
Scott Beal, Executive Director of Community Services
Betsy Tolentino, Executive Director of Pre-Adjudication Services and Reform
Gavin Patashnick, Director of Legislation and Policy

PROJECT LEADERS

Lynette Holmes	Fang Qian
John Irvine	Urjita Rami
Susan Nicely	

REVIEW TEAM

DeVon Bailey	Lavinia Jackson
Orode Dorsu	Susan Nicely
Patricia Elmore	Falguni Patel
Oneika Ford	Fang Qian
Shane Hall	Urjita Rami
Lynette Holmes	Claire Souryal-Shriver
John Irvine	Marci Stables

LAYOUT DESIGN

Susan Nicely

The DJS Executive Staff and Project Leaders would like to thank everyone who contributed to this document. Our appreciation goes to the members of the agency who contributed a wealth of information with special thanks to Michael DiBattista, Esther Diggs, Cory Fink, Patricia Flanigan, Lisa Garry, Donna Hamilton, Michael Ito, Wallis Norman, John Wisniewski, and Anthony Wynn. We would also like to thank Jill Farrell at the University of Maryland, School of Social Work, The Institute for Innovation and Implementation, Jessie Ash at the Maryland Department of Planning, and Boyce Williams at the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services. We are especially grateful to the field staff who gather the data necessary to complete the *Data Resource Guide*.

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

Letter from the Governor	iv
A Word from the Secretary	v
Commonly Used Acronyms	vi
Terms and Concepts	vii

SECTION I: AN OVERVIEW OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services (DJS)	3
Organizational Chart and Agency Organization	5
DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2018	6
Professional Training and Education Unit	7
Department of Juvenile Services Historical Evolution Highlights	8
Flow of FY 2018 Case Referrals	12
Maryland Department of Juvenile Services Process Flowchart	14

SECTION II: INTAKE AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision	19
Statewide, Regional, and County Activity	22
Regional, County, and Long-Term Trends	88

SECTION III: DETENTION

Introduction to Detention	105
Statewide Activity for Detention Centers	108
Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer	116
Specific Detention Facility Information	118
Alternatives to Detention (ATD)	132

SECTION IV: COMMITTED PROGRAMS

Introduction to Committed Programs	139
Statewide and Committed Programs by Type	144
State-Operated Facilities	164
Silver Oak Academy (Private Provider)	178
Community-Based Family Therapy Programs	180

SECTION V: RECIDIVISM RATES

Measuring Recidivism Rates	185
Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases	187
Recidivism Rates for Youth with First-Time Probation Dispositions	199

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Community Services Staffing Distribution as of June 30, 2018	205
Appendix B: Detention Center Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2018	206
Appendix C: Committed Program Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2018	206
Appendix D: State-Operated Facility Expenditures, FY 2018	207
Appendix E: State-Operated Facility Per Diems & Average Annual Costs, FY 2018	207
Appendix F: Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI)	208
Appendix G: DJS Housing Classification Assessment/Reassessment for Youth in Detention	212
Appendix H: DJS Housing Classification Assessment/Reassessment for Committed Youth	214
Appendix I: DJS Youth Vulnerability Assessment Tool	216
Appendix J: Racial Impact Assessment Lens	220
Appendix K: Crimes of Violence	222
Appendix L: Maryland Citations Pertaining to DJS	222
Appendix M: Maryland Comprehensive Assessment & Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen	225
Appendix N: Questions from the DJS MCASP Needs Assessment	228
Appendix O: Relative Rate Index (RRI)	232
Appendix P: Census Information 2017 Population Estimates by Race	235
Appendix Q: Number and Ratio of Youth, Cases, and Placements by County, FY 2018	236
Appendix R: Classification of Offenses	237
Appendix S: Offenses Related to Youth Detained Pending Adult Criminal Charges	242
Appendix T: Accountability Incentives Management (AIM)	243

DJS STATISTICAL SUMMARY INFORMATION SHEET (PULLOUT)

.....	245
-------	-----

Letter from the Governor...

Dear Fellow Marylanders:

It is my pleasure to present the Department of Juvenile Services' (DJS) FY 2018 Data Resource Guide.

Since taking office, our administration has invested tens of millions of dollars in public safety, education, economic development, and the fight against the opioid crisis. We continue to work together to ensure the safety and well-being of our children and the community, and the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services is an important partner in our efforts.

The safety and success of Maryland's youth and families are a top priority. Our administration has committed funding for additional education and job training programs to provide at-risk youth with opportunities to succeed. In Baltimore City, we have increased crime reduction initiatives through a number of law enforcement and community-based programs. By providing more resources to keep our schools and neighborhoods safe, we will ultimately keep as many young Marylanders as possible out of the criminal justice system.

The Department of Juvenile Services plays a critical role in public safety and are involved in nearly every stage of the juvenile justice process. The Department continues to lead the way with effective techniques to collaborate with families, law enforcement, and community partners, as well as measures to ensure maximum openness and transparency, like this Data Resource Guide.

With the help of the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services and our other state agencies, we can improve the lives of our most vulnerable population and change Maryland for the better.

Sincerely,



Larry Hogan
Governor



Larry Hogan

A Word from the Secretary...

Welcome to the eighth edition of the Department of Juvenile Services' Data Resource Guide (DRG). Our Department is a data-driven agency and the data we collect not only assist us in our decision-making process but many of our stakeholders, as well. The information contained in the DRG provides a detailed look at our system and the ongoing trends in recent years. We publish the DRG every year to meet statutory obligations and provide more transparency to our partners, both on the local and State level, as well as to the general public. I hope that the DRG provides the information you need and insight into Maryland's juvenile justice system.

As you look through this year's publication, you will see that overall juvenile complaints declined 12.4% since FY2016. Even though recidivism rates have been comparatively steady for youth on probation and released from committed placements, those being served are generally higher-risk youth than in previous years. This shows the Department has had some success at diverting lower-risk youth from probation and commitment.



Sam Abed

As the Department strives to fulfill its mission of successful youth, strong leaders and safer communities, DJS continues to work towards providing a more supportive and customer-oriented approach to our youth and families.

In FY 2018, the Office of Family Engagement facilitated a system-wide reform to create a standardized toolkit of activities to enhance family visitation events at each of DJS' 13 secure facilities. These activities were designed for families and youth to learn together and bond with the staff at the facility. For example, families and youth interacted through yoga and meditation classes and learned how to use those skills when they returned home to promote better relationships. With the implementation of these family programs, over 1,000 families participated in over 100 activities in DJS facilities throughout Maryland.

As always, I would like to thank everyone involved in the creation of this year's DRG, especially the Department's Research and Evaluation Unit, who analyzed and compiled the data. Without their tireless efforts, the DRG would not become a reality. I would also like to thank all of the hard working employees of the Department of Juvenile Services for contributing to success of the youth in our care. Our success is measured by the success of our youth. I look forward to building on our successes to improve the lives of youth, making communities safer and changing Maryland for the better.

Sincerely,

Sam Abed

Commonly Used Acronyms

ACA	American Correctional Association
ADP	Average Daily Population
AIM	Accountability Incentives Management
ALOS	Average Length of Stay
APD	Assistant Public Defender
ART®	Aggression Replacement Training
ASA	Assistant State's Attorney
ASSIST	Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools
ATD	Alternatives to Detention
AWOL	Absent Without Leave
BCDC	Baltimore City Detention Center
BCJJC	Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center
BHDI	Behavioral Health Diversion Initiative
BMHS	Baltimore Mental Health Systems
CD	Community Detention
CDS	Controlled Dangerous Substances
CHHS	Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School
CINA	Child In Need of Assistance
CINS	Child In Need of Supervision
CJAMS	Child, Juvenile, and Adult Management System
CJCA	Council of Juvenile Correctional Administrators
CJIS	Criminal Justice Information System
CMS	Case Management Specialist
CMSS	Case Management Specialist Supervisor
COMAR	Code of Maryland Regulations
COP	Certificate of Placement
CRC	Central Review Committee
CYPM	Crossover Youth Practice Model
D/ERC	Day/Evening Reporting Center
DBM	Department of Budget and Management
DDA	Developmental Disabilities Administration
DHS	Department of Human Services (formerly DHR)
DJS	Department of Juvenile Services
DMC	Disproportionate Minority Contact
DPSCS	Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services
DRAI	Detention Risk Assessment Instrument
DRAP	Detention Reduction Advocacy Program
DRG	Data Resource Guide
EBS	Evidence-Based Services
EM	Electronic Monitoring
FAFP	For Authorization of Formal Petition
FCT	Family Centered Treatment
FFT	Functional Family Therapy (an EBS)

FY	Fiscal Year
GOC	Governor's Office for Children
GOCCP	Governor's Office of Crime Control & Prevention
GPS	Global Positioning System
ICFA	Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions
IRC	Interagency Rates Committee
JCR	Joint Chairmen's Report
JDAI	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative
JJDP	Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act
JJMU	Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit
LESCC	Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center
MAST	Multi-Disciplinary Assessment Staffing Team
MCASP	Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning
MDH	Maryland Department of Health (formerly DHMH)
METS	Maryland Evaluation & Treatment Services (formerly SMART)
MHA	Mental Hygiene Administration
MSDE	Maryland State Department of Education
MST	Multisystemic Therapy (an EBS)
MYRC	Maryland Youth Residence Center
NCCD	National Council on Crime and Delinquency
NFS	Non-Fatal Shooting
OJJDP	Office of Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention
OPD	Office of the Public Defender
PBIS	Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports
PREA	Prison Rape Elimination Act
RA	Resident Advisor
RICA	Regional Institute for Children and Adolescents
RRI	Relative Rate Index
RTC	Residential Treatment Center
RTSP	Residential Treatment Service Plan
SAB	State Advisory Board (DJS)
SAO	State's Attorney's Office
SOS	Spotlight on Schools Program
SPI	Safety Planning Initiative
START	Social Skills Training & Aggression Replacement Techniques
TAMAR-Y	Trauma, Addiction, Mental Health, and Recovery - Youth
TFC	Treatment Foster Care
TGH	Therapeutic Group Home
TIC	Trauma Informed Care
TSP	Treatment Service Plan
VOP	Violation of Probation
WMCC	Western Maryland Children's Center

Terms and Concepts

Absent Without Leave (AWOL): A youth who absconds from a non-secure residential program.

Accountability Incentives Management (AIM): A structured statewide system of responses to deter negative behaviors and encourage positive behaviors of youth under court-ordered community supervision. AIM seeks to reduce technical violations of supervision by ensuring certain, fair, and immediate responses to youth behavior that promote successful completion of supervision.

Adjudicatory Hearing: Proceeding before a juvenile judge or magistrate to determine whether allegations made against a youth are true. If the allegations concerning the commitment of a delinquent act are found to be true, the youth may be adjudicated delinquent.

Admission: See definition for “commitment versus admission” and “placement versus admission.”

Aftercare: Supervision and individualized treatment services provided to youth in the community following discharge from a residential program. A youth is assigned an aftercare worker at the time of commitment.

Alternatives to Detention (ATD): Community-based program options that provide structured supervision and accountability of youth who would otherwise be detained pending adjudicatory hearings in the juvenile court.

Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST): DJS client database.

Average Daily Population (ADP): Daily population of youth averaged over the number of days in a given time period.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS): Average total number of days in residential placement between admission and release. Youth detained in more than one facility during a contiguous stay are counted as a single placement.

Behavioral Health Diversion Initiative (BHDI): An initiative funded by the Annie E. Casey Foundation to screen and divert youth for mental health services.

Case Management Specialist (CMS): DJS staff who provide case management services to youth in community and residential settings. Case managers provide supervision, develop treatment plans, link youth with necessary resources and services, monitor progress, and modify treatment plans as needed.

Central Review Committee (CRC): A central committee that convenes weekly to hear case reviews of youth at risk of removal from a committed placement, direct changes in the youths’ provision of services, and make youth placement transfer decisions. Members include the Directors of the Behavioral Health and Resource Offices, the Executive Directors of Residential Placements and Community Supervision, and a representative from MSDE.

Certificate of Placement (COP): The document which reflects a youth’s placement location, services, and authorizes service payment.

CHALLENGE: A behavior modification program focused on improving youth behavior, and maintaining safety and security for youth in DJS detention facilities. It helps youth develop positive behaviors through a system of rewards and support, and teaching youth to take responsibility for their behavior. In DJS committed facilities the program has been updated to incorporate PBIS principles and was renamed STARR in 2018. It is slated to be modified in detention facilities in the near future.

Child In Need of Assistance (CINA): A youth who has been physically, sexually, or emotionally abused or neglected by a parent or other person responsible for the youth’s care.

Child In Need of Supervision (CINS): A youth who is habitually truant, disobedient, ungovernable, or who commits an offense applicable to youth only.

Commitment versus Admission: A commitment is a court order placing a delinquent youth in DJS’ care, which usually results in placement into an out-of-home program, but in some cases services may instead be provided in home. An admission occurs when a juvenile physically arrives at a facility and is officially entered onto the facility’s roster, and this normally occurs some weeks after the court order. A single admission to an out-of-home program could be the result of multiple commitments - a juvenile may be committed by more than one court, or be committed on multiple charges - and a youth may be admitted to several programs under a single commitment order. Thus, the number of commitments will not equal the number of admissions to committed programs.

Community Detention (CD): A DJS program that monitors a delinquent child or a child alleged to be delinquent is placed in the home of a parent, guardian, custodian, other fit person, or in shelter care, as a condition of probation or as an alternative to detention (ATD). Community detention includes electronic monitoring (EM).

Complaint: A written statement made by any person or agency to a DJS intake officer, which if true would support allegations of a juvenile petition.

Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM): The goal is to coordinate casework of youth who are dually involved in both the juvenile justice system and the child welfare system and foster increased communication and collaboration between the staff members of DJS and local Department of Social Services. DJS partnered with Georgetown University to implement the CYPM in Prince George's County in 2015. Since then, the model is being slowly rolled out in other counties.

Day/Evening Reporting Center (D/ERC): A program that serves youth as an alternative to detention. Youth are required to report daily to ensure the youth is monitored and gets back to court for hearings.

Delinquent: A youth who has been adjudicated for an act which would be a crime if committed by an adult and who requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation.

Detention: The temporary care of respondents who, pending court dispositions and other hearings, require secure custody for the protection of themselves or the community, in physically restricting facilities or circumstances. A youth may be detained if a court determines that they might fail to appear for a future hearing, continue to break the law, or pose a threat to the public or themselves. Detention may be utilized for pending hearings before adjudication or after disposition. A youth's detention status is required to be reviewed every 30 days.

Detention Hearing: A court proceeding to determine whether a youth shall be placed in or continued in detention.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI): An assessment of a youth's risk to reoffend and/or fail to appear for future court dates used to guide whether the youth should be detained, placed in a detention alternative, or released to a parent/guardian.

Direct Care Staff: An employee whose primary duty is to provide direct supervision of youth.

Disposition: The action taken by the juvenile court that outlines whether the youth requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation and, if so, the nature of such assistance, e.g., probation terms or commitment. (Note: In adult courts, this is known as a "sentence.")

Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC): A rate of contact with the juvenile justice system among youth of a specific minority group that is significantly different than the rate of contact for whites (i.e., non-Hispanic Caucasians) or for other minority groups (See RRI definition).

Diversion: A program or practice where the primary goal is to reduce the occurrence of juvenile crime by diverting a youth from the traditional juvenile justice system and providing an alternative to formal processing. Diversion programs and practices vary in terms of the juvenile justice contact point at which the youth is diverted and the types of services provided. Juveniles may be diverted by law enforcement before arrest, during DJS intake, or between adjudication and disposition.

Ejection from Committed Placement: A youth's removal from an out-of-home placement upon determination that he/she failed to comply with the rules and conditions of the program. Following an ejection a youth may require a new out-of-home placement. A youth may remain in detention pending a new placement.

Electronic Monitoring (EM): A statewide program providing close monitoring of youth in the community as an alternative to residential placement/detention. Youth wear an ankle bracelet that electronically monitors their movement and compliance to established location parameters. This may involve the use of global positioning systems (GPS).

Escape: Absconding from a secure DJS residential program (including youth centers) or detention facility (including Community Detention).

Evidence-Based Services (EBS): Programs that have been found to be effective based on the results of rigorous evaluations.

Family Centered Treatment (FCT): A family preservation model of in-home treatment provided by the Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc. (IFCS). The IFCS team utilizes FCT to help families learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns.

Family Engagement: A collaborative relationship between families and the DJS throughout the youth's involvement in the system.

Felony vs. Misdemeanor: In Maryland a crime is either a felony or a misdemeanor. Generally, felonies are the more serious of these two types of crimes. However, there is no clear line for determining whether a crime is a felony or misdemeanor based on the statutory maximum penalty associated with the offense. Unless specified in a statute or the offense was a felony at common law, a crime is considered a misdemeanor. Most statutes specify whether a crime is a misdemeanor or a felony. Common law crimes retain common law grades as either felonies or misdemeanors unless changed through the legislative process. The General Assembly may choose to label a statutory crime a felony or misdemeanor independent of the amount of punishment the statute provides. The General Assembly may also choose to change the status of a crime from a misdemeanor to a felony or a felony to a misdemeanor.

Fiscal Year (FY): The time period measured from July 1st of one year to June 30th of the following year. FY 2018 runs from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018.

For Authorization of Formal Petition (FAFP): DJS has statutory authority to screen all juvenile complaints referred. A case not resolved or diverted through informal pre-court agreement that is referred by a DJS intake officer to a juvenile court for formal processing.

Functional Family Therapy (FFT - an EBS): An outcome-driven prevention/intervention program for youth demonstrating the entire range of maladaptive behaviors such as delinquency, violence, substance use, Conduct Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, or Disruptive Behavior Disorder. Flexible delivery of service is provided by one- or two-person teams to clients in home, clinic, school, juvenile court, community-based programs, and at re-entry from institutional placement.

Global Positioning System (GPS): A global navigation satellite system that provides location and time information for youth monitored with a global positioning system receiver.

Group Home: A residential program licensed by DHS, DJS or MHA/MDH to provide 24-hour supervised out-of-home care for 4 or more youth providing a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services.

Hardware Secure Facility: A facility that relies primarily on the use of construction and hardware such as locks, bars, and fences to restrict freedom.

Informal (or “Pre-Court”) Supervision: An agreement between DJS and a youth and family to complete certain conditions such as entering into counseling and/or DJS monitoring without court involvement.

Intake: The process for reviewing a complaint against a youth and determining whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public and/or the youth. During intake, youth and their family may be offered services.

Juvenile Court: A division of the Circuit Court in Maryland.

Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI): A best practice model that addresses inappropriate and unnecessary use of detention and reduces the failures of juveniles to appear in court. A primary goal of JDAI is to reduce overcrowding in detention centers by safely maintaining youth in the community in detention alternatives without jeopardizing public safety or increasing the number of youth who fail to appear for court.

Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit (JJMU): Administratively housed in the Office of the Attorney General, the unit investigates the needs of children under the jurisdiction of DJS and determines whether their needs are being met in compliance with State law. This includes evaluating the conditions of youth housing, reporting on treatment of and services to youth, and investigating allegations of child abuse.

Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP): MCASP uses integrated case management to assess risks and needs of youth throughout their DJS involvement and develop interventions to accomplish the goals of public safety and youth rehabilitation. MCASP enables DJS to strengthen individualized service plans for youth and families and match them with appropriate services/programs; to track youth progress; and to ensure that each youth receives the level of supervision consistent with his or her risk to public safety.

Maryland Evaluation and Treatment Services (METS - formerly SMART): A web-based platform that provides key case management functions, including assessments, contacts, and treatment service planning in coordination with the DJS ASSIST system.

Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC): Under DPSCS, MPCTC is vested with the authority to set standards of initial selection and training for all governmental law enforcement, correctional, parole and probation, and juvenile services employees in the State of Maryland, and to otherwise upgrade the professionalism of these officers. MPCTC provides certification and ongoing training to DJS staff.

Misdemeanor: See definition for “felony versus misdemeanor.”

Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST): A specialized regional diagnostic team responsible for assessing and evaluating youth who are detained and at risk of out-of-home placement, prior to disposition. Following the in-depth review, the MAST prepares security and treatment recommendations to the juvenile court. The MAST includes psychologists, social workers, community and facility case managers and supervisors, resource specialists, MSDE representatives, and individuals from other disciplines as needed.

Multisystemic Therapy (MST - an EBS): An intensive family- and community-based treatment program that addresses the serious anti-social behavior of juvenile offenders. The major goal of MST is to empower parents and youth with the skills and resources needed to independently resolve the difficulties that arise in coping with family, peer, school, and neighborhood problems. Intervention strategies include family therapy, structural family therapy, behavioral parent training, and cognitive behavior therapies. MST is a home-based model of service delivery.

Pending Placement: A temporary placement status for youth who have been committed for placement in an out-of-home residential facility and are awaiting placement, including youth ejected from a committed placement. Youth may be “pending placement” in a variety of settings including: detention facility, home, home with additional services, home under community detention and/or electronic monitoring, family shelter care, structured shelter care, acute care hospitals, or psychiatric respite care programs.

Petition: A formal written request filed by the State’s Attorney’s Office with the juvenile court alleging that a child is delinquent, in need of supervision (CINS) or in need of assistance (CINA).

Placement versus Admission: A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention or a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Thus, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS): An implementation framework for maximizing the selection and use of evidence-based prevention and intervention practices along a multi-tiered continuum that supports the academic, social, emotional, and behavioral competence of youth in DJS facilities. PBIS principles guide the provision of medical, behavioral health, education, and recreation services, as well as Trauma Informed Care (TIC) and DJS’ STARR program. Facility teams use data on youth behavior to evaluate the effectiveness of facility operations, and youth behaviors and progress. The principles of PBIS are being used to enhance the CHALLENGE behavior modification program, which is now known as the STARR program in DJS committed facilities.

Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Training (PACT): PACT Evening Reporting Center serves youth 14 to 17 years of age in Baltimore City as an alternative to detention. It utilizes a youth development model and works to collaborate with participants, their families, DJS, and other partners to develop a plan to address the underlying issues which lead to anti-social or delinquent behavior.

Probation: Court-ordered supervision of youth in the community requiring youth to meet court-ordered probation conditions (general and case specific), including, for example, school attendance, employment, community service, restitution, counseling, or participation in substance abuse treatment.

Racial Equity: A condition that would be achieved if one’s racial or ethnic identity no longer predicted, in a statistical sense, how one fares.

Recidivism: Subsequent juvenile/adult criminal involvement of youths released from committed residential programs/placed on probation.

Relative Rate Index (RRI): A measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool used to assess the level of racial disparity introduced at each decision point (detention, court referral, etc.) For example, RRI compares the detention rate for white youth with the detention rate for youth of a specific minority group. (See Appendix O)

Re-entry: A broad term used to refer to issues related to the transition from out-of-home committed placement to community supervision. Generally, re-entry involves a plan to ensure the service delivery once youth return to the community.

Resident Advisor: DJS staff who provides supervision, support, care, and monitoring of youth placed in DJS-operated facilities.

Residential Treatment Center (RTC): A mental health facility for children and adolescents with long-term serious emotional, behavioral, and psychological problems. RTCs provide intensive services and should only be considered when therapeutic services available in the community are insufficient to address a youth's needs. In addition to Maryland RTCs, DJS uses a variety of out-of-state providers, including RTCs funded through Medical Assistance, with rates set by the Maryland Interagency Rates Committee, and facilities that are not RTCs and serve moderate-to-high-risk multi-problem youth. These are youth who may be exhibiting moderate psychiatric symptomatology and aggressive behavior, or who have histories of unsuccessful/repeated placements and/or hospitalizations. Treatment models vary depending on the client focus of the program but all provide individualized treatment plans, are comprehensive in services, highly structured, treatment oriented, and behaviorally focused.

Residential Treatment Service Plan (RTSP): A structured treatment planning tool to guide treatment services for youth placed in DJS-operated committed programs.

Resolved at Intake: A determination that furthering the case by forwarding it to the State's Attorney's Office for formal processing would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety.

SafeMeasures: An analytic service provided by the National Council on Crime & Delinquency which provides timely management reports and indicators to DJS case management, supervisory, and executive staff. SafeMeasures pulls data from DJS' existing databases and creates visual indicators and reports to ensure that agency's requirements for effective facility operations, case management, and supervision are tracked and met.

Safety Planning Initiative (SPI): An intense supervision initiative for youth in DJS care who are witnesses to, or victims of violent acts, or otherwise at risk of violence. Prior to July 2015, these youth were under the auspices of VPI and captured within those data.

Shelter Care: Temporary, short-term (1-30 days), non-secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition. Shelter beds serve as an alternative to detention or other short-term circumstance where family or other housing is not available.

Social History Investigation (SHI - formerly PDI): The written study of a youth and his/her family that is presented to the juvenile court. An SHI emphasizes social and legal histories as well as the domain areas of: family functioning, substance abuse, mental health, somatic health, education, employment, and life skills.

Social Skills Training and Aggression Replacement Techniques (START): Based on Aggression Replacement Training (ART), which is an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral, group intervention for committed youth with chronic aggression. START focuses on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning, including skills streaming, anger control training, and moral reasoning training.

Spotlight on Schools (SOS) Program: An initiative to place case managers on-site at certain key schools across the state. DJS Spotlight workers monitor and respond immediately to attendance issues, referrals for disruptive behavior, suspensions, and drop-out issues for youth under DJS supervision, and offer prevention and early intervention services to other at-risk students. In addition, SOS workers provide immediate, on-site intake services for students involved in delinquent activity.

Staff Secure: Residential programs where youth movement is controlled by staff supervision rather than by restrictive architectural features.

STARR Program: An enhanced version of the CHALLENGE behavior modification program which incorporates PBIS principles and is focused on improving youth behavior. It is used throughout each committed facility, as all staff and youth are involved in the program. The STARR program teaches youth skills to address negative behaviors and emotions, and develop positive behaviors through a structured reinforcement system that includes rewards, supports, and levels. Youth are taught to take responsibility for their behavior, and a safe, predictable, and positive environment is maintained within facilities. It accomplishes these goals by focusing on the following positive behaviors that are captured in the STARR acronym: Solve Problems in a Mature and Responsible Manner; Task Focused; Act as a Role Model; Respect Self, Others, Facility Property, and Rules; Responsible for my Behavior. STARR is in use in DJS committed facilities, and the change from CHALLENGE to STARR will occur in detention facilities in the near future.

State Advisory Board: The Board consists of 19 members appointed by the Governor for 3-year terms. The Board recommends to the Secretary of Juvenile Services policies and programs to improve State juvenile services. The Board helps plan development, use of resources, and helps inform the public of the Department's work.

Trauma, Addictions, Mental Health, and Recovery For Youth (TAMAR-Y): A structured trauma education group co-led by behavioral health clinicians and case managers that teaches youth to identify stress and trauma triggers. Youth also learn skills such as deep breathing and mindfulness techniques to address their reactions to stress and trauma triggers. TAMAR-Y uses a multimedia approach that includes videos to stimulate discussion, and incorporates expressive arts therapies that involve writing and drawing activities to engage youth and help them develop a better understanding of their experiences. Some of the topics covered in groups include: Understanding Trauma's Impact, Dealing with Triggers, Trauma and Addiction - How We Cope, Keeping It Together - Containment, Who I Am, and Connections.

Treatment Service Plan (TSP): A written document identifying treatment objectives, services, and service linkages that address the needs of the youth and family. It also examines the safety and appropriateness of the youth's placement, guides DJS' recommendations to the juvenile court for permanency planning (where appropriate), and monitors level of supervision and services required.

Under 13 (U-13) Initiative: A school-based intervention designed to provide support and services for juvenile offenders ages 12 years and younger through collaborative partnerships between DJS, Baltimore City/PG County Public Schools, the local Department of Social Services, and other child-serving stakeholders.

Ungovernable: Defined as being beyond the control of parents, guardians, or custodians or being disobedient of parental authority.

Violation of Probation (VOP): A hearing conducted by the court to determine if the conditions of community supervision have been violated. A VOP is considered a technical violation if it does not involve a new delinquent offense.

Youth: The preferred term for individuals under 18 years of age as used by DJS.

Section I: An Overview of the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services



Students at the Cheltenham Youth Detention Center had the opportunity to explore new career opportunities as well as new forms of learning such as cooperative learning activities utilizing makerspace tools. A makerspace is a collaborative work space inside a school, library or separate public/private facility for making, learning, exploring, and sharing that uses high-tech to no-tech tools.

Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

MISSION OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES (DJS)

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

The Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) is an executive agency charged with the responsibility of appropriately managing, supervising and treating youth who are involved in the juvenile justice system in Maryland. DJS provides individualized care and treatment to youth who have violated the law or who are a safety risk to themselves or others. Objective screening and assessment tools are utilized to manage youth with the guidance of the data collected. DJS works with partners in the community to achieve meaningful improvements to the outcomes of the youth served.

Vision

Successful Youth, Strong Leaders, Safer Communities

DJS Strategic Plan Goals

1. Improve positive outcomes for justice-involved youth.
2. Only use incarceration when necessary for public safety.
3. Keep committed and detained youth safe while delivering services to meet youth needs.
4. Ensure a continuum of care for justice-involved youth that is age- and developmentally-appropriate.
5. Build, value, and retain a diverse, competent, and professional workforce.
6. Enhance the quality, availability, and use of technology to improve services for staff, youth and families.

DJS Values

- The safety of the citizens of Maryland and the fair, safe, and humane treatment for all youth in our care.
- The families, communities, stakeholders, and staff who support positive change in our youth.
- The experience, expertise, diversity, and integrity of our staff.
- Fairness and cultural competence regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual identity, or religion.
- Creating opportunities for youth and families to promote positive growth and development.
- A community-based approach with the least restrictive appropriate interventions.
- Continuous improvement and learning in all individuals.
- Excellence, innovation, and quality practices based on data and research.
- Change for the growth opportunities it brings.
- Accountability.
- Collaboration and teamwork.

OBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT TOOLS

Objective screening and assessment tools are used to guide key decisions. For example, DJS Intake Officers are statutorily empowered to screen juvenile offenses and determine which complaints should be referred to the State's Attorney's Office for formal court petitioning. This decision is guided by a risk assessment tool that is part of the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) process. It factors in a youth's history of delinquency, history of social risk factors, and the seriousness of the current offense(s) to identify those cases requiring court action.

The Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) evaluates whether a youth should be held in secure detention or placed in a supervised detention alternative while awaiting a hearing on the merits of a juvenile complaint. This tool helps the Department improve its detention practices by taking subjectivity out of the critical detention decision, with a goal of detaining only those youth who pose a risk to public safety, to themselves, or who would not otherwise appear in court.

If a youth has been adjudicated delinquent, the Department then utilizes the MCASP Needs Assessment to identify the youth's treatment and security needs, and serves as the basis for the Treatment Service Plan (TSP) development. Using an objective instrument allows the Department to make better matches between youth and the course of treatment available within the continuum of care. The continuum of care spans in-home probation supervision with services, community-based out-of-home treatment, and state and privately operated secure programs, all designed to address youth needs and the factors that led the youth to delinquent behavior.

MANAGING WITH DATA

The information developed from assessment tools as well as information collected by caseworkers, investigators, auditors, and facility staff is used in all levels of DJS management. Data reports are available to field staff and managers through the Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST) and SafeMeasures reporting systems. Data are also regularly analyzed by the Research and Evaluation staff, generating reports for agency decision makers, the Governor's Office, and reports to the Maryland General Assembly. This *Data Resource Guide* represents the most comprehensive report of the Department's aggregated data. County- and program-level data are readily available to all. This is crucial for practitioners because statewide trends could mask local trends. As innovative new ways of examining information are uncovered, these will be incorporated into this publication.

COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS

The Department's partnerships start in the community. Throughout the State, the Department works with local law enforcement, courts, health departments, attorneys, and other stakeholders to keep Department youth safe and supported. Field staff make referrals to community-based providers who help meet the treatment needs of youth under supervision in the community.

State partners, such as the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), the Maryland Department of Human Services (DHS) and the Maryland Department of Health (MDH) collaborate at many levels to deliver services in the most effective manner. DJS also works closely with the Maryland State Police (MSP), and the Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention (GOCCP) to ensure information is shared across agencies, and public safety goals are met.

Re-entry Strategic Plan Goals


After implementing a number of front-end system reform initiatives in recent years, DJS expanded its reform efforts to the committed youth population. A statewide retreat brought DJS' community and residential staff and administrators together with agency stakeholders including representatives from the Judiciary, Office of the Public Defender, State's Attorney's Office, service providers, and the advocacy community.

DJS' Strategic Re-Entry Plan was developed and implemented in FY 2016 with goals, objectives, and performance measures to ensure that youth released from committed placement successfully transition to life back in their home communities. Among the objectives are transition planning components for family engagement, re-enrollment in school, and connecting to work opportunities.

- Goal 1: Reduce recidivism by providing supervision to all youth returning home from committed care.
- Goal 2: Engage families of committed youth at all key case planning decision points.
- Goal 3: Connect all committed youth needing educational services to local education resources.
- Goal 4: Connect all youth to local employment services and resources.
- Goal 5: Connect all youth in need of behavioral or somatic health services to local resources to provide continuity of care.

Organizational Chart

AS OF NOVEMBER, 2017



Agency Organization

The Department of Juvenile Services serves the entire state by providing support for community programs and services, community supervision and case management, and custody and care of committed juveniles.



Headquarters, located in Baltimore City, houses the Office of the Secretary and functions as the hub for all support services.

Agency functions are divided among the following:

- The Secretary oversees the Chief of Staff, Deputy Secretaries, Executive Directors of Community Supervision, Pre-Adjudication Services & Reform, Office of the Inspector General, and the Office of Legislation & Policy.
- The Chief of Staff oversees the Office of Fair Practice/Equal Employment, the Office of Communications/Special Projects, and the Office of Family Engagement.
- The Deputy Secretary for Support Services manages the following offices: Research and Evaluation, Budget and Fiscal Services, Capital Planning and Budgeting, Information Technology, General Services, Human Resources, Professional Training and Education and Inventory Control and Fleet Management.
- The Deputy Secretary for Operations oversees residential operations including the managing director of the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC)/transportation services, as well as Somatic Health, Behavioral Health/Substance Abuse, and Mental Health Services.

DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2018


During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2018, the Department expended a total of \$265.9 million. The first pie chart reflects the major cost centers for which these funds were expended, and the second shows the expenditures by major object group.



Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Professional Training and Education Unit (PTEU)

The PTEU supports DJS by engaging its employees in innovative training opportunities around the policies that ensure the use of effective procedures and practices in juvenile justice. PTEU manages and guides a spectrum of tactical professional development opportunities that help to sustain the strategic direction of DJS leadership. PTEU plans, designs, and implements professional training opportunities for managers, supervisors, and staff along a continuum ranging from Entry Level Training (ELT) for its newest employees to Executive Training for its leaders.



PTEU plays a critical role in the Department's ability to attract and retain skilled and talented employees. Upon initial recruitment, all staff already possess personal attributes that are assets to the agency. PTEU's aim is to increase knowledge, enhance skills, and enrich staff attributes so that they are equipped and motivated to achieve DJS' vision, mission, and goals. In its training delivery, PTEU strives to uphold DJS core values and contribute to an optimistic organizational culture. PTEU offers:

- **Entry Level Training** is the first encounter that a new staff member has once they successfully complete the hiring process. PTEU conducts several 6-week ELT sessions for newly hired employees each year. In FY 2017, ELT embraced the core value of continuous improvement and learning for all individuals by adding new elements to the training such as collaborating with Carroll Community College to offer a 12-hour grammar class and the awarding of 5 college credits for students who successfully complete ELT and OJT.
- **On-the-Job Training** (OJT) continues to build proficiency and confidence by providing more individualized hands-on learning.
- **In-Service Training** is coordinated by training administrators within each region. Administrators ensure 100% compliance with in-service training requirements set forth by the Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commission's (MPCTC) regulations for mandated staff within their purview. In addition, they manage and coordinate annual in-service training programs to comply with state and national standards for juvenile justice training.
- **Aspiring Supervisor Training** is a four day program offered to staff seeking to build their knowledge, skills, and abilities around supervisor duties.
- **Supervisor Training** sessions are offered each quarter so that employees who have been promoted to supervisory positions can fulfill the requirement within one year as required by COMAR.
- **Specialized Training/Initiatives** are also offered as appropriate. Examples of recent specialized training conducted between January 2017 and May 2018 include:
 - Collaborating with DJS' Human Resources and Behavioral Health to offer the Adult Mental Health First Aid (AMHFA) training program and certified 72 DJS staff as first responders of mental health crises
 - Conducting three MPCTC approved General Corrections Basic Instructor Train the Trainer (T for T) courses that increased the number of certified adjunct instructors by 41.
 - Collaborating with national experts from The Moss Group to achieve PTEU's goal of building capacity to meet federal standards for the delivery of mandated PREA refresher training for facility staff and authorized providers.
 - Being an active participant in new initiatives implemented at DJS that partner with outside organizations such as Trauma Informed Care (TIC); Trauma, Addiction, Mental Health and Recovery - Youth (TAMAR-Y); and Positive Behavioral Interventions & Supports (PBIS).



Entry Level Training Class with Secretary Abed

- Building capacity to deliver online training through technology. Currently, PTEU is collaborating with various units within DJS to develop its own e-learning training to be deployed via the HUB.

The **PTEU Certification Unit** is responsible for maintaining the accuracy of the Skills Manager training database. Skills Manager is a robust system used for collecting, managing, and reporting of records related to professional development, education, and training of all employees for the length of their careers. The Unit reports duty, training, and certification status of mandated employees to the MPCTC on a continuous basis. The Unit also submits requests for training program approvals, instructor certifications, and the year-end training compliance report for mandated employees.

Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution

1800s

- 1830: Maryland Legislature passed “An Act to Establish a House of Refuge for Juvenile Delinquents” that created for the first time an authority to provide troubled children with homes, education, and job training.
- 1850: House of Refuge opened.
- 1850-1882: Maryland built four “reform schools” for young people, governed by private boards and segregated by race and sex.
- 1866: Maryland Industrial School for Girls opened, and operated under various names, finally as the Montrose School, and closed in 1988.
- 1870: House of Reformation & Instruction for Colored Children opened. It operated under various names, renamed to the Cheltenham Youth Facility in 1992 and became Cheltenham Youth Detention Center in 2016.
- 1882: Industrial Home for Colored Girls opened. It merged with Montrose School for Girls in 1962.

1910-1918

- House of Refuge (opened in 1850) became Maryland School for Boys (1910) and in 1918 became the Maryland Training School for Boys.

1922

- State Department of Education operated the training schools.

1943

- State Department of Public Welfare, Bureau of Child Welfare, Division of Institutions operated the training schools.

1960s

- 1966-1969: State Department of Juvenile Services became the central coordinating agency for juvenile investigation, probation and aftercare services, and for State juvenile, diagnostic, training, detention, and rehabilitation institutions.
- 1967: State Department of Juvenile Services assumed administrative responsibilities for all State children’s centers and boys’ forestry camps.
- 1968: Patterson House (Group Home for Girls) opened. It closed in 1992.
- 1969: Department of Health and Mental Hygiene organized the Juvenile Services Administration to administer all schools, youth detention centers, forestry camps, and probation/aftercare programs.

1970s

- 1970: Alfred D. Noyes Children’s Center opened in Montgomery County.
- 1972: Group Home for Boys opened, became the William Donald Schaefer House in 1992.
- 1972: Maryland Youth Residence Center (MYRC) opened.

1980s

- 1982: J. DeWeese Carter Center opened.
- 1985: Maryland Training School for Boys became the Charles H. Hickey Jr. School (CHHS).
- 1987: Juvenile Services Administration became an independent agency.
- 1988: The Montrose School closed.
- 1989: The Department of Juvenile Services became a cabinet-level department.

1990s

- 1992: Victor Cullen Academy, which was a former tuberculosis sanatorium, opened.
- 1992: Boys’ Village of Maryland renamed to Cheltenham Youth Facility (CYF).
- 1999: In November, DJS replaced its existing mainframe application, Information System for Youth Services (ISYS), with a client-server system referred to as the Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST).

2002

- Victor Cullen Academy closed.

- HB 1081 - Required the establishment of a community detention program.
- HB 1011 - Required the Department to operate the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC) as a centralized regional juvenile justice intake, assessment, court, and detention facility for Baltimore City and specified the powers and duties related to the Center.
- HB 962 - Authorized the juvenile court to adopt a treatment service plan recommended by the Department in making a disposition on a specified petition. It also required DJS to ensure that a treatment service plan adopted by the court was implemented within 25 days after disposition.

2003

- Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center opened in October.

2004

- Day/Evening Center in Baltimore City opened in December.
- SB 767 /HB 1141 - Required a child discharged from a committed residential placement to receive step-down aftercare according to specified parameters.

2005

- Charles H. Hickey Jr. School Committed and Impact Programs closed in July.
- HB 1339 - Required the Secretary of Juvenile Services to establish a Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program in Baltimore City and County.

2007

- Victor Cullen Center reopened in July.
- Maryland Youth Residence Center closed in October.
- SB 359 - Reorganization and Regionalization required DJS to serve youth with specified programming that delivered services on a regional basis.

2008

- Group Home Reform - Keeping Maryland Youth in Maryland Per Diem Reduction Initiative.
- Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) began in Baltimore City in January and statewide in November.
- Day/Evening Reporting Center opened in Prince George's County in November.
- SB 742 - Provided that all contracted residential child care programs must post a "Residents' Bill of Rights" in the facility and provide residents and their parents/guardians a handbook of the policies of the provider.
- Thomas O'Farrell Youth Center closed in December.

2009

- Collaboration with Operation Safe Kids to serve VPI youth in Baltimore City and Prince George's County.
- Silver Oak Academy opened (private provider serving only DJS youth).

2010

- Child Safety Net Dashboard launched in February.
- HB 1382 - Allowed DJS to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in the District of Columbia and Virginia.

2011

- SB 62 - Authorized the State Department of Education and the Department of Juvenile Services to share educational records when necessary to ensure the appropriate delivery of services.
- HB 1190 - Expanded the Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program to include Cecil, Montgomery, and Prince George's Counties.
- The committed female population moved from Waxter Facility to J. DeWeese Carter Center in November.
- CHALLENGE behavioral management program implemented at Carter Center in November.

Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution (continued)

2012

- SB 245 - Allowed DJS to begin to develop a continuum of care by granting the agency the ability to move youth between committed placements.
- CHALLENGE implemented at Victor Cullen Center in March, the Youth Centers in August, and William Donald Schaefer House in October.
- Central Review Committee was formed to implement the terms of SB 245 and commenced its operation in July.
- The Tier I Human Sex Trafficking Screening Tool was adopted at the Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center in March to screen for victims of human sex trafficking and was expanded to the Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center in December.

2013

- HB 245 - Expanded DJS' ability to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in Delaware, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.
- Silver Oak Academy received approval to increase their treatment capacity from 48 beds to 96 beds.
- Under-13 (U-13) Initiative commenced in Baltimore City in May. The U-13 Initiative is a school-based intervention designed to provide support and services for juvenile offenders ages 12 years and younger through collaborative partnerships between DJS, Baltimore City Public Schools, the local Department of Social Services, and other child-serving stakeholders.
- From March to May, over 56 training sessions were held where approximately 1,100 Department employees learned to recognize the signs of possible human sex trafficking victimization among youth involved in the juvenile justice system and apply appropriate responses to support possible victims.

2014

- The Department began screening for victims of human sex trafficking at the Charles H. Hickey Jr. School in March.
- The U-13 Initiative expanded to Prince George's County in May.

2015

- Crossover Youth Practice Model Initiative implemented in Prince George's County in April.
- Accountability Incentives Management (AIM) - DJS Graduated Responses Initiative implemented statewide in July.
- SB 172/HB 618 - Required eligible youth who were charged as adults and required detention to be held in juvenile detention facilities.
- Seven of the thirteen DJS-operated facilities were audited and earned 100% compliance with federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards.

2016

- DJS Re-entry Strategic Plan approved in January.
- Offices of Family Engagement and Equity and Systems Reform created in February.
- William Donald Schaefer House closed for youth and staff on May 26, 2016.
- Continuum of Care Legislation expired in June.
- VPI ended at the close of FY 2016.
- On November 17, 2016 the new Cheltenham Youth Detention Center opened with a capacity of 72 beds, replacing the 115-bed Cheltenham Youth Facility.
- The remaining six DJS-operated facilities not audited in 2015 were audited and earned 100% compliance with federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards.

2017

- Crossover Youth Practice Model Initiative implemented in Montgomery County in April.
- Behavioral Health Diversion Initiative (BHDI) pilot implemented in Baltimore City and Wicomico County.

2018


- Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) implemented in DJS committed facilities in January. The Challenge behavioral management program modified to be consistent with PBIS principles, and renamed to STARR.

- Family Peer Support Program implemented in September in Baltimore City and Prince George's, Baltimore, Anne Arundel, and Wicomico Counties to link youth and families involved in the juvenile justice system to community resources.
- After several months of construction, Savage Mountain Youth Center reopened as a hardware secure facility with enhanced educational and therapeutic programming.



Cheltenham Youth Detention Center when it was called the House of Reformation


Flow of FY 2018 Case Referrals



In FY 2018:


- There were a total of 19,659 juvenile complaints processed by DJS.
 - 45.0% (8,849) of those juvenile complaints were referred to the State's Attorney for the authorization of a formal petition (as opposed to being resolved at intake or through a 90-day period of pre-court supervision).
- Of the 8,849 juvenile complaints referred to the State's Attorney, 87.7% (7,760) were petitioned and resulted in a court disposition. The remaining 12.3% includes 6.2% not petitioned (553), 5.4% denied by State's Attorney (478), and 0.6% initial petition withdrawn (55), and 0.0% Non Est (3).
- Of the 7,760 court dispositions:
 - 32.1% (2,493) received probation and 13.4% (1,043) were committed to DJS.
 - The remaining 54.5% were dismissed/closed, continued, transferred, nolle pros., pending disposition, jurisdiction waived, writ pending, inter-region/state, or other.
- Of the 1,043 committed court dispositions, there were 77.2% (805) committed admissions with 500 distinct youth. The remaining 238 include community commitments and youth who had already been in a committed placement prior to the new intake.
 - Of the 805 committed admissions, 50.4% (406) were placed into DJS-operated facilities while 49.6% (399) were placed into non-DJS-operated facilities. Of those placed into non-DJS-operated facilities:
 - 34.3% went to a group home, 30.8% went to a RTC, 3.0% went to an ICFA, and 13.5% went to Silver Oak Academy.
 - The remaining 18.3% were placed out-of-state, in foster care, or in independent living facilities.

Department of Juvenile Services Historical Evolution Highlights Since 2008



Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

Process Flowchart



STEPS IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM (SEE PROCESS FLOWCHART)

1. Youth can be referred to DJS by law enforcement, schools, citizens, and parents. Some police departments run diversion programs, and only those youth who fail out of the program would be referred to DJS. Youth may also be apprehended by law enforcement agencies (on a writ or warrant) for failing to appear in court or violating the conditions of supervision while in an alternative to detention program, for example.
2. If requested by law enforcement, DJS makes an emergency detention decision to determine if a youth requires secure detention until the next court day. This decision is guided by a Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI).
3. The intake complaint is assessed by an intake officer, who has statutory authority to determine how the case should be handled. The options are:
 - Disapprove as legally insufficient;
 - Resolve, when it is determined that furthering the case would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety;
 - Informal pre-court supervision, where the family signs a 90-day agreement to certain conditions without court involvement. This is the main DJS court diversion program; or
 - Formally authorize the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court for a hearing.
4. At the detention hearing, the juvenile court determines if detention is required until the adjudicatory or dispositional hearing. Youth may also be detained directly by the juvenile court in cases where the youth is presented during court hours, either on a new charge, a writ or warrant, or due to a violation or sanction of a supervision order (probation, community detention, drug court, etc.). DJS operates all secure juvenile detention centers.
5. Youth who present a lower risk may also be supervised in programs providing alternatives to secure detention. These programs ensure that the youth is available to attend the adjudicatory hearing. Youth must comply with certain restrictions, which include house arrest, electronic monitoring, or day and/or evening reporting centers. Structured shelter is also used for cases where the youth cannot return home after arrest but otherwise represents a lower risk.
6. DJS formally authorizes the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court. The State's Attorney then reviews the complaint, and may dismiss it, or file a petition to the juvenile court.
7. At the adjudicatory hearing, the juvenile court determines the outcome of the petitioned charges, which can be sustained or not sustained.
8. While a youth is awaiting disposition and/or supervision or placement, DJS conducts a series of assessments and investigations which will guide the DJS recommendation to the court on how the case should be handled.
9. For cases where the charges are sustained, a dispositional hearing is held to determine if the youth requires supervision by DJS under a probation order, or will be committed to DJS' care which usually indicates an out-of-home placement.
10. For youth whose disposition is probation, DJS case managers provide supervision and services while the youth resides at home. Supervision intensity varies depending on the risk level of youth. Standard community supervision levels include low, moderate, high, and intensive supervision.
11. Youth who are committed to the Department for out-of-home placement may continue to wait in detention "pending placement" for an appropriate placement to become available.
12. Youth who are committed to an out-of-home placement may be placed in a broad variety of programs (state-run or private, secure or non-secure) depending on the risk level and treatment needs of the youth.
13. DJS has committed diversion programs for youth who might otherwise be placed out-of-home. These in-home programs provide services and treatment to the youth and family, including Functional Family Therapy (FFT), Multisystemic Therapy (MST), and Family Centered Treatment (FCT).
14. Youth returning home from a committed placement are supervised on aftercare by DJS case managers who, along with regional re-entry specialists, ensure that youth are connected in the community with required services including education, employment, and health services.

Section II: Intake and Community Supervision



Seven youth under DJS supervision from Harford and Cecil counties took part in a 5-week equine class at Freedom Hills Ranch in Port Deposit. Through therapeutic interactions with the horses, the youth can reduce anxiety, build empathy, and develop healthy relationships focused on caretaking.

Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision

The DJS Community Services Division provides a continuum of services to youth across multiple stages of the juvenile justice system. Juvenile justice processing begins at the point of intake. At this stage, DJS intake officers receive complaints from persons or agencies – private citizens, schools, victims or law enforcement agencies – and assess whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is warranted. Community Services staff supervise DJS-involved youth who have been placed on Informal (or Pre-Court) Supervision, Community Detention and Electronic Monitoring (CD/EM), Probation, and Aftercare Supervision. Case supervision and management tasks will be described in more detail below.

Juvenile Intake

DJS intake officers review all delinquent and Child in Need of Supervision (CINS) complaints, citations, referrals for service, and peace order requests. Intake officers are directed to make a determination within 25 days as to whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction, and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public or the child. DJS intake officers are authorized to either: (a) disapprove a complaint as legally insufficient; (b) resolve the matter at intake; (c) propose an informal adjustment period (also called pre-court supervision); or (d) authorize the filing of a petition by the State's Attorney's Office. DJS is required to forward all felony and handgun violation complaints to the State's Attorney's Office for review.

The intake decision-making process may involve an interview with the youth, parent and/or guardian, and where applicable, the victim(s). The intake decision is also guided by the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen (see Appendix M). The MCASP Intake Risk Screen is completed at intake for all alleged offenses except citations, CINS offenses (e.g., runaway and truant complaints), and traffic offenses. MCASP Intake Risk Screen items are used to create a delinquency history score and a social history score. The instrument generates a recommended intake decision based on the intersection of these two scores and the nature of the most serious alleged offense (whether it is a felony or misdemeanor).

In addition to assessing the merit of complaints received at intake and making the case forwarding decision, DJS intake officers are responsible for determining whether juveniles who have been taken into custody require secure detention or may be released to a parent, guardian, or other responsible adult and, if so, under what conditions. Some youth are released to a caregiver without any additional restrictions or conditions. Other youth are released to a caregiver and required to participate in an alternative to detention (ATD) program such as CD/EM pending a court hearing on the next court date. Lastly, some youth are detained or placed in shelter care pending a court hearing on the next court date.

Intake officers are directed by statute (Md. Code, Courts and Judicial Proceedings, section 3-8A-15) to authorize detention if detention is deemed necessary to protect the youth or others, or if the youth

is deemed likely to leave the jurisdiction of the court. Shelter care may be utilized for youth requiring care, but not in a secure setting. Additionally, shelter care can be utilized if a parent, guardian, or custodian is not available to provide supervision and care until the child returns to court.

The decision to authorize detention is guided by a decision-making tool called the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI). The DRAI is designed to provide an objective assessment of the probability that a youth will reoffend or fail to appear for future court dates. The DRAI was validated during FY 2017 and revised effective July 1, 2017 (see Appendix F for the validated instrument).

Intake services are provided by DJS regions during normal working hours (i.e., Mon-Fri., 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.). The Baltimore City Region provides 24/7 intake services and houses a centralized intake unit at the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center. The centralized intake unit provides state-wide intake services during non-traditional work hours and/or holidays for all regions across the state.

Community Supervision - Informal Adjustment (or Pre-Court Supervision)

As discussed above, one option for a case received at DJS intake is to handle the case informally without involving the juvenile court through an Informal Adjustment/Pre-Court Supervision period of up to 90 days. Pre-Court Supervision is an agreement executed by the DJS intake officer that stipulates conditions of the supervision period. The agreement requires consent by the youth, parent/guardian, and victim (where applicable). Approval by the State's Attorney's Office is required for a felony offense. Agreements are tailored to the individual circumstances of the case, and may include the payment of restitution, the completion of community service hours, as well as participation in specialized counseling or treatment programs such as substance abuse treatment. In some circumstances, the period of informal supervision may be extended to 180 days to allow for the youth to participate in a substance abuse or mental health treatment program. Note that if a youth fails to meet the conditions of the agreement, the DJS intake officer may elect to forward the case to the State's Attorney's Office for review.



Staff and youth from Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center made cards for the Anne Arundel Medical Center's DeCesaris Cancer Institute to support the fighters and survivors of breast cancer.

Community Supervision – Community Detention

DJS provides regional community detention and electronic monitoring services (CD/EM) typically for youth whose cases have been forwarded to the juvenile court at intake and are pending adjudication or disposition. The program operates as the primary state-run ATD. CD/EM allows youth to continue participating in community activities such as school or work, and helps maintain community ties and support systems. Note that CD/EM may also be ordered by the court as a condition of probation or aftercare supervision.

Community Detention supervision includes face-to-face and telephone contacts as well as random unannounced visits at home, school, and work. The level of supervision depends on the assessed supervision needs of each youth and the court-ordered release conditions. Community Detention officers (CDOs) are trained to detect violations of court-ordered release conditions and report any changes in a youth's home or school situation. Youth who violate the conditions of release may be withdrawn from the program and placed in secure detention.

The electronic monitoring component provides an additional layer of supervision. Compliance to the program is monitored electronically using an ankle bracelet placed on the youth by a CDO and a receiver placed in the youth's home. All electronic events related to the youth's schedule and the equipment functionality are received in real time. The Command Center and assigned CDO carefully review the information in order to ensure that supervision violations receive proper intervention and follow-up.

The CD/EM command center is located in Baltimore City. This centrally-operated command center provides 24/7 staffing.

Community Supervision – Probation

Youth who are adjudicated and found delinquent by the juvenile court may be placed on probation under the supervision of a DJS case management specialist (CMS). Probation is the most frequently used

juvenile court disposition. A probation term requires youth to abide by general supervision conditions, as well as any special conditions imposed by the court.

At the start of probation supervision (or in some instances, prior to disposition if ordered by the juvenile court), a Social History Investigation and report is completed by the assigned CMS. This report describes the social adjustment and circumstances of the youth and their family.

In addition, the CMS completes an MCASP Needs Assessment that includes components of the MCASP Intake Risk Screen completed at intake but provides a much broader and more comprehensive assessment of individual need. The MCASP Needs Assessment categorizes youth as having either low, moderate, or high need on each of the following treatment domains (which have been shown to influence risk for re-offending): (a) School; (b) Use of Free Time; (c) Employment; (d) Community Relationships; (e) Family; (f) Alcohol and Drugs; (g) Mental Health; (h) Attitudes and Aggression; and (i) Neighborhood Safety. See Appendix N for a list of MCASP Needs Assessment items.

The CMS uses the Social History Investigation and MCASP Needs Assessment to develop recommendations to the juvenile court and to create a Treatment Service Plan (TSP). A TSP is completed for each youth under court ordered supervision and includes the recommended supervision level for the youth, specific goals for the youth and family to meet, and a statement of services to be provided to the youth and family. In developing the TSP, input from youth, parents and/or guardians, and service providers (as appropriate) are also solicited.

Community Supervision – Aftercare and Re-entry

Aftercare supervision is similar to probation supervision in that the CMS performs many of the same supervisory tasks and relies on the same tools for decision-making (e.g., the MCASP Needs Assessment). Aftercare services are provided for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS for placement outside the home, or for the receipt of in-home services in some jurisdictions. A CMS is assigned to the case upon commitment. Planning for aftercare is initiated before the youth is placed in a residential program.

Aftercare case management is comprehensive, beginning with assessments of the youth's progress while in residential care, to the identification and monitoring of services needed upon release. Building on the services provided in the residential placement, the CMS is also responsible for managing the re-entry process which ensures that youth and family are linked to services in the community, monitors the youth's adjustment, and ensures compliance with any court directives. Additionally, a variety of programs is available to

assist youth returning to the community; including independent living programs, transitional educational services, and employment programs (e.g., job readiness training, career exploration, and vocational training). As a step-down to community supervision, some youth are also monitored with GPS supervision.

Interstate Compact (IC) Unit

The IC unit provides for the cooperative supervision of probation and aftercare youth moving from state to state and the return of runaways, absconders, and escapees. As of 2014, a new compact was enacted forming the Interstate Commission for Juveniles and all 50 states are currently members. The Commission governs each member state as to the provision of proper supervision or return of juveniles, delinquents, and status offenders on probation or aftercare who have absconded, escaped, or run away and in so doing endangered their own safety or the safety of others. It is the responsibility of each member state to provide


supervision and services to ICJ cases using the same standards that prevail for its own juveniles placed on probation or aftercare supervision.

Note to Readers:

Starting in the 2017 DRG, the table “Court Action for Formaled Cases” is organized as follows:

1. Like actions are grouped together. For example: “Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, and Non Est” all represent cases that did not proceed to court, and “Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros” cases went to court, but did not make it to an adjudicatory decision.
2. In cases with more than one result (e.g. Continued then Dismissed), the ultimate action is now shown for all cases, (as of the time of data extraction.) Previously, the first action was shown for most cases, except for continued/STET.

DJS Offices by County and Region



Total Statewide Activity

There are six regions across the state that encompass 24 jurisdictions.

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	1.3%	1.5%	2.0%
Citizen	2.5%	2.6%	2.2%
Police*	92.2%	91.8%	91.3%
Violation of Probation**	4.0%	4.0%	4.5%
Total Complaints	22,444	21,532	19,659

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	35.9%	38.7%	39.8%
Informed	15.6%	14.5%	15.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	48.6%	46.8%	45.0%
Total Complaints*	22,444	21,532	19,659
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.4%	12.1%	12.3%
Stet	9.0%	8.7%	9.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.1%	0.8%	0.8%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	28.2%	26.7%	22.5%
Pending Disposition**	4.8%	7.1%	13.3%
Services Not Ordered	1.9%	1.1%	1.1%
Probation	29.1%	29.7%	28.2%
Committed to DJS	12.2%	12.4%	11.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other***	1.2%	1.2%	0.9%
Total Formal Complaints	10,897	10,080	8,849

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	432.5
Pre-Court	742.3
Probation	2,204.4
Aftercare	668.6
Committed-Aftercare	411.5

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Maryland population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.1% (from 531,270 to 530,962).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 45.0% of complaints were formaeled while 15.2% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 12.4%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 29.1% to 28.2%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 12.2% to 11.8%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 33.2 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.3 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 80.3 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Cases Transferred to Adult Court (2.42), Secure Detention (2.39) and Confinement in a Secure Correctional Facility (2.26).
 - See Appendix O for details on the Relative Rate Index (RRI).
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 12.3% to 12.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 9.8% to 9.5%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 67.3% to 67.1%.
- *First-Time Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 25.8% (150) to 22.8% (114) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 26.6% (136) to 22.8% (114) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	63.8%	62.2%	62.0%
White	28.9%	29.9%	29.4%
Hispanic/Other	7.3%	8.0%	8.6%
Sex			
Male	73.8%	74.2%	73.6%
Female	26.2%	25.8%	26.4%
Age			
11 and under	3.6%	3.6%	3.9%
12	4.7%	4.4%	4.7%
13	7.9%	8.1%	8.4%
14	12.9%	13.3%	12.9%
15	19.7%	19.7%	18.9%
16	23.4%	22.3%	22.1%
17	25.1%	25.4%	25.9%
18-20	2.7%	3.3%	3.2%
Total Complaints	22,444	21,532	19,659

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	43.6%	44.3%	46.8%	53.4%
Assault (Felony)	1.8%	4.4%	1.0%	3.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.6%	14.4%	23.2%	14.9%
Carjacking	0.6%	1.5%	0.7%	4.6%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	1.4%	1.5%	1.6%
Handgun Violation	1.1%	2.6%	2.0%	5.9%
Harassment	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.7%
Other Person ⁺	11.4%	7.7%	6.0%	5.1%
Robbery	4.3%	9.8%	7.0%	13.2%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.2%	1.6%	2.5%	2.1%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.0%	0.4%	2.5%	1.5%
Property Offenses	33.6%	37.0%	31.6%	28.1%
Arson	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.6%	5.9%	1.6%	1.6%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.6%	3.7%	2.3%	2.8%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.1%	2.9%	3.2%	2.9%
Malicious Destruction	6.0%	4.7%	5.1%	3.7%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.5%	2.4%	1.5%	1.3%
Theft (Felony)	2.6%	4.6%	1.8%	2.1%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	12.6%	12.4%	15.5%	13.1%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.3%	6.3%	5.8%	3.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.8%	3.2%	2.2%	1.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.5%	3.1%	3.6%	1.8%
Citations	7.4%	3.0%	1.4%	1.2%
Alcohol Violation	1.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.4%	1.6%	0.8%	0.6%
Tobacco Violation	0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.0%	0.8%	0.5%	0.6%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.6%	0.6%	0.2%	0.4%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.9%	7.9%	14.4%	13.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.9%	1.9%	6.2%	6.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.8%	1.2%	3.2%	1.5%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.6%	1.0%	1.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.9%	3.7%	3.9%	4.4%
Total Offenses	33,250	5,415	1,835	680

* Offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	12.0%	31.0%	12.7%	31.3%
Felony	9.5%	21.5%	13.7%	14.6%
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	2.4%	2.3%	3.0%
- Property	5.1%	12.3%	3.9%	3.4%
- Drugs	2.6%	6.3%	2.2%	2.2%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.5%	5.2%	6.0%
Misdemeanor	67.1%	42.0%	72.1%	52.9%
- Person-to-Person	41.3%	24.4%	39.8%	28.7%
- Property	22.3%	14.5%	23.1%	18.8%
- Drugs	1.7%	1.6%	3.8%	1.6%
- Unspecified	1.9%	1.5%	5.5%	3.8%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
Citations	9.0%	2.3%	1.3%	1.0%
CINS	1.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	19,659	2,377⁺⁺	1,587	501


* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺⁺ Includes 92 placements of youth from out-of-state

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Female Statewide Activity

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
Citizen	4.5%	4.1%	3.6%
Police*	92.0%	92.9%	93.3%
Violation of Probation**	3.1%	2.6%	2.7%
Total Complaints	5,872	5,554	5,186

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	48.8%	51.2%	53.3%
Informed	16.5%	16.8%	16.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	34.7%	32.0%	30.1%
Total Complaints*	5,872	5,554	5,186
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.2%	12.6%	14.4%
Stet	10.9%	9.6%	13.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	29.2%	30.6%	22.5%
Pending Disposition**	5.7%	7.9%	12.8%
Services Not Ordered	1.8%	1.3%	1.1%
Probation	30.1%	28.8%	27.8%
Committed to DJS	7.5%	7.0%	6.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
Other***	2.0%	1.9%	1.1%
Total Formal Complaints	2,039	1,779	1,559

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	57.9
Pre-Court	205.9
Probation	385.1
Aftercare	92.0
Committed-Aftercare	57.9

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the female population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.3% (from 261,395 to 260,592).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 30.1% of complaints were formaeled while 16.6% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 11.7%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 30.1% to 27.8%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 7.5% to 6.5%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.7 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.5 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 87.2 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Data on racial and ethnic disparity are not available by sex.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.0% to 4.7%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 5.4% to 4.8%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 77.8% to 78.1%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 52.8% (38) to 37.3% (19) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased from 35.9% (23) to 37.3% (19) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.3%	60.4%	60.4%
White	32.0%	32.9%	32.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.7%	6.7%	7.0%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	3.0%	3.0%	3.4%
12	4.8%	5.0%	5.7%
13	8.7%	9.8%	10.4%
14	13.9%	14.6%	14.2%
15	21.2%	19.8%	18.7%
16	22.6%	22.6%	21.8%
17	23.7%	22.6%	23.7%
18-20	2.0%	2.6%	2.0%
Total Complaints	5,872	5,554	5,186

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	52.0%	51.9%	53.9%	65.7%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	4.2%	1.9%	2.9%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	29.0%	22.8%	34.4%	30.0%
Carjacking	0.3%	1.4%	1.0%	1.4%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	1.4%	1.0%	4.3%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%
Harassment	0.9%	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	16.6%	11.2%	11.0%	15.7%
Robbery	1.9%	9.6%	2.6%	11.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	27.2%	33.8%	28.2%	20.0%
Arson	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.7%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.0%	2.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.6%	2.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.4%	4.8%	6.5%	4.3%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.4%	1.9%	2.3%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.6%	4.3%	1.3%	1.4%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.1%	13.2%	13.6%	14.3%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.9%	1.6%	1.3%	1.4%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.3%	0.9%	0.6%	1.4%
Citations	7.4%	2.2%	0.6%	1.4%
Alcohol Violation	2.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.8%	0.9%	0.0%	1.4%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.0%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	2.9%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.0%	7.5%	15.9%	11.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.1%	1.3%	3.9%	5.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.9%	1.0%	6.5%	1.4%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.8%	3.6%	5.5%	4.3%
Total Offenses	7,755	788	308	70

* Offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	4.7%	24.4%	6.9%	17.6%
Felony	4.8%	12.6%	6.2%	3.9%
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.7%	2.2%	0.0%
- Property	3.2%	9.9%	1.4%	2.0%
- Drugs	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.2%	1.8%	2.0%
Misdemeanor	78.1%	52.0%	86.2%	76.5%
- Person-to-Person	54.0%	31.8%	55.4%	51.0%
- Property	21.4%	17.7%	23.2%	19.6%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.5%	0.7%	2.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	2.0%	6.9%	3.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.2%	2.2%	0.7%	2.0%
CINS	3.2%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	5,186	406⁺⁺	276	51
% of Statewide	26.4%	17.1%	17.4%	10.2%


* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺⁺ Includes 23 placements of youth from out-of-state

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Statewide Activity for Youth Under Age 13

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	3.3%	3.6%	2.3%
Police*	96.5%	96.1%	97.5%
Violation of Probation**	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
Total Complaints	1,864	1,710	1,702

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	42.1%	50.1%	54.2%
Informaled	21.8%	20.2%	21.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	36.1%	29.6%	24.1%
Total Complaints*	1,864	1,710	1,702
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	18.6%	14.4%	18.2%
Stet	15.0%	17.6%	14.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	29.4%	25.6%	22.6%
Pending Disposition**	4.6%	7.5%	15.3%
Services Not Ordered	0.9%	1.6%	1.0%
Probation	25.6%	27.2%	22.6%
Committed to DJS	4.2%	3.7%	3.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	1.8%	2.4%	2.4%
Total Formal Complaints	673	507	411

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	16.4
Pre-Court	89.5
Probation	96.7
Aftercare	32.5
Committed-Aftercare	2.7

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the juvenile population (ages 11-12) increased 0.4% (from 149,841 to 150,446).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 24.1% of complaints were formaeled while 21.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 8.7%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 25.6% to 22.6%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 4.2% to 3.6%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 32.5 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.4 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 108.5 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Data on racial and ethnic disparity is not shown due to the small population size.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 10.2% to 9.5%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 3.9% to 3.6%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 82.0% to 83.7%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP increased from 18.2% (2) to 25.0% (1) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and did not change between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	70.1%	65.0%	65.9%
White	26.6%	30.6%	29.0%
Hispanic/Other	3.3%	4.4%	5.1%
Sex			
Male	75.3%	74.0%	72.2%
Female	24.7%	26.0%	27.8%
Age			
8 and under	91	60	70
9	112	116	114
10	199	183	199
11	412	413	389
12	1,050	938	930
Total Complaints	1,864	1,710	1,702

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	58.5%	81.2%	50.8%	40.0%
Assault (Felony)	2.0%	17.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	32.0%	23.4%	32.8%	20.0%
Carjacking	0.1%	3.1%	1.6%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	1.6%	1.6%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	14.4%	20.3%	3.3%	20.0%
Robbery	1.1%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.3%	12.5%	1.6%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.6%	1.6%	8.2%	0.0%
Property Offenses	33.5%	14.1%	41.0%	40.0%
Arson	1.9%	6.2%	3.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.1%	1.6%	4.9%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Malicious Destruction	10.1%	4.7%	16.4%	20.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.5%	1.6%	13.1%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	4.4%	4.7%	8.2%	20.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.5%	4.7%	6.6%	20.0%
Total Offenses	2,549	64	61	5

* Offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	9.5%	69.2%	12.3%	0.0%
Felony	3.6%	3.8%	5.3%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.8%	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	83.7%	26.9%	82.5%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	55.2%	19.2%	49.1%	25.0%
- Property	26.3%	7.7%	29.8%	50.0%
- Drugs	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.9%	0.0%	3.5%	25.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	1,702	26	57	4
% of Statewide	8.7%	1.1%	3.6%	0.8%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Statewide Activity for Youth of Color

The data shown on these pages provide additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth).

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	1.7%	2.0%	2.5%
Citizen	2.7%	3.0%	2.3%
Police*	91.2%	90.4%	90.0%
Violation of Probation**	4.5%	4.6%	5.1%
Total Complaints	15,956	15,103	13,881

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	33.2%	36.2%	36.8%
Informed	13.7%	12.2%	13.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	53.1%	51.6%	49.7%
Total Complaints*	15,956	15,103	13,881
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	11.4%	11.7%	12.1%
Stet	8.4%	7.8%	8.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	30.0%	28.3%	23.0%
Pending Disposition**	4.9%	7.3%	13.9%
Services Not Ordered	2.0%	1.2%	0.9%
Probation	28.8%	28.0%	27.4%
Committed to DJS	12.4%	13.7%	12.4%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Other***	1.2%	1.1%	0.9%
Total Formal Complaints	8,475	7,788	6,898

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	360.4
Pre-Court	470.8
Probation	1,685.6
Aftercare	555.1
Committed-Aftercare	323.0

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Youth of Color population (ages 11-17) increased 5.6% (from 278,710 to 294,323).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 49.7% of complaints were formaeled while 13.5% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 13.0%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 28.8% to 27.4%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed did not change.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 34.5 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.1 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 78.7 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 14.7% to 14.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 11.4% to 11.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 66.7% to 67.7%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 25.7% (122) to 22.1% (91) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 24.9% (103) to 22.1% (91) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	89.8%	88.7%	87.8%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	10.2%	11.3%	12.2%
Sex			
Male	75.0%	75.3%	74.8%
Female	25.0%	24.7%	25.2%
Age			
11 and under	3.6%	3.4%	4.1%
12	5.0%	4.4%	4.6%
13	8.2%	8.3%	8.1%
14	13.2%	13.5%	13.2%
15	20.2%	20.5%	19.6%
16	23.7%	22.1%	22.6%
17	23.4%	24.4%	24.6%
18-20	2.7%	3.4%	3.2%
Total Complaints	15,956	15,103	13,881

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	46.5%	45.5%	49.3%	55.3%
Assault (Felony)	2.1%	4.6%	1.3%	3.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.1%	14.2%	23.0%	14.3%
Carjacking	0.7%	1.8%	0.9%	5.5%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	1.4%	1.5%	1.8%
Handgun Violation	1.4%	2.8%	2.5%	6.2%
Harassment	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.9%
Other Person ⁺	12.0%	7.6%	6.1%	5.6%
Robbery	5.4%	10.7%	9.0%	14.6%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	1.6%	2.5%	1.9%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.3%	2.2%	0.9%
Property Offenses	34.1%	36.9%	30.8%	26.9%
Arson	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.1%	6.2%	2.1%	1.8%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.5%	3.3%	2.0%	2.5%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	2.8%	2.8%	2.5%
Malicious Destruction	5.3%	4.4%	3.2%	3.2%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.3%	2.4%	1.5%	1.1%
Theft (Felony)	2.9%	4.8%	2.1%	2.5%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.4%	12.6%	17.0%	13.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.3%	6.5%	4.7%	3.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.0%	3.4%	1.8%	1.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.3%	3.1%	2.8%	1.4%
Citations	4.9%	2.0%	1.2%	0.9%
Alcohol Violation	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.4%	1.3%	0.7%	0.5%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.4%	0.6%	0.1%	0.4%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.7%	7.8%	13.9%	13.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.1%	2.1%	7.2%	6.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%	1.1%	2.0%	0.9%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.5%	0.7%	1.2%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.0%	3.6%	3.9%	4.2%
Total Offenses	24,542	4,739	1,374	568

* Offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	14.0%	33.0%	14.9%	34.5%
Felony	11.0%	23.1%	14.8%	15.5%
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	2.6%	2.5%	2.9%
- Property	6.1%	13.1%	4.7%	3.6%
- Drugs	3.0%	6.8%	1.8%	2.4%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.5%	5.8%	6.6%
Misdemeanor	67.7%	39.7%	68.7%	49.0%
- Person-to-Person	42.1%	22.7%	37.5%	27.4%
- Property	22.4%	14.3%	21.9%	17.0%
- Drugs	1.4%	1.6%	3.2%	1.0%
- Unspecified	1.8%	1.1%	6.0%	3.6%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
Citations	5.9%	1.8%	1.4%	0.7%
CINS	1.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	13,881	2,025⁺⁺	1,180	412
% of Statewide	70.6%	85.2%	74.4%	82.2%


* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺⁺ Includes 83 placements of youth from out-of-state

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region I-Baltimore City Summary

300 North Gay Street, Baltimore, MD 21202 (ph) 443-263-8871; 6502 Reisterstown Rd, Baltimore, MD 21215 (ph) 410-362-4400;
530 N. Hilton Street, Baltimore, MD 21229 (ph) 410-585-2100

Executive Director: Dwain Johnson

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	4.8%	5.6%	10.0%
Citizen	6.2%	6.6%	6.8%
Police*	83.3%	81.4%	75.2%
Violation of Probation**	5.7%	6.5%	8.0%
Total Complaints	2,491	2,213	1,783

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	18.4%	11.3%	15.9%
Informed	7.7%	6.6%	6.0%
Authorized Formal Petition	73.9%	82.1%	78.1%
Total Complaints*	2,491	2,213	1,783
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	10.1%	12.1%	13.1%
Stet	0.0%	0.1%	0.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	45.4%	44.5%	31.8%
Pending Disposition**	6.8%	9.3%	18.1%
Services Not Ordered	1.5%	1.1%	0.8%
Probation	22.6%	19.1%	18.3%
Committed to DJS	13.2%	12.9%	16.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Other***	0.2%	0.8%	0.4%
Total Formal Complaints	1,840	1,817	1,392

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	181.6
Pre-Court	31.5
Probation	505.0
Aftercare	156.4
Committed-Aftercare	97.0

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Baltimore City population (ages 11-17) decreased 19.9% (from 58,966 to 47,215).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 78.1% of complaints were formealed while 6.0% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 28.4%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 22.6% to 18.3%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed increased from 13.2% to 16.7%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 30.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 7.6 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 69.3 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (4.76), Delinquent Findings (1.38), and Secure Detention (1.29).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 30.8% to 30.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 29.0% to 29.6%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 39.1% to 39.4%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 22.2% (30) to 19.7% (29) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 20.5% (26) to 19.7% (29) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	94.6%	94.0%	93.9%
White	4.3%	4.7%	4.0%
Hispanic/Other	1.2%	1.2%	2.0%
Sex			
Male	83.9%	83.2%	83.8%
Female	16.1%	16.8%	16.2%
Age			
11 and under	2.2%	2.2%	1.9%
12	3.8%	2.7%	2.1%
13	7.5%	6.9%	5.7%
14	11.6%	15.0%	11.6%
15	21.6%	21.3%	21.5%
16	24.6%	22.1%	25.9%
17	25.8%	26.9%	27.1%
18-20	2.8%	2.8%	4.3%
Total Complaints	2,491	2,213	1,783

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	47.6%	41.9%	39.6%	60.1%
Assault (Felony)	4.7%	4.3%	1.8%	4.4%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	14.9%	12.0%	16.3%	7.4%
Carjacking	2.4%	2.5%	2.7%	12.3%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.7%	2.1%	0.6%	2.0%
Handgun Violation	5.1%	4.3%	2.7%	12.3%
Harassment	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	1.0%
Other Person ⁺	3.2%	3.0%	3.0%	1.5%
Robbery	12.1%	11.8%	10.0%	17.7%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	1.1%	1.8%	1.5%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Property Offenses	29.8%	37.7%	33.8%	19.2%
Arson	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	6.6%	9.2%	2.4%	2.5%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.3%	2.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.4%	1.8%	3.0%	3.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.3%	3.7%	1.2%	1.5%
Other Property ⁺⁺	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%	0.5%
Theft (Felony)	3.6%	5.6%	2.1%	1.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.8%	13.1%	21.1%	9.9%
Drug-Related Offenses	13.1%	12.8%	10.9%	4.4%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	7.2%	7.4%	3.3%	1.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	5.9%	5.5%	7.6%	3.0%
Citations	1.4%	1.7%	2.1%	2.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.0%	1.1%	1.5%	1.5%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.8%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.3%	5.3%	13.0%	13.8%
Cons. to Commit Offense	4.1%	1.1%	5.1%	5.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.9%	1.2%	1.8%	1.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.4%	1.5%	2.5%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.8%	2.4%	4.5%	4.9%
Total Offenses	3,899	1,714	331	203

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	30.0%	35.5%	17.6%	44.2%
Felony	29.6%	34.4%	14.9%	13.6%
- Person-to-Person	2.0%	2.6%	2.4%	2.7%
- Property	12.6%	17.8%	5.1%	3.4%
- Drugs	13.7%	13.7%	2.7%	1.4%
- Unspecified	1.2%	0.3%	4.7%	6.1%
Misdemeanor	39.4%	29.2%	64.4%	39.5%
- Person-to-Person	22.5%	15.7%	24.4%	17.7%
- Property	12.4%	10.4%	25.1%	15.6%
- Drugs	3.5%	2.5%	8.1%	2.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	0.5%	6.8%	4.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.3%	0.7%	0.7%
Citations	0.6%	0.5%	2.4%	2.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	1,783	758	295	147
% of Statewide	9.1%	31.9%	18.6%	29.3%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region II-Central Summary

10946 Golden West Drive, Ste. 130 Hunt Valley, MD 21031 410-527-4300

Regional Director: Mathew Fonseca
Counties of Baltimore, Carroll, Harford, and Howard

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.8%	1.0%	1.2%
Citizen	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%
Police*	94.9%	95.2%	93.7%
Violation of Probation**	4.0%	3.3%	4.9%
Total Complaints	5,950	6,009	5,422

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	34.9%	39.7%	40.1%
Informed	12.1%	10.5%	11.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	53.0%	49.9%	48.4%
Total Complaints*	5,950	6,009	5,422
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	4.6%	3.3%	6.8%
Stet	12.6%	12.4%	14.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.6%	1.0%	0.6%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	30.6%	27.6%	23.6%
Pending Disposition**	3.0%	4.5%	10.8%
Services Not Ordered	1.2%	1.0%	0.9%
Probation	36.0%	37.9%	31.3%
Committed to DJS	9.2%	9.9%	10.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	2.2%	2.2%	1.5%
Total Formal Complaints	3,152	2,997	2,625

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	53.5
Pre-Court	155.6
Probation	518.1
Aftercare	101.6
Committed-Aftercare	70.0

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Central Region population (ages 11-17) increased 4.7% (from 135,756 to 142,166).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 48.4% of complaints were formealed while 11.5% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 8.9%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 36.0% to 31.3%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed increased from 9.2% to 10.0%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.7 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.9 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 91.8 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 12.0% to 12.6%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 8.7% to 9.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 73.8% to 73.1%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 34.0% (33) to 26.2% (28) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 30.5% (29) to 26.2% (28) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	67.7%	66.5%	68.7%
White	27.8%	29.0%	26.8%
Hispanic/Other	4.5%	4.4%	4.6%
Sex			
Male	73.0%	72.5%	72.3%
Female	27.0%	27.5%	27.7%
Age			
11 and under	3.4%	3.6%	3.6%
12	5.0%	4.8%	5.2%
13	8.1%	8.6%	9.6%
14	13.6%	13.0%	13.4%
15	18.7%	20.0%	19.2%
16	23.6%	22.6%	21.7%
17	25.6%	24.8%	24.7%
18-20	2.0%	2.5%	2.6%
Total Complaints	5,950	6,009	5,422

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	41.0%	38.8%	46.6%	45.4%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	4.6%	0.7%	3.1%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.8%	14.4%	23.6%	16.9%
Carjacking	0.7%	1.7%	0.7%	3.8%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	0.7%	2.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	1.9%	1.1%	0.0%
Harassment	0.4%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	8.4%	5.2%	7.2%	5.4%
Robbery	3.8%	7.5%	6.1%	13.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	1.6%	2.4%	1.5%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.5%	2.4%	1.5%
Property Offenses	44.6%	48.3%	36.0%	40.0%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	0.8%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.9%	9.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.1%	4.6%	3.3%	3.1%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.7%	3.7%	2.8%	3.1%
Malicious Destruction	7.4%	5.5%	5.2%	5.4%
Other Property ⁺⁺	4.2%	3.4%	1.3%	1.5%
Theft (Felony)	3.6%	6.6%	2.0%	3.8%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	16.2%	15.1%	19.3%	22.3%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.0%	4.0%	5.6%	2.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.2%	1.5%	2.8%	1.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.8%	2.5%	2.8%	0.8%
Citations	4.6%	3.0%	1.1%	0.8%
Alcohol Violation	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.8%	1.7%	0.7%	0.8%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.1%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.3%	5.4%	10.6%	11.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	0.3%	3.3%	6.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.8%	2.1%	3.9%	1.5%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.0%	2.7%	3.0%	3.1%
Total Offenses	8,791	949	461	130

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	12.6%	28.0%	13.1%	25.2%
Felony	9.1%	22.2%	11.4%	15.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	1.4%	2.0%	2.8%
- Property	6.7%	17.8%	3.5%	4.7%
- Drugs	1.6%	2.9%	3.0%	1.9%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	3.0%	5.6%
Misdemeanor	73.1%	47.2%	74.5%	58.9%
- Person-to-Person	42.3%	24.8%	42.8%	28.0%
- Property	28.3%	18.6%	25.7%	27.1%
- Drugs	0.9%	2.2%	3.2%	0.9%
- Unspecified	1.6%	1.7%	2.7%	2.8%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.7%	1.7%	1.0%	0.9%
CINS	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	5,422	415	404	107
% of Statewide	27.6%	17.5%	25.5%	21.4%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Baltimore County

900 Walker Ave., Baltimore, MD 21228 (ph) 410-455-7800; 431 Eastern Blvd. Ste. 100, Baltimore, MD 21221 (ph) 410-780-1200; 10999 Red Run Blvd. Ste 115, Owings Mills, MD 21117 (ph) 410-356-5774; 10946 Golden West Drive, Ste. 130, Hunt Valley, MD 21031 (ph) 410-527-4300

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	1.0%	1.6%	1.7%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	94.5%	94.8%	93.0%
Violation of Probation**	4.5%	3.7%	5.3%
Total Complaints	3,726	3,636	3,556

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	33.3%	37.2%	38.2%
Informed	10.4%	8.6%	9.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	56.3%	54.2%	52.5%
Total Complaints*	3,726	3,636	3,556
Court Action for Formaled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	2.4%	2.0%	5.1%
Stet	17.7%	15.9%	17.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.4%	1.0%	0.7%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	27.0%	25.5%	23.6%
Pending Disposition**	2.4%	3.6%	11.0%
Services Not Ordered	1.8%	1.5%	1.3%
Probation	39.1%	41.2%	32.1%
Committed to DJS	8.2%	9.1%	7.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	1.0%	0.2%	0.5%
Total Formal Complaints	2,097	1,972	1,867

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	27.0
Pre-Court	80.9
Probation	340.6
Aftercare	51.2
Committed-Aftercare	33.1

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Baltimore County population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.7% (from 73,162 to 71,941).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 52.5% of complaints were formaled while 9.3% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 4.6%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 39.1% to 32.1%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 8.2% to 7.9%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 30.0 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.2 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 90.0 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.73), Secure Detention (1.25) and under-represented at Diversion (0.54).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 14.8% to 15.2%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 10.4% to 9.6%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 72.0% to 72.4%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 38.3% (18) to 27.6% (16) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased from 27.5% (11) to 27.6% (16) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	75.2%	73.4%	76.4%
White	20.8%	22.6%	19.7%
Hispanic/Other	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%
Sex			
Male	73.8%	72.9%	72.7%
Female	26.2%	27.1%	27.3%
Age			
11 and under	3.0%	3.4%	4.2%
12	4.7%	4.6%	5.2%
13	8.1%	9.2%	10.0%
14	13.9%	12.7%	13.6%
15	19.6%	20.7%	19.5%
16	24.4%	22.7%	21.6%
17	24.3%	24.2%	23.1%
18-20	2.1%	2.7%	2.8%
Total Complaints	3,726	3,636	3,556

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	42.5%	40.0%	46.7%	47.1%
Assault (Felony)	1.6%	4.3%	0.7%	4.4%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	22.1%	13.9%	23.0%	10.3%
Carjacking	0.9%	2.0%	1.0%	7.4%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	0.9%	2.1%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	2.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Harassment	0.4%	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	7.7%	4.5%	5.2%	0.0%
Robbery	5.1%	8.6%	8.4%	22.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	2.2%	2.1%	1.5%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.6%	2.1%	1.5%
Property Offenses	47.1%	49.8%	40.1%	42.6%
Arson	0.6%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	4.4%	10.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.2%	4.8%	3.1%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	5.0%	4.8%	3.1%	4.4%
Malicious Destruction	7.5%	5.9%	7.0%	5.9%
Other Property ⁺⁺	4.4%	3.1%	1.4%	1.5%
Theft (Felony)	3.8%	7.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	17.2%	14.0%	20.2%	29.4%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.5%	4.0%	3.8%	2.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.2%	1.4%	2.1%	1.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.4%	2.6%	1.7%	1.5%
Citations	2.4%	1.5%	1.4%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.4%	0.8%	1.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.4%	4.5%	8.0%	7.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	0.0%	2.4%	2.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	2.2%	2.4%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.6%	2.3%	3.1%	4.4%
Total Offenses	5,902	648	287	68

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	15.2%	33.1%	16.3%	34.5%
Felony	9.6%	23.2%	10.3%	8.6%
- Person-to-Person	0.4%	0.8%	1.6%	3.4%
- Property	7.6%	19.4%	4.4%	1.7%
- Drugs	1.5%	3.0%	2.0%	1.7%
- Unspecified	0.1%	0.0%	2.4%	1.7%
Misdemeanor	72.4%	41.8%	71.8%	56.9%
- Person-to-Person	41.1%	22.8%	38.9%	13.8%
- Property	29.5%	16.0%	29.4%	37.9%
- Drugs	0.6%	2.3%	2.0%	1.7%
- Unspecified	1.2%	0.8%	1.6%	3.4%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	2.6%	0.8%	1.6%	0.0%
CINS	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	3,556	263	252	58
% of Statewide	18.1%	11.1%	15.9%	11.6%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Carroll County

101 N. Court St., Westminster, MD 21157 (ph) 410-871-3600

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.8%	0.0%	0.3%
Citizen	5.8%	4.1%	1.6%
Police*	91.2%	93.6%	95.1%
Violation of Probation**	2.2%	2.3%	3.0%
Total Complaints	363	389	366

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.2%	27.5%	26.8%
Informed	30.9%	33.2%	38.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	28.9%	39.3%	35.0%
Total Complaints*	363	389	366
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	10.5%	4.6%	12.5%
Stet	6.7%	15.7%	5.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	2.9%	2.6%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	22.9%	23.5%	24.2%
Pending Disposition**	1.0%	15.0%	8.6%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	36.2%	26.1%	32.0%
Committed to DJS	20.0%	10.5%	17.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	105	153	128

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	7.1
Pre-Court	31.1
Probation	20.9
Aftercare	8.2
Committed-Aftercare	7.7

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Carroll County population (ages 11-17) increased 10.9% (from 14,101 to 15,634).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 35.0% of complaints were formalized while 38.3% were informalized.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints increased 0.8%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 36.2% to 32.0%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 20.0% to 17.2%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 34.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.9 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 82.2 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.58) and under-represented at Diversion (0.43).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 10.8% to 11.5%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 6.2% to 8.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 63.8% to 63.4%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 30.8% (4) to 0.0% (0) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 28.6% (2) to 0.0% (0) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	22.6%	23.1%	17.5%
White	74.7%	73.5%	78.1%
Hispanic/Other	2.8%	3.3%	4.4%
Sex			
Male	77.1%	76.9%	79.0%
Female	22.9%	23.1%	21.0%
Age			
11 and under	5.2%	3.9%	1.4%
12	2.2%	5.1%	6.0%
13	5.5%	5.7%	9.6%
14	12.9%	17.0%	12.3%
15	17.4%	12.3%	16.7%
16	24.8%	24.7%	22.4%
17	29.8%	29.8%	29.2%
18-20	2.2%	1.5%	2.5%
Total Complaints	363	389	366

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	23.2%	35.9%	33.3%	25.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	16.0%	25.6%	15.2%	12.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	2.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	3.0%	2.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.3%	0.0%	3.0%	12.5%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	2.6%	9.1%	0.0%
Property Offenses	42.3%	28.2%	15.2%	62.5%
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.3%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	6.4%	2.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.8%	10.3%	3.0%	25.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%
Theft (Felony)	4.7%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.8%	5.1%	9.1%	25.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	5.4%	0.0%	21.2%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.5%	0.0%	12.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.9%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Citations	12.3%	15.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	6.6%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.9%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	3.0%	5.1%	3.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	3.9%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.6%	17.9%	27.3%	12.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.3%	2.6%	9.1%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.5%	5.1%	9.1%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	6.1%	12.5%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.4%	7.7%	3.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	594	39	33	8

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	11.5%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
Felony	8.2%	30.4%	24.1%	40.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.9%	8.7%	3.4%	20.0%
- Property	3.3%	21.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.2%	0.0%	13.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.0%	6.9%	20.0%
Misdemeanor	63.4%	56.5%	72.4%	60.0%
- Person-to-Person	34.4%	43.5%	44.8%	20.0%
- Property	21.3%	13.0%	10.3%	40.0%
- Drugs	2.5%	0.0%	10.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	5.2%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	11.2%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	5.5%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	366	23	29	5
% of Statewide	1.9%	1.0%	1.8%	1.0%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Harford County

2 South Bond Street, Bel Air, MD 21014 (ph) 410-836-4680

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	95.5%	97.0%	95.0%
Violation of Probation**	4.5%	2.9%	5.0%
Total Complaints	850	1,068	825

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	47.2%	56.6%	57.7%
Informed	6.2%	5.2%	2.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	46.6%	38.1%	39.5%
Total Complaints*	850	1,068	825
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	8.1%	6.1%	9.8%
Stet	2.0%	3.9%	2.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.0%	1.0%	0.6%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	26.0%	19.4%	19.0%
Pending Disposition**	4.8%	3.4%	10.4%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Probation	38.1%	43.2%	32.2%
Committed to DJS	9.3%	8.6%	17.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	10.6%	14.0%	8.6%
Total Formal Complaints	396	407	326

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	12.8
Pre-Court	9.8
Probation	87.1
Aftercare	18.4
Committed-Aftercare	13.9

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Harford County population (ages 11-17) increased 6.6% (from 21,556 to 22,969).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 39.5% of complaints were formealed while 2.8% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 2.9%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 38.1% to 32.2%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed increased from 9.3% to 17.2%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 33.2 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.1 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 95.9 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.40) and Petition (1.30).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 3.9% to 5.7%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 3.8% to 4.5%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 83.8% to 82.4%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 35.3% (6) to 16.7% (3) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 38.1% (8) to 16.7% (3) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	55.1%	57.5%	58.2%
White	41.9%	40.0%	38.5%
Hispanic/Other	3.1%	2.5%	3.3%
Sex			
Male	71.4%	67.6%	69.1%
Female	28.6%	32.4%	30.9%
Age			
11 and under	4.1%	5.0%	2.5%
12	6.5%	7.0%	6.3%
13	11.1%	8.3%	8.6%
14	15.1%	14.8%	13.2%
15	16.6%	19.8%	18.3%
16	20.2%	19.9%	22.3%
17	24.9%	22.7%	26.9%
18-20	1.5%	2.6%	1.8%
Total Complaints	850	1,068	825

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	52.0%	37.8%	56.1%	47.6%
Assault (Felony)	0.9%	4.1%	1.5%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	29.1%	15.3%	37.9%	42.9%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	15.7%	14.3%	4.5%	4.8%
Robbery	1.3%	4.1%	3.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	30.5%	35.7%	28.8%	33.3%
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	1.5%	4.8%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.9%	4.1%	3.0%	4.8%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
Malicious Destruction	6.9%	3.1%	3.0%	4.8%
Other Property ⁺⁺	4.6%	8.2%	3.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.6%	14.3%	18.2%	14.3%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.7%	8.2%	6.1%	4.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%	2.0%	3.0%	4.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.1%	6.1%	3.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.1%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.9%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.6%	9.2%	9.1%	14.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%	2.0%	4.5%	9.5%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.1%	7.1%	4.5%	4.8%
Total Offenses	1,151	98	66	21

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	5.2%	19.0%	8.8%	5.6%
Felony	4.5%	13.8%	7.0%	5.6%
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	1.7%	3.5%	0.0%
- Property	2.7%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.8%	3.4%	3.5%	5.6%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	82.4%	63.8%	84.2%	88.9%
- Person-to-Person	52.6%	25.9%	56.1%	61.1%
- Property	25.8%	24.1%	19.3%	22.2%
- Drugs	1.8%	5.2%	3.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.2%	8.6%	5.3%	5.6%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.8%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	825	58	57	18
% of Statewide	4.2%	2.4%	3.6%	3.6%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Howard County

3451 Court House Dr., Ellicott City, MD 21043 (ph) 410-480-7878

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%
Citizen	0.3%	0.9%	1.0%
Police*	97.0%	95.7%	94.7%
Violation of Probation**	2.1%	2.8%	3.7%
Total Complaints	1,011	916	675

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	28.6%	34.7%	35.7%
Informed	16.6%	14.5%	19.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	54.8%	50.8%	45.0%
Total Complaints*	1,011	916	675
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	9.4%	6.2%	11.5%
Stet	2.2%	4.1%	10.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.4%	0.6%	0.7%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	49.1%	44.9%	28.3%
Pending Disposition**	4.3%	5.8%	11.2%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	22.9%	23.0%	25.0%
Committed to DJS	11.0%	14.4%	11.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Other***	0.7%	0.6%	0.7%
Total Formal Complaints	554	465	304

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	6.6
Pre-Court	33.8
Probation	69.5
Aftercare	23.7
Committed-Aftercare	15.3

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Howard County population (ages 11-17) increased 17.4% (from 26,938 to 31,621).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 45.0% of complaints were formealed while 19.3% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 33.2%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation increased from 22.9% to 25.0%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed increased from 11.0% to 11.8%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.9 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.4 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 101.6 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Refer to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.83), Petition (1.47) and under-represented at Diversion (0.54).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 10.9% to 8.4%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 8.9% to 12.3%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 73.4% to 70.7%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP increased from 25.0% (5) to 34.6% (9) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased from 29.6% (8) to 34.6% (9) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	67.1%	68.3%	68.6%
White	24.9%	22.7%	22.1%
Hispanic/Other	8.0%	9.0%	9.3%
Sex			
Male	70.1%	74.7%	71.0%
Female	29.9%	25.3%	29.0%
Age			
11 and under	3.8%	2.6%	3.0%
12	6.0%	3.3%	3.1%
13	6.6%	7.6%	8.6%
14	11.6%	10.4%	13.0%
15	17.4%	21.0%	20.1%
16	23.1%	24.9%	21.2%
17	29.3%	27.9%	28.4%
18-20	2.2%	2.3%	2.5%
Total Complaints	1,011	916	675

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	31.5%	35.4%	44.0%	45.5%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	6.7%	0.0%	3.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	16.2%	13.4%	17.3%	15.2%
Carjacking	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.2%	0.6%	2.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	7.5%	3.0%	18.7%	18.2%
Robbery	1.6%	6.7%	2.7%	6.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.8%	0.6%	2.7%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
Property Offenses	46.9%	54.3%	36.0%	33.3%
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	5.0%	9.1%	2.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.6%	4.9%	4.0%	9.1%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.9%	2.4%	5.3%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.2%	4.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	2.7%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	4.8%	9.1%	1.3%	12.1%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	19.3%	22.0%	21.3%	12.1%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.3%	2.4%	5.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.7%	1.8%	1.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.5%	0.6%	4.0%	0.0%
Citations	9.7%	1.8%	0.0%	3.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.7%	1.2%	0.0%	3.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	3.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.3%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.3%	3.7%	14.7%	18.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	1.2%	6.7%	18.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.3%	1.2%	6.7%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.8%	0.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Total Offenses	1,144	164	75	33

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	8.4%	25.4%	9.1%	23.1%
Felony	12.3%	22.5%	13.6%	30.8%
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	1.4%	1.5%	0.0%
- Property	8.7%	18.3%	4.5%	15.4%
- Drugs	2.4%	2.8%	1.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%	0.0%	6.1%	15.4%
Misdemeanor	70.7%	50.7%	77.3%	42.3%
- Person-to-Person	40.0%	25.4%	45.5%	38.5%
- Property	28.6%	25.4%	24.2%	3.8%
- Drugs	0.9%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.2%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.6%	1.4%	0.0%	3.8%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	675	71	66	26
% of Statewide	3.4%	3.0%	4.2%	5.2%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region III-Western Summary

1 James Day Drive Cumberland, MD 21502 301-722-1600

Regional Director: Singleton Golden
Counties of Allegany, Frederick, Garrett, and Washington

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	1.0%	1.0%
Citizen	5.3%	6.7%	4.5%
Police*	85.5%	84.2%	85.2%
Violation of Probation**	8.8%	8.1%	9.3%
Total Complaints	2,147	2,181	1,969

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	31.7%	32.7%	33.1%
Informed	19.8%	19.5%	18.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	48.4%	47.8%	48.8%
Total Complaints*	2,147	2,181	1,969
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	19.9%	21.3%	17.2%
Stet	10.1%	7.7%	5.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.9%	0.6%	1.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	15.7%	17.0%	20.4%
Pending Disposition**	2.4%	3.6%	5.4%
Services Not Ordered	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%
Probation	32.9%	33.0%	34.7%
Committed to DJS	16.4%	16.2%	14.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%
Other***	1.0%	0.4%	0.8%
Total Formal Complaints	1,040	1,042	960

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	28.2
Pre-Court	91.4
Probation	145.2
Aftercare	62.4
Committed-Aftercare	40.4

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Western Region population (ages 11-17) increased 6.3% (from 42,389 to 45,065).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 48.8% of complaints were formealed while 18.2% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 8.3%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation increased from 32.9% to 34.7%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 16.4% to 14.5%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.4 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.5 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 63.7 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.5% to 5.4%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 7.0% to 8.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 71.4% to 68.3%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 47.9% (23) to 32.4% (12) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased from 23.9% (11) to 32.4% (12) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	44.0%	36.7%	44.5%
White	50.4%	56.7%	49.4%
Hispanic/Other	5.5%	6.6%	6.1%
Sex			
Male	69.4%	72.0%	72.6%
Female	30.6%	28.0%	27.4%
Age			
11 and under	5.2%	4.1%	4.2%
12	5.5%	4.5%	4.7%
13	10.9%	8.8%	10.4%
14	17.0%	13.5%	13.6%
15	19.0%	21.3%	18.8%
16	18.4%	24.3%	22.5%
17	21.6%	21.0%	23.6%
18-20	2.3%	2.5%	2.3%
Total Complaints	2,147	2,181	1,969

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	40.7%	46.9%	43.4%	55.6%
Assault (Felony)	0.8%	2.8%	0.6%	3.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.4%	18.0%	28.3%	22.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	0.5%	0.6%	3.7%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	0.8%	1.8%	7.4%
Harassment	0.7%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	13.0%	17.0%	7.2%	3.7%
Robbery	1.5%	3.4%	1.2%	5.6%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	2.6%	1.2%	1.9%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	1.5%	1.8%	7.4%
Property Offenses	28.9%	30.4%	32.5%	27.8%
Arson	0.9%	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.8%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.6%	3.1%	2.4%	1.9%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.6%	3.4%	3.0%	1.9%
Malicious Destruction	8.2%	6.2%	7.2%	9.3%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.3%	0.8%	1.2%	1.9%
Theft (Felony)	1.5%	2.6%	3.0%	3.7%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.9%	8.8%	14.5%	9.3%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.4%	2.6%	4.8%	5.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.7%	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.6%	1.8%	3.6%	5.6%
Citations	7.7%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.8%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.9%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	6.9%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	1.6%	1.3%	0.0%	3.7%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.8%	10.1%	19.3%	7.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.0%	2.1%	1.8%	7.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.0%	2.3%	6.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.3%	4.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.5%	5.4%	7.2%	0.0%
Total Offenses	2,918	388	166	54

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	5.4%	16.1%	4.0%	16.2%
Felony	8.1%	16.1%	12.1%	8.1%
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	3.8%	2.0%	2.7%
- Property	4.1%	10.2%	3.4%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.0%	1.6%	1.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%	0.5%	5.4%	5.4%
Misdemeanor	68.3%	57.0%	83.9%	75.7%
- Person-to-Person	44.2%	40.9%	48.3%	45.9%
- Property	19.6%	13.4%	22.8%	24.3%
- Drugs	2.1%	1.1%	3.4%	5.4%
- Unspecified	2.5%	1.6%	9.4%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.5%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.6%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	9.1%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	1,969	186	149	37
% of Statewide	10.0%	7.8%	9.4%	7.4%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Allegany County

1 James Day Dr., Cumberland, MD 21502 (ph) 301-722-1663

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	2.5%	2.3%	2.6%
Police*	95.2%	95.4%	96.2%
Violation of Probation**	2.3%	2.3%	1.2%
Total Complaints	440	477	421

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	46.8%	46.8%	48.9%
Informed	12.5%	19.7%	15.7%
Authorized Formal Petition	40.7%	33.5%	35.4%
Total Complaints*	440	477	421
Court Action for Formal Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	22.3%	29.4%	14.8%
Stet	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	26.8%	23.8%	34.2%
Pending Disposition**	1.7%	1.2%	1.3%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	33.0%	29.4%	30.2%
Committed to DJS	14.0%	15.0%	16.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Other***	2.2%	1.2%	2.0%
Total Formal Complaints	179	160	149

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	2.9
Pre-Court	14.2
Probation	44.2
Aftercare	13.3
Committed-Aftercare	7.4

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Allegany County population (ages 11-17) decreased 8.0% (from 6,251 to 5,754).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 35.4% of complaints were formalized while 15.7% were informalized.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 4.3%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 33.0% to 30.2%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed increased from 14.0% to 16.1%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 8.2 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.5 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 65.3 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.65).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 3.8% to 4.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 6.1% to 5.9%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 60.2% to 62.9%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 50.0% (3) to 14.3% (2) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 21.4% (3) to 14.3% (2) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	23.6%	20.5%	21.1%
White	73.4%	77.8%	76.5%
Hispanic/Other	3.0%	1.7%	2.4%
Sex			
Male	63.2%	61.4%	73.9%
Female	36.8%	38.6%	26.1%
Age			
11 and under	5.5%	6.9%	5.9%
12	5.5%	4.8%	6.9%
13	9.5%	8.2%	12.4%
14	19.5%	15.9%	13.8%
15	18.6%	18.4%	19.0%
16	18.6%	24.1%	20.7%
17	20.9%	21.0%	21.1%
18-20	1.8%	0.6%	0.2%
Total Complaints	440	477	421

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	39.3%	51.2%	44.4%	56.2%
Assault (Felony)	0.7%	4.9%	2.8%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	22.9%	15.9%	30.6%	31.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	2.4%	0.0%	6.2%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	11.4%	14.6%	5.6%	6.2%
Robbery	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.0%	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.3%	4.9%	5.6%	12.5%
Property Offenses	27.4%	26.8%	41.7%	31.2%
Arson	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.7%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.3%	2.4%	2.8%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.2%	6.1%	25.0%	25.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.7%	1.2%	2.8%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.1%	9.8%	11.1%	6.2%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.1%	9.8%	5.6%	6.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	3.7%	2.8%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.1%	6.1%	2.8%	6.2%
Citations	10.9%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.3%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	10.6%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.4%	3.7%	8.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.1%	3.7%	8.3%	0.0%
Total Offenses	606	82	36	16

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	4.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	5.9%	25.7%	8.3%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.9%	20.0%	2.8%	0.0%
- Property	2.9%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.2%	5.7%	2.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	62.9%	45.7%	91.7%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	41.3%	31.4%	41.7%	64.3%
- Property	19.2%	14.3%	38.9%	28.6%
- Drugs	1.4%	0.0%	2.8%	7.1%
- Unspecified	1.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	12.1%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	14.5%	11.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	421	35	36	14
% of Statewide	2.1%	1.5%	2.3%	2.8%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Frederick County

801 N. East St. Suite 3, Frederick, MD 21701 (ph) 240-629-3030

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	1.0%	1.7%	2.0%
Citizen	1.0%	1.4%	1.8%
Police*	90.2%	87.7%	86.7%
Violation of Probation**	7.9%	9.1%	9.5%
Total Complaints	621	692	610

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	34.9%	40.5%	37.4%
Informed	16.6%	14.5%	14.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	48.5%	45.1%	48.0%
Total Complaints*	621	692	610
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	14.0%	6.7%	6.5%
Stet	15.6%	16.0%	12.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.7%	0.6%	1.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	15.3%	14.4%	16.7%
Pending Disposition**	2.7%	3.2%	9.6%
Services Not Ordered	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Probation	31.6%	39.4%	36.2%
Committed to DJS	18.6%	18.6%	17.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.7%	0.0%	0.3%
Other***	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	301	312	293

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	14.8
Pre-Court	26.7
Probation	51.1
Aftercare	21.0
Committed-Aftercare	16.4

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Frederick County population (ages 11-17) increased 15.6% (from 20,765 to 23,997).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 48.0% of complaints were formealed while 14.6% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 1.8%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation increased from 31.6% to 36.2%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 18.6% to 17.1%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 39.9 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.1 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 70.3 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.04), Secure Detention (1.41) and Petition (1.38).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 8.2% to 7.7%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 8.5% to 9.3%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 67.2% to 59.7%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP increased from 23.8% (5) to 30.8% (4) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased from 16.7% (3) to 30.8% (4) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	46.2%	44.2%	51.8%
White	45.1%	44.4%	38.4%
Hispanic/Other	8.7%	11.4%	9.8%
Sex			
Male	75.0%	78.8%	73.6%
Female	25.0%	21.2%	26.4%
Age			
11 and under	1.6%	2.3%	1.3%
12	2.9%	3.0%	1.5%
13	6.4%	6.5%	7.0%
14	16.9%	13.3%	8.9%
15	21.4%	21.4%	19.2%
16	21.3%	25.3%	26.4%
17	25.1%	24.3%	31.5%
18-20	4.3%	3.9%	4.3%
Total Complaints	621	692	610

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	36.1%	47.3%	30.9%	53.6%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	3.2%	0.0%	7.1%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	17.8%	22.6%	10.3%	14.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	0.0%	1.5%	7.1%
Handgun Violation	0.9%	0.0%	4.4%	10.7%
Harassment	0.4%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	11.6%	14.0%	8.8%	3.6%
Robbery	2.0%	5.4%	2.9%	3.6%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	1.5%	3.6%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	2.2%	0.0%	3.6%
Property Offenses	30.1%	23.7%	35.3%	25.0%
Arson	0.6%	1.1%	1.5%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.6%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.4%	0.0%	4.4%	3.6%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.6%	5.4%	4.4%	3.6%
Malicious Destruction	6.7%	3.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	2.7%	1.1%	1.5%	3.6%
Theft (Felony)	1.9%	4.3%	4.4%	7.1%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.5%	6.5%	17.6%	7.1%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.0%	1.1%	8.8%	3.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.7%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.2%	1.1%	7.4%	3.6%
Citations	7.4%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.2%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.5%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	11.1%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	1.2%	1.1%	0.0%	3.6%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.2%	9.7%	25.0%	14.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.0%	4.3%	1.5%	14.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	6.4%	2.2%	8.8%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	1.1%	8.8%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.3%	2.2%	5.9%	0.0%
Total Offenses	935	93	68	28

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	7.7%	18.4%	8.8%	30.8%
Felony	9.3%	8.2%	21.1%	23.1%
- Person-to-Person	2.3%	0.0%	1.8%	7.7%
- Property	4.1%	6.1%	5.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.1%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.8%	2.0%	12.3%	15.4%
Misdemeanor	59.7%	57.1%	70.2%	46.2%
- Person-to-Person	39.8%	32.7%	36.8%	30.8%
- Property	16.9%	18.4%	19.3%	15.4%
- Drugs	2.3%	0.0%	7.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	6.1%	7.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.9%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	14.3%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	610	49	57	13
% of Statewide	3.1%	2.1%	3.6%	2.6%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Garrett County

7000 Thayer Center, Oakland, MD 21550 (ph) 301-334-8608

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	11.5%	14.5%	18.3%
Police*	87.3%	82.1%	74.5%
Violation of Probation**	1.3%	3.4%	7.2%
Total Complaints	157	179	153

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	52.9%	39.7%	37.3%
Informed	31.2%	43.0%	32.0%
Authorized Formal Petition	15.9%	17.3%	30.7%
Total Complaints*	157	179	153
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	4.0%	6.5%	14.9%
Stet	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	8.0%	12.9%	4.3%
Pending Disposition**	12.0%	6.5%	6.4%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%
Probation	36.0%	45.2%	61.7%
Committed to DJS	24.0%	25.8%	10.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	8.0%	0.0%	2.1%
Total Formal Complaints	25	31	47

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	1.5
Pre-Court	14.3
Probation	18.3
Aftercare	6.2
Committed-Aftercare	1.5

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Garrett County population (ages 11-17) decreased 8.1% (from 2,482 to 2,280).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 30.7% of complaints were formealed while 32.0% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 2.5%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation increased from 36.0% to 61.7%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 24.0% to 10.6%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 18.5 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.2 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 29.3 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.13).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 0.0% to 1.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 8.9% to 8.5%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 55.3% to 56.9%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 100.0% (1) to 50.0% (1) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased from 0.0% (0) to 50.0% (1) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	17.2%	10.1%	15.0%
White	81.5%	88.3%	81.7%
Hispanic/Other	1.3%	1.7%	3.3%
Sex			
Male	73.9%	73.7%	69.3%
Female	26.1%	26.3%	30.7%
Age			
11 and under	10.2%	11.2%	6.5%
12	3.8%	5.6%	3.3%
13	14.6%	7.3%	15.7%
14	10.8%	15.1%	9.8%
15	15.3%	26.3%	22.2%
16	15.9%	16.2%	26.8%
17	28.0%	15.6%	15.7%
18-20	1.3%	2.8%	0.0%
Total Complaints	157	179	153

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	50.3%	46.2%	50.0%	50.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	22.2%	7.7%	25.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	15.9%	15.4%	16.7%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	5.3%	23.1%	8.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Property Offenses	12.7%	30.8%	8.3%	0.0%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.1%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.3%	23.1%	8.3%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Citations	13.8%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.8%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	5.8%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	16.9%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	3.2%	0.0%	41.7%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	189	13	12	2

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	1.3%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	8.5%	11.1%	16.7%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	5.2%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
- Property	3.3%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	56.9%	44.4%	83.3%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	45.8%	22.2%	75.0%	50.0%
- Property	8.5%	22.2%	8.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	12.4%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	19.6%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Washington County

44 N. Potomac St. Suite 300, Hagerstown, MD 21740 (ph) 301-791-7171

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	1.1%	0.9%
Citizen	8.5%	12.0%	5.0%
Police*	77.4%	75.4%	80.3%
Violation of Probation**	13.8%	11.5%	13.9%
Total Complaints	929	833	785

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	18.8%	16.8%	20.4%
Informed	23.6%	18.5%	19.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	57.6%	64.7%	60.0%
Total Complaints*	929	833	785
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	23.2%	28.2%	24.8%
Stet	10.5%	5.6%	2.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.3%	0.7%	1.5%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	12.5%	16.7%	20.0%
Pending Disposition**	2.1%	4.3%	4.0%
Services Not Ordered	0.6%	0.0%	0.8%
Probation	33.5%	29.7%	32.5%
Committed to DJS	15.7%	14.7%	12.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.6%	0.2%	0.8%
Total Formal Complaints	535	539	471

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	8.9
Pre-Court	36.2
Probation	31.6
Aftercare	21.9
Committed-Aftercare	15.2

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Washington County population (ages 11-17) increased 1.1% (from 12,890 to 13,034).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 60.0% of complaints were formealed while 19.6% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 15.5%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 33.5% to 32.5%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 15.7% to 12.7%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.3 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 12.8 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 63.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (4.29), Secure Detention (1.30), and at Delinquent Findings (1.25).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.3% to 5.1%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 5.8% to 8.2%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 84.9% to 80.1%
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 70.0% (14) to 62.5% (5) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased from 45.5% (5) to 62.5% (5) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	56.7%	45.4%	57.1%
White	37.9%	48.1%	37.1%
Hispanic/Other	5.4%	6.5%	5.9%
Sex			
Male	67.9%	72.0%	71.7%
Female	32.1%	28.0%	28.3%
Age			
11 and under	6.7%	2.5%	5.0%
12	7.6%	5.3%	6.2%
13	14.0%	11.3%	11.0%
14	17.0%	12.0%	17.8%
15	18.2%	21.7%	17.8%
16	16.7%	25.3%	19.6%
17	18.5%	19.6%	20.3%
18-20	1.3%	2.3%	2.3%
Total Complaints	929	833	785

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	43.5%	45.0%	58.0%	62.5%
Assault (Felony)	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	23.4%	17.5%	52.0%	37.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	14.4%	19.5%	4.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2.0%	4.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.3%	35.0%	28.0%	37.5%
Arson	1.2%	0.5%	2.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.3%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.3%	4.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.4%	3.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.3%	6.5%	2.0%	12.5%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.1%	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.9%	2.5%	2.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.8%	10.0%	16.0%	25.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.4%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.6%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	2.2%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	14.3%	13.5%	14.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	3.3%	2.0%	4.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.1%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	7.3%	8.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	1,188	200	50	8

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	5.1%	16.1%	2.3%	25.0%
Felony	8.2%	17.2%	2.3%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	4.8%	16.1%	2.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	80.1%	62.4%	95.5%	75.0%
- Person-to-Person	48.8%	50.5%	61.4%	37.5%
- Property	23.9%	9.7%	18.2%	37.5%
- Drugs	2.2%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	5.2%	0.0%	15.9%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	2.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.1%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	785	93	44	8
% of Statewide	4.0%	3.9%	2.8%	1.6%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region IV-Eastern Shore Summary

600 Dover Road, Suite 104 Easton, MD 21601 (ph) 410-822-5010

Regional Director: Cory Fink
Counties of: Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne's,
Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%
Citizen	1.8%	1.8%	1.5%
Police*	96.4%	96.0%	96.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.5%	1.9%	2.1%
Total Complaints	3,293	2,885	2,857

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	44.4%	47.5%	52.5%
Informaled	17.0%	18.3%	14.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	38.6%	34.2%	32.7%
Total Complaints*	3,293	2,885	2,857
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	14.2%	8.8%	12.5%
Stet	17.0%	17.2%	15.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	3.9%	2.8%	2.4%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	24.5%	24.4%	22.1%
Pending Disposition**	3.6%	7.3%	9.6%
Services Not Ordered	0.9%	1.4%	1.2%
Probation	26.6%	29.4%	28.5%
Committed to DJS	8.7%	8.2%	7.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%
Other***	0.5%	0.2%	0.6%
Total Formal Complaints	1,271	988	933

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	46.5
Pre-Court	103.4
Probation	251.7
Aftercare	64.1
Committed-Aftercare	30.8

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Eastern Shore Region population (ages 11-17) increased 2.0% (from 39,248 to 40,030).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 32.7% of complaints were formealed while 14.8% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 13.2%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 26.6% to 28.5%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 8.7% to 7.6%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 28.8 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.7 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 80.5 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.7% to 5.2%.
 - The percentage of Felonies did not change.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 70.5% to 68.4%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 21.7% (13) to 11.6% (5) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 30.3% (10) to 11.6% (5) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	55.5%	51.9%	52.4%
White	40.1%	43.1%	42.4%
Hispanic/Other	4.4%	5.1%	5.2%
Sex			
Male	72.0%	70.0%	71.5%
Female	28.0%	30.0%	28.5%
Age			
11 and under	4.7%	5.4%	7.1%
12	5.1%	5.9%	6.5%
13	7.9%	8.1%	8.9%
14	11.4%	13.4%	13.2%
15	18.9%	16.7%	16.1%
16	23.4%	20.8%	18.5%
17	26.3%	27.0%	26.6%
18-20	2.1%	2.6%	3.2%
Total Complaints	3,293	2,885	2,857

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	41.5%	45.3%	36.6%	57.8%
Assault (Felony)	0.9%	3.1%	0.5%	4.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	18.7%	16.6%	22.0%	23.4%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	1.1%	2.1%	3.1%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	1.6%
Harassment	1.2%	0.7%	0.5%	1.6%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	16.6%	18.8%	7.3%	18.8%
Robbery	0.5%	2.9%	1.6%	3.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	1.3%	1.6%	1.6%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.2%	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.8%	31.9%	43.5%	28.1%
Arson	1.2%	2.0%	0.5%	1.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	2.4%	1.6%	1.6%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.1%	2.6%	2.6%	6.2%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.7%	2.6%	6.3%	3.1%
Malicious Destruction	7.2%	7.5%	14.7%	1.6%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.6%	3.6%	3.1%	1.6%
Theft (Felony)	1.4%	1.5%	1.6%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.9%	9.9%	13.1%	12.5%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.4%	4.7%	4.2%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	2.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.4%	2.4%	2.6%	0.0%
Citations	12.9%	5.7%	3.7%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	6.4%	3.1%	1.6%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.8%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.3%	1.3%	2.1%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	3.1%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	1.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.4%	8.0%	12.0%	14.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.1%	0.5%	1.6%	1.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.6%	0.9%	5.2%	1.6%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	1.1%	1.0%	3.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.2%	5.3%	4.2%	7.8%
Total Offenses	4,780	548	191	64

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	5.2%	13.8%	5.7%	14.0%
Felony	5.8%	14.2%	8.6%	9.3%
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	3.2%	1.1%	4.7%
- Property	2.8%	5.1%	4.0%	2.3%
- Drugs	1.4%	4.7%	1.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	1.2%	1.7%	2.3%
Misdemeanor	68.4%	60.9%	82.2%	76.7%
- Person-to-Person	43.4%	35.6%	40.8%	51.2%
- Property	21.0%	22.1%	34.5%	18.6%
- Drugs	2.1%	0.8%	2.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.9%	2.4%	4.6%	7.0%
Ordinance Offenses	2.6%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	13.8%	3.2%	3.4%	0.0%
CINS	4.2%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	2,857	253	174	43
% of Statewide	14.5%	10.6%	11.0%	8.6%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Caroline County

317 Carter Ave. Suite 105, Denton, MD 21629 (ph) 410-819-6556

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	0.4%	0.7%	1.2%
Police*	98.9%	97.4%	95.1%
Violation of Probation**	0.7%	1.9%	3.7%
Total Complaints	284	268	245

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	67.3%	68.7%	59.2%
Informed	8.8%	6.0%	10.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	23.9%	25.4%	30.6%
Total Complaints*	284	268	245
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	1.5%	2.9%	13.3%
Stet	13.2%	0.0%	1.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.5%	0.0%	1.3%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	38.2%	61.8%	28.0%
Pending Disposition**	1.5%	4.4%	6.7%
Services Not Ordered	4.4%	0.0%	2.7%
Probation	33.8%	23.5%	38.7%
Committed to DJS	5.9%	5.9%	5.3%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	1.5%	2.7%
Total Formal Complaints	68	68	75

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	3.5
Pre-Court	5.5
Probation	13.4
Aftercare	4.1
Committed-Aftercare	1.9

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Caroline County population (ages 11-17) increased 8.9% (from 2,823 to 3,074).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 30.6% of complaints were formealed while 10.2% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 13.7%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation increased from 33.8% to 38.7%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 5.9% to 5.3%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 21.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.8 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 98.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of Color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.60).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 8.2% to 5.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 2.6% to 7.8%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 69.4% to 68.2%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP did not change between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 66.7% (2) to 0.0% (0) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	38.7%	25.0%	36.3%
White	58.5%	71.3%	58.8%
Hispanic/Other	2.8%	3.7%	4.9%
Sex			
Male	75.0%	70.9%	73.9%
Female	25.0%	29.1%	26.1%
Age			
11 and under	3.9%	4.9%	4.5%
12	4.6%	6.0%	6.1%
13	16.2%	7.8%	6.5%
14	16.2%	21.6%	18.0%
15	22.2%	14.9%	24.1%
16	13.4%	22.8%	20.0%
17	22.2%	17.9%	18.8%
18-20	1.4%	4.1%	2.0%
Total Complaints	284	268	245

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	54.0%	66.7%	28.6%	50.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.6%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	24.5%	26.7%	14.3%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	14.0%	26.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.6%	0.0%	7.1%	50.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	5.6%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Property Offenses	25.7%	20.0%	71.4%	0.0%
Arson	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.4%	0.0%	21.4%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.4%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.8%	13.3%	14.3%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.3%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.5%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	4.2%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Total Offenses	428	15	14	2

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	5.3%	12.5%	27.3%	0.0%
Felony	7.8%	12.5%	18.2%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.4%	12.5%	9.1%	100.0%
- Property	2.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	68.2%	50.0%	54.5%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	46.1%	37.5%	27.3%	0.0%
- Property	20.4%	12.5%	27.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	12.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	6.5%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>245</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Cecil County

106 E. Main St. Suite 102, Elkton, MD 21921 (ph) 410-996-2800

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	0.5%	0.9%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	99.4%	98.7%	98.3%
Violation of Probation**	0.3%	0.8%	0.9%
Total Complaints	349	387	350

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	34.1%	35.4%	56.0%
Informed	12.3%	12.1%	8.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	53.6%	52.5%	35.7%
Total Complaints*	349	387	350
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	20.3%	13.8%	8.0%
Stet	13.4%	11.8%	15.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	2.1%	0.0%	0.8%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	8.0%	18.7%	22.4%
Pending Disposition**	7.5%	11.8%	12.0%
Services Not Ordered	1.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Probation	40.6%	34.5%	35.2%
Committed to DJS	6.4%	8.9%	4.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
Total Formal Complaints	187	203	125

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	7.2
Pre-Court	5.9
Probation	73.8
Aftercare	12.0
Committed-Aftercare	4.9

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Cecil County population (ages 11-17) increased 9.1% (from 8,671 to 9,457).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 35.7% of complaints were formealed while 8.3% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints increased 0.3%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 40.6% to 35.2%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 6.4% to 4.8%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 31.7 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.1 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 97.5 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.33) and under-represented at Delinquent Findings (0.70).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 4.7% to 4.6%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 8.0% to 6.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 76.5% to 75.7%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP did not change between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 12.5% (1) to 0.0% (0) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	41.3%	29.2%	34.0%
White	57.6%	66.1%	62.3%
Hispanic/Other	1.1%	4.7%	3.7%
Sex			
Male	76.2%	77.5%	79.7%
Female	23.8%	22.5%	20.3%
Age			
11 and under	3.4%	4.7%	6.0%
12	6.9%	4.4%	6.0%
13	8.6%	7.8%	12.9%
14	12.0%	17.1%	13.4%
15	19.5%	16.8%	15.4%
16	21.5%	22.0%	18.6%
17	25.2%	24.5%	25.7%
18-20	2.9%	2.8%	2.0%
Total Complaints	349	387	350

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	38.9%	27.0%	39.4%	20.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.2%	10.1%	33.3%	20.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.5%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	11.9%	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.7%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.8%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	32.2%	47.2%	36.4%	80.0%
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.6%	4.5%	9.1%	20.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.5%	7.9%	3.0%	20.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.8%	2.2%	6.1%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	11.0%	7.9%	15.2%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	4.6%	4.5%	0.0%	20.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.8%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.5%	14.6%	3.0%	20.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.8%	5.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.7%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.1%	1.1%	3.0%	0.0%
Citations	12.6%	11.2%	3.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.9%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.5%	3.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.9%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	3.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.9%	9.0%	18.2%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.2%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.6%	1.1%	6.1%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.8%	7.9%	6.1%	0.0%
Total Offenses	547	89	33	5

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	4.6%	11.5%	9.1%	33.3%
Felony	6.0%	17.3%	12.1%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.9%	3.8%	9.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.1%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	75.7%	61.5%	75.8%	66.7%
- Person-to-Person	44.3%	21.2%	39.4%	33.3%
- Property	25.4%	30.8%	24.2%	33.3%
- Drugs	3.7%	1.9%	3.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.3%	7.7%	9.1%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	12.9%	5.8%	3.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>350</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.8%</i>	<i>2.2%</i>	<i>2.1%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Dorchester County

310 Gay St., Cambridge, MD 21613 (ph) 410-228-6452

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Citizen	5.3%	2.6%	0.4%
Police*	94.0%	96.9%	98.5%
Violation of Probation**	0.8%	0.6%	0.9%
Total Complaints	529	352	455

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	31.4%	40.3%	40.7%
Informaled	15.7%	18.2%	19.1%
Authorized Formal Petition	52.9%	41.5%	40.2%
Total Complaints*	529	352	455
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	30.0%	11.0%	13.7%
Stet	3.9%	18.5%	13.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.7%	2.7%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	41.8%	22.6%	20.8%
Pending Disposition**	1.4%	3.4%	8.2%
Services Not Ordered	0.4%	6.8%	3.3%
Probation	15.4%	30.1%	31.7%
Committed to DJS	6.1%	3.4%	8.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	1.4%	0.5%
Other***	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	280	146	183

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	6.3
Pre-Court	19.9
Probation	38.1
Aftercare	3.7
Committed-Aftercare	3.2

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Dorchester County population (ages 11-17) decreased 11.7% (from 2,896 to 2,558).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 40.2% of complaints were formaled while 19.1% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 14.0%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation increased from 15.4% to 31.7%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed increased from 6.1% to 8.2%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.4 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.1 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 72.7 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.83) and Diversion (1.33).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 4.8% to 9.7%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 7.4% to 4.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 78.4% to 77.4%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 22.2% (2) to 12.5% (1) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 66.7% (2) to 12.5% (1) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	69.6%	68.8%	69.5%
White	27.0%	27.8%	27.0%
Hispanic/Other	3.4%	3.4%	3.5%
Sex			
Male	81.1%	75.9%	74.5%
Female	18.9%	24.1%	25.5%
Age			
11 and under	10.2%	11.9%	15.8%
12	5.1%	8.2%	9.7%
13	7.9%	10.5%	9.9%
14	7.8%	13.1%	14.9%
15	19.8%	14.8%	14.1%
16	35.7%	24.1%	15.6%
17	12.9%	16.8%	18.5%
18-20	0.6%	0.6%	1.5%
Total Complaints	529	352	455

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	46.8%	60.0%	24.2%	50.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.9%	3.1%	3.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	16.0%	18.5%	18.2%	14.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	24.8%	29.2%	3.0%	28.6%
Robbery	0.7%	4.6%	0.0%	7.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	39.2%	27.7%	66.7%	35.7%
Arson	1.4%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.1%	1.5%	0.0%	21.4%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	5.9%	7.7%	12.1%	7.1%
Malicious Destruction	9.6%	1.5%	15.2%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	5.8%	1.5%	12.1%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.4%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.0%	9.2%	21.2%	7.1%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.6%	4.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.2%	3.1%	3.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.0%	1.5%	6.1%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.8%	1.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.6%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	2.5%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	868	65	33	14

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	9.7%	22.2%	3.0%	25.0%
Felony	4.2%	3.7%	6.1%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.4%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.9%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	77.4%	59.3%	84.8%	75.0%
- Person-to-Person	50.8%	40.7%	21.2%	62.5%
- Property	24.4%	18.5%	60.6%	12.5%
- Drugs	2.2%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.2%	3.7%	6.1%	0.0%
CINS	4.4%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	455	27	33	8
% of Statewide	2.3%	1.1%	2.1%	1.6%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Kent County

315 High St. Suite 202, Chestertown, MD 21620 (ph) 410-778-6103

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	9.7%	3.8%	1.4%
Police*	90.3%	93.7%	98.6%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Total Complaints	72	79	70

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	50.0%	60.8%	61.4%
Informed	9.7%	16.5%	18.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	40.3%	22.8%	20.0%
Total Complaints*	72	79	70
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	10.3%	11.1%	35.7%
Stet	10.3%	0.0%	42.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	34.5%	22.2%	14.3%
Pending Disposition**	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	37.9%	55.6%	7.1%
Committed to DJS	3.4%	5.6%	0.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	29	18	14

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	1.1
Pre-Court	3.1
Probation	7.4
Aftercare	2.2
Committed-Aftercare	0.3

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Kent County population (ages 11-17) decreased 11.3% (from 1,720 to 1,526).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 20.0% of complaints were formealed while 18.6% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 2.8%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 37.9% to 7.1%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 3.4% to 0.0%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 19.7 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.7 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 40.2 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.65).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 2.5% to 2.9%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 1.3% to 1.4%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 77.2% to 77.1%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP did not change between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.5%	51.9%	52.9%
White	31.9%	43.0%	44.3%
Hispanic/Other	5.6%	5.1%	2.9%
Sex			
Male	59.7%	65.8%	58.6%
Female	40.3%	34.2%	41.4%
Age			
11 and under	5.6%	2.5%	7.1%
12	11.1%	1.3%	1.4%
13	8.3%	7.6%	12.9%
14	6.9%	8.9%	15.7%
15	15.3%	15.2%	5.7%
16	19.4%	24.1%	22.9%
17	33.3%	39.2%	34.3%
18-20	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Total Complaints	72	79	70

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	55.9%	40.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	27.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	25.2%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	8.1%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.4%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	1.8%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	20.7%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	12.6%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	4.5%	6.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.9%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	111	15	1	1

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	2.9%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	77.1%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	57.1%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%
- Property	8.6%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	12.9%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>70</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.1%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Queen Anne's County

120 Broadway, Suite 9, Centreville, MD 21617 (ph) 410-819-4180

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	2.6%	3.9%	5.9%
Police*	90.4%	90.6%	90.4%
Violation of Probation**	6.1%	5.5%	3.7%
Total Complaints	115	127	136

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	36.5%	26.8%	47.1%
Informed	25.2%	28.3%	25.7%
Authorized Formal Petition	38.3%	44.9%	27.2%
Total Complaints*	115	127	136
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	9.1%	12.3%	8.1%
Stet	18.2%	7.0%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	20.5%	22.8%	32.4%
Pending Disposition**	9.1%	5.3%	5.4%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	22.7%	26.3%	35.1%
Committed to DJS	18.2%	26.3%	18.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	44	57	37

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	3.1
Pre-Court	8.3
Probation	12.3
Aftercare	3.0
Committed-Aftercare	2.6

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Queen Anne's County population (ages 11-17) increased 8.7% (from 4,117 to 4,474).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):

- 27.2% of complaints were formealed while 25.7% were informed.

Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):

- Total complaints increased 18.3%.
- The percent of dispositions that received probation increased from 22.7% to 35.1%.
- The percent of dispositions that were committed increased from 18.2% to 18.9%.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 25.0 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 48.5 days.

Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):

- Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.93).
- See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.1% to 3.7%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 11.0% to 5.9%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 59.1% to 46.3%.

First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):

- The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 33.3% (1) to 0.0% (0) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 16.7% (1) to 0.0% (0) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	28.7%	34.6%	16.9%
White	59.1%	59.1%	71.3%
Hispanic/Other	12.2%	6.3%	11.8%
Sex			
Male	77.4%	87.4%	86.0%
Female	22.6%	12.6%	14.0%
Age			
11 and under	5.2%	2.4%	0.7%
12	3.5%	5.5%	2.2%
13	1.7%	2.4%	2.2%
14	11.3%	10.2%	13.2%
15	17.4%	26.0%	18.4%
16	26.1%	27.6%	22.1%
17	30.4%	23.6%	39.0%
18-20	4.3%	2.4%	2.2%
Total Complaints	115	127	136

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	21.4%	20.0%	54.5%	16.7%
Assault (Felony)	0.5%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	12.9%	5.0%	27.3%	16.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	5.7%	10.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	23.8%	50.0%	18.2%	83.3%
Arson	2.9%	10.0%	9.1%	16.7%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.9%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Malicious Destruction	6.2%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	5.2%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	5.2%	20.0%	9.1%	50.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	5.7%	10.0%	18.2%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.4%	5.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.3%	5.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Citations	30.0%	5.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	20.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.4%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	6.7%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.5%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.9%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	7.1%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	210	20	11	6

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	3.7%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	5.9%	16.7%	18.2%	20.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	0.0%	9.1%	20.0%
- Drugs	3.7%	8.3%	9.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	46.3%	50.0%	72.7%	80.0%
- Person-to-Person	26.5%	16.7%	54.5%	20.0%
- Property	16.9%	33.3%	9.1%	60.0%
- Drugs	2.9%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	33.1%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
CINS	10.3%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>136</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>1.0%</i>

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Somerset County

12155 Elm St. Suite B, Princess Anne, MD 21853 (ph) 410-845-4680

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	4.9%	4.2%	7.3%
Police*	91.8%	93.7%	92.1%
Violation of Probation**	2.7%	2.1%	0.6%
Total Complaints	183	191	178

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	16.4%	26.2%	38.2%
Informed	38.8%	42.9%	25.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	44.8%	30.9%	36.5%
Total Complaints*	183	191	178
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	7.3%	6.8%	20.0%
Stet	48.8%	37.3%	13.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	23.2%	32.2%	26.2%
Pending Disposition**	0.0%	5.1%	3.1%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	15.9%	8.5%	30.8%
Committed to DJS	4.9%	3.4%	6.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	82	59	65

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	2.6
Pre-Court	14.1
Probation	9.3
Aftercare	1.3
Committed-Aftercare	3.0

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Somerset County population (ages 11-17) decreased 10.2% (from 2,398 to 2,153).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 36.5% of complaints were formaled while 25.3% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 2.7%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation increased from 15.9% to 30.8%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed increased from 4.9% to 6.2%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.7 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 106.5 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.25) and under-represented at Diversion (0.60).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.9% to 3.4%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 4.2% to 2.8%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 81.2% to 86.0%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP did not change between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	75.4%	70.7%	75.3%
White	22.4%	28.3%	21.3%
Hispanic/Other	2.2%	1.0%	3.4%
Sex			
Male	76.0%	63.4%	62.4%
Female	24.0%	36.6%	37.6%
Age			
11 and under	8.7%	7.3%	1.1%
12	8.7%	7.9%	3.4%
13	9.3%	8.9%	14.6%
14	21.3%	15.7%	19.1%
15	12.6%	16.8%	24.2%
16	25.1%	22.5%	21.3%
17	12.6%	19.4%	16.3%
18-20	1.6%	1.6%	0.0%
Total Complaints	183	191	178

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	61.0%	46.8%	43.8%	66.7%
Assault (Felony)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	39.0%	17.0%	37.5%	33.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	2.2%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	15.5%	19.1%	6.2%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.4%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	18.8%	27.7%	43.8%	33.3%
Arson	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.1%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.6%	6.4%	31.2%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.2%	8.5%	12.5%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	5.4%	6.4%	0.0%	33.3%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.2%	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.4%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.8%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.5%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.5%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.7%	10.6%	12.5%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.1%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	8.7%	8.5%	6.2%	0.0%
Total Offenses	277	47	16	3

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	3.4%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	2.8%	6.2%	7.1%	33.3%
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
- Property	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.6%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	86.0%	87.5%	92.9%	66.7%
- Person-to-Person	65.7%	43.8%	50.0%	33.3%
- Property	15.2%	43.8%	42.9%	33.3%
- Drugs	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	178	16	14	3
% of Statewide	0.9%	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Talbot County

301 Bay St. Suite 201, Easton, MD 21601 (ph) 410-822-5010

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	0.0%	2.5%	1.3%
Police*	98.5%	97.5%	98.7%
Violation of Probation**	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	131	162	153

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	48.9%	54.9%	56.9%
Informaled	29.0%	20.4%	17.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	22.1%	24.7%	25.5%
Total Complaints*	131	162	153
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	3.4%	12.5%	12.8%
Stet	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	3.4%	10.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	37.9%	32.5%	35.9%
Pending Disposition**	3.4%	2.5%	2.6%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	34.5%	35.0%	43.6%
Committed to DJS	13.8%	5.0%	5.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	29	40	39

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	1.9
Pre-Court	4.7
Probation	6.2
Aftercare	1.8
Committed-Aftercare	0.4

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Talbot County population (ages 11-17) decreased 17.5% (from 3,255 to 2,685).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 25.5% of complaints were formaled while 17.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints increased 16.8%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation increased from 34.5% to 43.6%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 13.8% to 5.1%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 21.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.1 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 57.0 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.87).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 6.2% to 4.6%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 10.5% to 11.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 63.6% to 59.5%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 50.0% (1) to 0.0% (0) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and did not change between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	40.5%	44.4%	47.1%
White	46.6%	43.2%	45.1%
Hispanic/Other	13.0%	12.3%	7.8%
Sex			
Male	75.6%	72.8%	73.9%
Female	24.4%	27.2%	26.1%
Age			
11 and under	6.1%	3.7%	5.9%
12	3.8%	4.9%	3.3%
13	6.1%	3.7%	3.9%
14	6.1%	9.9%	10.5%
15	14.5%	19.1%	16.3%
16	26.0%	24.1%	21.6%
17	33.6%	33.3%	34.0%
18-20	3.8%	1.2%	4.6%
Total Complaints	131	162	153

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	29.6%	75.0%	28.6%	100.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.4%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	17.3%	25.0%	14.3%	100.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	5.3%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.3%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	32.3%	12.5%	57.1%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.5%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.9%	12.5%	28.6%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.9%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	4.0%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	21.7%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	12.8%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.3%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	226	16	7	1

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	4.6%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	11.1%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.6%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	59.5%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	35.3%	66.7%	42.9%	100.0%
- Property	19.0%	0.0%	57.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	21.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Wicomico County

201 Baptist St. Room 1134, Suite 21, Salisbury, MD 21801(ph) 410-713-3800

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%
Citizen	0.5%	0.4%	0.8%
Police*	96.9%	95.6%	94.5%
Violation of Probation**	2.4%	3.4%	4.4%
Total Complaints	1,088	846	766

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	46.4%	51.9%	52.5%
Informed	16.7%	13.6%	9.9%
Authorized Formal Petition	36.9%	34.5%	37.6%
Total Complaints*	1,088	846	766
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	9.0%	6.8%	12.2%
Stet	28.2%	27.1%	25.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	4.5%	1.7%	4.5%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	20.0%	19.5%	17.4%
Pending Disposition**	4.0%	10.3%	13.2%
Services Not Ordered	1.0%	0.3%	1.0%
Probation	20.7%	23.3%	14.9%
Committed to DJS	12.2%	10.6%	10.4%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
Other***	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%
Total Formal Complaints	401	292	288

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	14.7
Pre-Court	20.2
Probation	66.5
Aftercare	28.1
Committed-Aftercare	12.3

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Wicomico County population (ages 11-17) increased 17.9% (from 8,927 to 10,523).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):

- 37.6% of complaints were formaeled while 9.9% were informed.

Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):

- Total complaints decreased 29.6%.
- The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 20.7% to 14.9%.
- The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 12.2% to 10.4%.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 43.5 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.5 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 70.0 days.

Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):

- Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (4.23), Secure Detention (2.09), and under-represented at Delinquent Findings (0.70).
- See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.4% to 5.4%.
- The percentage of Felonies did not change.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 74.4% to 78.7%.

First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):

- The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 28.6% (8) to 16.7% (3) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 30.8% (4) to 16.7% (3) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	69.3%	72.1%	72.8%
White	26.0%	23.8%	23.0%
Hispanic/Other	4.7%	4.1%	4.2%
Sex			
Male	64.8%	63.5%	64.2%
Female	35.2%	36.5%	35.8%
Age			
11 and under	3.9%	6.0%	9.4%
12	6.1%	8.2%	10.4%
13	8.6%	11.3%	11.1%
14	13.8%	14.3%	13.2%
15	23.4%	18.8%	15.3%
16	21.7%	17.1%	17.5%
17	21.0%	22.2%	19.2%
18-20	1.5%	2.0%	3.9%
Total Complaints	1,088	846	766

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	46.3%	48.8%	39.5%	69.2%
Assault (Felony)	1.0%	4.1%	0.0%	3.8%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.6%	18.9%	16.3%	26.9%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	1.2%	2.3%	7.7%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	3.8%
Harassment	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	21.9%	20.1%	20.9%	23.1%
Robbery	0.4%	3.7%	0.0%	3.8%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	29.0%	27.9%	39.5%	11.5%
Arson	1.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.3%	1.2%	2.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	2.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.8%	7.0%	14.0%	3.8%
Other Property ⁺⁺	2.7%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.9%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.1%	8.2%	20.9%	7.7%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.2%	4.1%	2.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.3%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.9%	2.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Citations	6.0%	3.7%	4.7%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	2.0%	4.7%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	4.3%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.0%	9.8%	14.0%	19.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.4%	1.2%	2.3%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%	0.8%	4.7%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	2.0%	0.0%	3.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.9%	5.7%	7.0%	15.4%
Total Offenses	1,405	244	43	26

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	5.4%	13.5%	2.7%	11.1%
Felony	6.0%	19.2%	0.0%	5.6%
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.6%	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.1%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	2.9%	0.0%	5.6%
Misdemeanor	78.7%	58.7%	94.6%	83.3%
- Person-to-Person	50.4%	38.5%	51.4%	55.6%
- Property	24.2%	18.3%	32.4%	11.1%
- Drugs	2.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.2%	1.0%	10.8%	16.7%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.8%	1.0%	2.7%	0.0%
CINS	5.1%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	766	104	37	18
% of Statewide	3.9%	4.4%	2.3%	3.6%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Worcester County

203 River St., Snow Hill, MD 21863 (ph) 410-632-0206

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.9%	0.0%	0.8%
Citizen	0.9%	3.6%	1.8%
Police*	97.6%	95.6%	96.4%
Violation of Probation**	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%
Total Complaints	542	473	504

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	56.8%	52.0%	61.5%
Informaled	15.3%	25.8%	17.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	27.9%	22.2%	21.2%
Total Complaints*	542	473	504
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	5.3%	2.9%	10.3%
Stet	4.6%	12.4%	7.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	15.9%	10.5%	6.5%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	16.6%	21.0%	22.4%
Pending Disposition**	4.0%	1.9%	11.2%
Services Not Ordered	0.7%	1.9%	0.0%
Probation	45.7%	45.7%	38.3%
Committed to DJS	7.3%	2.9%	2.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	1.0%	0.9%
Total Formal Complaints	151	105	107

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	6.0
Pre-Court	21.6
Probation	24.7
Aftercare	8.0
Committed-Aftercare	2.2

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Worcester County population (ages 11-17) decreased 19.4% (from 4,441 to 3,580).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):

- 21.2% of complaints were formaled while 17.3% were informaled.

• Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):

- Total complaints decreased 7.0%.
- The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 45.7% to 38.3%.
- The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 7.3% to 2.8%.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.0 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 84.7 days.

• Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):

- Youth of color are most over-represented in a Secure Detention (2.41), Petition (2.21), and Diversion (1.56).
- See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 2.1% to 2.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 2.5% to 5.8%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 53.5% to 40.9%.

• First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):

- The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP increased from 16.7% (1) to 33.3% (1) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased from 0 to 1 between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	33.8%	36.4%	29.8%
White	61.6%	55.8%	62.5%
Hispanic/Other	4.6%	7.8%	7.7%
Sex			
Male	71.4%	68.5%	73.4%
Female	28.6%	31.5%	26.6%
Age			
11 and under	0.6%	1.5%	2.2%
12	0.7%	1.7%	2.2%
13	2.8%	4.0%	3.6%
14	6.1%	6.6%	7.3%
15	11.1%	12.1%	13.5%
16	20.3%	18.6%	18.5%
17	54.1%	50.1%	46.6%
18-20	4.4%	5.5%	6.2%
Total Complaints	542	473	504

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	20.1%	32.4%	36.4%	100.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	7.5%	10.8%	18.2%	33.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	2.7%	3.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	7.3%	13.5%	3.0%	33.3%
Robbery	0.6%	5.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.8%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.3%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	22.6%	37.8%	27.3%	0.0%
Arson	1.3%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.8%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.1%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.2%	16.2%	15.2%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	2.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.6%	10.8%	9.1%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.4%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.3%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.1%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Citations	30.6%	10.8%	3.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	14.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	11.9%	8.1%	3.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	2.4%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.6%	13.5%	24.2%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%	2.7%	15.2%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	1.3%	2.7%	3.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.1%	5.4%	6.1%	0.0%
Total Offenses	708	37	33	6

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	2.8%	13.6%	7.4%	33.3%
Felony	5.8%	4.5%	14.8%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
- Property	3.2%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.4%	0.0%	7.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	40.9%	59.1%	74.1%	66.7%
- Person-to-Person	21.2%	45.5%	44.4%	66.7%
- Property	15.9%	9.1%	22.2%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.6%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.2%	4.5%	3.7%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	33.1%	9.1%	3.7%	0.0%
CINS	3.2%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>504</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.6%</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region V-Southern Summary

49 Old Solomons Island Rd., Suite 300 Annapolis, MD 21401 (ph) 410-295-5740

Regional Director: Douglas Mohler
Counties of Anne Arundel, Calvert, Charles, and St. Mary's

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%
Citizen	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	96.6%	96.8%	97.6%
Violation of Probation**	2.6%	2.5%	1.6%
Total Complaints	4,639	4,358	4,259

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	47.4%	58.1%	57.0%
Informed	19.0%	14.7%	15.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	33.6%	27.3%	27.5%
Total Complaints*	4,639	4,358	4,259
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	23.1%	17.0%	14.1%
Stet	12.3%	14.3%	13.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.2%	0.3%	1.5%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	11.9%	12.8%	15.2%
Pending Disposition**	7.3%	10.0%	15.5%
Services Not Ordered	0.1%	0.3%	0.4%
Probation	29.5%	31.8%	29.3%
Committed to DJS	14.2%	12.8%	10.3%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%
Other***	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%
Total Formal Complaints	1,557	1,188	1,170

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	43.4
Pre-Court	145.9
Probation	337.9
Aftercare	115.9
Committed-Aftercare	76.2

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Southern Region population (ages 11-17) increased 5.2% (from 80,142 to 84,259).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 27.5% of complaints were formealed while 15.5% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 8.2%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 29.5% to 29.3%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 14.2% to 10.3%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 28.3 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.5 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 86.5 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 6.1% to 6.5%.
 - The percentage of Felonies did not change.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 76.2% to 75.8%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 21.4% (21) to 18.3% (13) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 29.9% (23) to 18.3% (13) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	54.7%	55.9%	55.5%
White	40.9%	39.6%	39.2%
Hispanic/Other	4.4%	4.5%	5.4%
Sex			
Male	71.0%	72.8%	70.5%
Female	29.0%	27.2%	29.5%
Age			
11 and under	4.8%	4.6%	4.9%
12	6.0%	4.8%	5.9%
13	8.7%	9.0%	10.2%
14	12.8%	14.4%	13.5%
15	19.9%	18.3%	19.2%
16	22.5%	21.0%	19.7%
17	23.3%	25.8%	24.7%
18-20	2.0%	2.1%	1.9%
Total Complaints	4,639	4,358	4,259

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	50.1%	43.6%	50.7%	48.2%
Assault (Felony)	1.7%	4.4%	0.4%	3.5%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	22.6%	17.4%	26.1%	16.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.7%	0.8%	1.5%	2.4%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	1.9%	0.7%	8.2%
Harassment	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	18.6%	12.0%	11.0%	4.7%
Robbery	1.8%	5.6%	2.6%	9.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.6%	1.0%	1.8%	1.2%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.3%	0.2%	6.2%	2.4%
Property Offenses	27.3%	36.3%	25.0%	24.7%
Arson	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.8%	2.1%	1.1%	2.4%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.6%	5.8%	0.4%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.8%	3.3%	4.4%	3.5%
Malicious Destruction	5.7%	6.4%	5.5%	5.9%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.6%	1.5%	1.8%	1.2%
Theft (Felony)	1.4%	3.5%	0.0%	1.2%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.8%	13.1%	11.4%	10.6%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.0%	3.9%	5.5%	8.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	1.7%	1.5%	7.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.0%	2.1%	4.0%	1.2%
Citations	9.3%	3.3%	1.5%	2.4%
Alcohol Violation	1.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.9%	1.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.1%	0.4%	0.7%	2.4%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.5%	11.4%	17.3%	16.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	2.1%	10.7%	4.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.5%	1.4%	3.7%	5.9%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.1%	6.9%	2.9%	5.9%
Total Offenses	6,856	518	272	85

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	6.5%	26.0%	4.2%	16.9%
Felony	5.0%	11.9%	11.8%	18.3%
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	0.9%	1.3%	1.4%
- Property	2.2%	6.0%	1.7%	4.2%
- Drugs	1.5%	4.3%	1.7%	8.5%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.9%	7.2%	4.2%
Misdemeanor	75.8%	57.0%	83.1%	64.8%
- Person-to-Person	50.3%	34.9%	51.5%	38.0%
- Property	21.9%	17.4%	21.1%	19.7%
- Drugs	1.4%	1.3%	4.2%	1.4%
- Unspecified	2.2%	3.4%	6.3%	5.6%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	11.6%	2.6%	0.8%	0.0%
CINS	1.1%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	4,259	235	237	71
% of Statewide	21.7%	9.9%	14.9%	14.2%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Anne Arundel County

49 Old Solomons Island Rd. Suite 300, Annapolis, MD 21401(ph) 410-295-5740; 7500 Ritchie Hwy. Suite 306, Glen Burnie, MD 21061 (ph) 410-412-7115

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.8%	1.0%	1.0%
Citizen	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	96.5%	96.3%	97.1%
Violation of Probation**	2.5%	2.7%	1.9%
Total Complaints	2,748	2,625	2,559

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	50.9%	62.7%	59.8%
Informaled	17.2%	12.4%	13.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	31.8%	24.9%	26.5%
Total Complaints*	2,748	2,625	2,559
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	24.8%	15.9%	10.3%
Stet	13.6%	11.9%	9.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	12.7%	14.4%	19.0%
Pending Disposition**	9.4%	13.3%	20.5%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%
Probation	23.9%	27.8%	28.1%
Committed to DJS	15.1%	15.7%	11.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%
Other***	0.5%	0.5%	0.1%
Total Formal Complaints	875	654	679

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	15.9
Pre-Court	83.1
Probation	166.5
Aftercare	69.3
Committed-Aftercare	44.6

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Anne Arundel County population (ages 11-17) increased 0.3% (from 48,576 to 48,698).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 26.5% of complaints were formaled while 13.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 6.9%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation increased from 23.9% to 28.1%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 15.1% to 11.5%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 25.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.8 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 90.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented at Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.44) and under-represented at Probation (0.81).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 6.4% to 6.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 5.4% to 5.5%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 81.1% to 80.0%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 32.0% (16) to 22.7% (10) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 39.1% (18) to 22.7% (10) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	51.2%	55.0%	53.3%
White	43.4%	39.2%	40.0%
Hispanic/Other	5.3%	5.8%	6.7%
Sex			
Male	71.7%	71.3%	69.9%
Female	28.3%	28.7%	30.1%
Age			
11 and under	5.1%	4.0%	5.2%
12	6.0%	5.0%	6.4%
13	8.1%	10.0%	10.1%
14	13.6%	15.5%	13.0%
15	21.0%	19.7%	20.4%
16	21.4%	19.8%	20.3%
17	23.1%	23.8%	22.9%
18-20	1.7%	2.1%	1.7%
Total Complaints	2,748	2,625	2,559

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	53.4%	46.3%	54.1%	46.2%
Assault (Felony)	1.8%	5.5%	0.7%	5.8%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	23.3%	16.1%	23.7%	15.4%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.2%	1.8%	2.2%	3.8%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	2.8%	0.7%	13.5%
Harassment	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	20.2%	12.8%	10.4%	1.9%
Robbery	1.7%	6.4%	4.4%	3.8%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.6%	0.0%	9.6%	1.9%
Property Offenses	26.5%	33.9%	21.5%	25.0%
Arson	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	1.4%	1.5%	3.8%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.2%	6.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.6%	2.8%	5.2%	1.9%
Malicious Destruction	5.2%	5.5%	2.2%	7.7%
Other Property ⁺⁺	4.3%	2.8%	1.5%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.5%	2.8%	0.0%	1.9%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.4%	12.4%	10.4%	9.6%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.3%	6.9%	7.4%	13.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	2.8%	0.7%	11.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.2%	4.1%	6.7%	1.9%
Citations	7.1%	3.2%	1.5%	1.9%
Alcohol Violation	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.4%	2.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	1.9%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.6%	9.2%	15.6%	13.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	0.5%	9.6%	3.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	1.4%	3.7%	3.8%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.8%	6.4%	2.2%	5.8%
Total Offenses	4,219	218	135	52

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	6.0%	27.6%	6.5%	13.6%
Felony	5.5%	15.3%	13.0%	25.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	2.0%	2.4%	0.0%
- Property	2.4%	7.1%	2.4%	6.8%
- Drugs	1.7%	6.1%	0.8%	13.6%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	7.3%	4.5%
Misdemeanor	80.0%	53.1%	79.7%	61.4%
- Person-to-Person	53.8%	27.6%	50.4%	34.1%
- Property	22.2%	19.4%	18.7%	20.5%
- Drugs	1.5%	3.1%	6.5%	2.3%
- Unspecified	2.5%	3.1%	4.1%	4.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.6%	2.0%	0.8%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	2,559	98	123	44
% of Statewide	13.0%	4.1%	7.8%	8.8%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Calvert County

200 Duke St. Suite 2800, Prince Frederick, MD 20678 (ph) 443-550-6275

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	99.2%	100.0%	99.2%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	360	389	380

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	51.9%	56.6%	54.2%
Informaled	20.0%	19.5%	18.7%
Authorized Formal Petition	28.1%	23.9%	27.1%
Total Complaints*	360	389	380
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.9%	9.7%	27.2%
Stet	21.8%	25.8%	14.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	12.9%	17.2%	11.7%
Pending Disposition**	2.0%	3.2%	1.9%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	1.1%	3.9%
Probation	37.6%	35.5%	32.0%
Committed to DJS	11.9%	7.5%	6.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
Total Formal Complaints	101	93	103

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	2.6
Pre-Court	14.0
Probation	39.7
Aftercare	6.0
Committed-Aftercare	5.4

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Calvert County population (ages 11-17) increased 15.6% (from 7,846 to 9,067).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 27.1% of complaints were formaled while 18.7% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints increased 5.6%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 37.6% to 32.0%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 11.9% to 6.8%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 18.8 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.8 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 90.2 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Results found for Calvert County are not Statistically Significant.
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 2.3% to 3.9%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.4% to 7.4%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 58.1% to 53.7%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP did not change between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	35.6%	20.6%	25.3%
White	59.7%	76.6%	71.3%
Hispanic/Other	4.7%	2.8%	3.4%
Sex			
Male	66.4%	73.3%	68.2%
Female	33.6%	26.7%	31.8%
Age			
11 and under	2.8%	4.4%	2.6%
12	3.1%	3.9%	4.5%
13	8.6%	4.4%	5.5%
14	11.4%	18.3%	10.3%
15	24.4%	19.0%	21.6%
16	24.4%	26.2%	23.4%
17	24.4%	22.9%	31.1%
18-20	0.8%	1.0%	1.1%
Total Complaints	360	389	380

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	30.0%	29.7%	52.8%	71.4%
Assault (Felony)	0.9%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	15.1%	12.5%	38.9%	14.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	10.8%	9.4%	2.8%	14.3%
Robbery	1.6%	3.1%	0.0%	42.9%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.2%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%
Property Offenses	29.4%	42.2%	22.2%	14.3%
Arson	0.5%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.8%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.1%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.4%	6.2%	2.8%	14.3%
Malicious Destruction	7.4%	6.2%	11.1%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.4%	3.1%	2.8%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.2%	17.2%	5.6%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.4%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.4%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%
Citations	19.3%	4.7%	2.8%	14.3%
Alcohol Violation	4.6%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	11.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.8%	0.0%	2.8%	14.3%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	8.7%	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.0%	12.5%	16.7%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.8%	4.7%	5.6%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.4%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.4%	4.7%	2.8%	0.0%
Total Offenses	564	64	36	7

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	3.9%	27.6%	3.4%	50.0%
Felony	7.4%	10.3%	10.3%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.4%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.1%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.3%	3.4%	6.9%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	53.7%	48.3%	86.2%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	33.9%	24.1%	51.7%	33.3%
- Property	16.3%	20.7%	27.6%	16.7%
- Drugs	1.6%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.8%	3.4%	3.4%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	23.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	11.6%	13.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>380</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.9%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>1.8%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Charles County

200 Kent Ave., La Plata, MD 20646 (ph) 301-392-6900

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	96.8%	96.9%	99.2%
Violation of Probation**	2.6%	2.3%	0.4%
Total Complaints	875	784	766

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	48.2%	59.1%	64.2%
Informed	11.9%	8.5%	4.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	39.9%	32.4%	30.9%
Total Complaints*	875	784	766
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	24.6%	18.1%	15.2%
Stet	8.6%	14.2%	16.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	4.6%	1.6%	5.5%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	8.0%	8.3%	8.9%
Pending Disposition**	7.7%	8.7%	15.2%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	33.8%	38.2%	32.1%
Committed to DJS	12.3%	9.8%	5.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Other***	0.3%	1.2%	0.4%
Total Formal Complaints	349	254	237

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	15.9
Pre-Court	8.7
Probation	65.7
Aftercare	27.1
Committed-Aftercare	14.9

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Charles County population (ages 11-17) increased 9.9% (from 14,162 to 15,569).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 30.9% of complaints were formealed while 4.8% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 12.5%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 33.8% to 32.1%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 12.3% to 5.5%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 39.2 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.5 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 92.6 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.76), and under-represented at Diversion (0.38).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 7.0% to 10.4%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 5.0% to 4.7%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 72.6% to 74.5%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP decreased from 10.0% (2) to 0.0% (0) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and did not change between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	75.3%	79.2%	81.6%
White	21.6%	17.5%	13.8%
Hispanic/Other	3.1%	3.3%	4.6%
Sex			
Male	72.3%	77.6%	74.4%
Female	27.7%	22.4%	25.6%
Age			
11 and under	2.7%	2.7%	3.5%
12	4.6%	3.2%	4.7%
13	6.6%	7.5%	11.6%
14	11.4%	11.4%	13.8%
15	16.5%	17.5%	17.5%
16	28.7%	23.7%	18.8%
17	26.2%	31.6%	27.2%
18-20	3.3%	2.4%	2.9%
Total Complaints	875	784	766

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	45.9%	39.5%	39.4%	56.2%
Assault (Felony)	2.2%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.8%	13.6%	26.8%	25.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.7%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	15.5%	8.2%	7.0%	6.2%
Robbery	2.2%	7.5%	1.4%	12.5%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.9%	2.0%	0.0%	6.2%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.4%	0.7%	2.8%	6.2%
Property Offenses	32.2%	43.5%	32.4%	18.8%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	3.4%	1.4%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.5%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.0%	3.4%	4.2%	6.2%
Malicious Destruction	6.4%	8.2%	9.9%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	2.7%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.4%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	16.1%	14.3%	14.1%	12.5%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.4%	2.0%	2.8%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	1.4%	2.8%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.2%	14.3%	25.4%	25.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.7%	4.8%	19.7%	12.5%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.6%	2.7%	1.4%	6.2%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.9%	6.1%	4.2%	6.2%
Total Offenses	1,250	147	71	16

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	10.4%	35.1%	1.8%	16.7%
Felony	4.7%	14.0%	15.8%	16.7%
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%
- Property	2.0%	8.8%	1.8%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.2%	3.5%	3.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	1.8%	10.5%	8.3%
Misdemeanor	74.5%	50.9%	82.5%	66.7%
- Person-to-Person	45.3%	35.1%	45.6%	50.0%
- Property	26.2%	15.8%	21.1%	8.3%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.0%	0.0%	15.8%	8.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	766	57	57	12
% of Statewide	3.9%	2.4%	3.6%	2.4%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

St. Mary's County

23110 Leonard Hall Dr. Suite 1040, Leonardtown MD 20650 (ph) 301-880-2850

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	95.4%	96.8%	96.8%
Violation of Probation**	4.1%	3.0%	3.1%
Total Complaints	656	560	554

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	29.1%	36.1%	36.1%
Informed	35.5%	30.5%	36.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	35.4%	33.4%	27.3%
Total Complaints*	656	560	554
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	19.0%	23.0%	20.5%
Stet	8.6%	17.1%	21.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	14.7%	11.2%	10.6%
Pending Disposition**	1.3%	3.7%	2.6%
Services Not Ordered	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	40.9%	35.3%	28.5%
Committed to DJS	14.7%	9.1%	14.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.4%	0.5%	0.7%
Total Formal Complaints	232	187	151

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	9.0
Pre-Court	40.0
Probation	66.0
Aftercare	13.5
Committed-Aftercare	11.4

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the St. Mary's County population (ages 11-17) increased 14.5% (from 9,558 to 10,945).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 27.3% of complaints were formealed while 36.6% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 15.5%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation decreased from 40.9% to 28.5%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 14.7% to 14.6%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 32.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.0 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 51.7 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.49), Secure Detention (1.87), and at Delinquent Findings (1.69).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 6.4% to 5.1%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 3.8% to 1.8%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 70.5% to 73.8%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP increased from 15.8% (3) to 33.3% (3) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 41.7% (5) to 33.3% (3) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	52.3%	51.8%	50.0%
White	45.9%	47.0%	48.4%
Hispanic/Other	1.8%	1.3%	1.6%
Sex			
Male	69.2%	72.9%	69.7%
Female	30.8%	27.1%	30.3%
Age			
11 and under	7.5%	10.2%	7.0%
12	9.5%	7.0%	6.7%
13	14.2%	9.5%	11.6%
14	11.9%	11.2%	17.5%
15	17.1%	12.5%	14.1%
16	17.8%	18.8%	15.5%
17	19.8%	28.7%	25.5%
18-20	2.3%	2.1%	2.2%
Total Complaints	656	560	554

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	53.5%	53.9%	60.0%	30.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	26.7%	30.3%	20.0%	10.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.9%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Harassment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	20.3%	18.0%	33.3%	10.0%
Robbery	1.6%	2.2%	0.0%	10.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.9%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	22.2%	25.8%	26.7%	40.0%
Arson	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.1%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.7%	2.2%	3.3%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.1%	5.6%	3.3%	10.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	1.7%	0.0%	3.3%	10.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.2%	10.1%	16.7%	20.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.4%	2.2%	3.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.8%	1.1%	3.3%	0.0%
Citations	15.2%	6.7%	3.3%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.9%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	6.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.6%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.4%	11.2%	6.7%	30.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.0%	0.0%	3.3%	20.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.9%	11.2%	3.3%	10.0%
Total Offenses	823	89	30	10

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

**Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	5.1%	11.8%	0.0%	11.1%
Felony	1.8%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.7%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	73.8%	76.5%	96.4%	88.9%
- Person-to-Person	52.2%	54.9%	67.9%	44.4%
- Property	18.6%	13.7%	25.0%	33.3%
- Drugs	1.4%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	7.8%	0.0%	11.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	19.1%	7.8%	3.6%	0.0%
CINS	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>554</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.8%</i>	<i>2.1%</i>	<i>1.8%</i>	<i>1.8%</i>

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region VI-Metro Summary

14735 Main Street, Suite M0400 Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 (ph) 301-952-2580

Regional Director: Delmonica Hawkins
Counties of Montgomery and Prince George's

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	2.0%	2.1%	2.5%
Citizen	4.9%	5.2%	4.6%
Police*	88.9%	87.8%	87.8%
Violation of Probation**	4.2%	4.8%	5.2%
Total Complaints	3,924	3,886	3,369

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	30.0%	27.8%	23.2%
Informed	18.1%	19.5%	24.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	51.9%	52.7%	52.5%
Total Complaints*	3,924	3,886	3,369
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	13.4%	19.0%	15.9%
Stet	3.4%	4.1%	3.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.1%	0.6%	0.1%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	30.1%	23.9%	19.7%
Pending Disposition**	6.1%	9.1%	17.8%
Services Not Ordered	6.2%	2.1%	2.6%
Probation	23.3%	24.5%	26.9%
Committed to DJS	14.4%	15.4%	12.3%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other***	1.9%	1.2%	1.0%
Total Formal Complaints	2,037	2,048	1,769

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	79.3
Pre-Court	214.3
Probation	446.5
Aftercare	168.2
Committed-Aftercare	97.0

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Metro Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.5% (from 174,769 to 172,207).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 52.5% of complaints were formealed while 24.3% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 14.1%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation increased from 23.3% to 26.9%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 14.4% to 12.3%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 55.3 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 25.8 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 77.2 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 17.7% to 17.8%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 10.7% to 8.9%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 58.7% to 59.1%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP increased from 20.8% (30) to 28.1% (27) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased from 27.8% (37) to 28.1% (27) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	67.0%	66.3%	60.9%
White	10.9%	9.6%	12.0%
Hispanic/Other	22.2%	24.1%	27.2%
Sex			
Male	75.9%	77.7%	76.6%
Female	24.1%	22.3%	23.4%
Age			
11 and under	1.6%	1.5%	1.4%
12	2.4%	2.8%	2.4%
13	5.3%	6.9%	4.2%
14	11.8%	11.1%	11.4%
15	20.9%	21.2%	19.0%
16	26.0%	23.3%	26.7%
17	26.7%	26.1%	29.4%
18-20	5.4%	7.1%	5.5%
Total Complaints	3,924	3,886	3,369

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	40.5%	51.7%	56.0%	51.4%
Assault (Felony)	2.0%	5.7%	1.7%	2.8%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	15.7%	14.9%	24.6%	16.0%
Carjacking	0.5%	0.7%	0.2%	0.7%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%	1.8%	1.4%	0.7%
Handgun Violation	1.2%	1.7%	4.3%	2.1%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	2.1%
Other Person ⁺	8.0%	7.3%	2.7%	4.9%
Robbery	7.2%	16.3%	13.3%	16.7%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.5%	2.8%	4.3%	4.2%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.2%	0.1%	2.7%	1.4%
Property Offenses	33.5%	30.8%	23.2%	31.9%
Arson	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	1.4%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.8%	1.5%	2.4%	2.1%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.8%	4.8%	2.7%	5.6%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.8%	3.6%	1.4%	2.8%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%	3.1%	2.4%	2.8%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.8%	3.3%	1.0%	2.1%
Theft (Felony)	3.1%	3.2%	2.2%	2.8%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.5%	11.3%	10.9%	12.5%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.0%	2.5%	3.1%	1.4%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	0.8%	1.7%	0.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.0%	1.6%	1.4%	0.7%
Citations	8.5%	2.5%	0.5%	0.7%
Alcohol Violation	1.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	6.1%	1.6%	0.5%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.5%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	12.6%	10.8%	16.9%	14.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	4.5%	4.7%	11.4%	9.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.6%	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	1.1%	0.7%	0.7%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.8%	3.9%	3.6%	4.2%
Total Offenses	6,006	1,094	414	144

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	17.8%	45.2%	21.3%	42.7%
Felony	8.9%	11.9%	20.1%	17.7%
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	3.4%	4.3%	4.2%
- Property	4.7%	5.9%	5.2%	3.1%
- Drugs	1.7%	1.6%	1.8%	1.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.9%	8.8%	9.4%
Misdemeanor	59.1%	36.5%	57.6%	38.5%
- Person-to-Person	34.7%	23.1%	36.9%	22.9%
- Property	20.9%	11.6%	13.4%	11.5%
- Drugs	1.5%	0.7%	1.2%	1.0%
- Unspecified	2.0%	1.1%	6.1%	3.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%
Citations	13.5%	4.6%	0.6%	1.0%
CINS	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	3,369	438	328	96
% of Statewide	17.1%	18.4%	20.7%	19.2%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Montgomery County

7300 Calhoun Pl. Suite 500, Rockville, MD 20855 (ph) 301- 610-8500; 8905 Fairview Rd. 6th Floor, Silver Spring, MD 20910
(ph) 301- 650-6760

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	1.2%	1.4%	0.8%
Citizen	0.8%	0.2%	0.9%
Police*	95.4%	94.7%	94.2%
Violation of Probation**	2.5%	3.6%	4.1%
Total Complaints	2,179	2,435	2,324

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMEALED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	26.3%	23.8%	21.9%
Informed	18.4%	21.2%	26.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	55.3%	55.0%	51.5%
Total Complaints*	2,179	2,435	2,324
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	15.6%	20.8%	18.9%
Stet	2.7%	5.0%	2.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.7%	0.9%	0.1%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	30.0%	26.1%	22.8%
Pending Disposition**	4.3%	7.4%	15.7%
Services Not Ordered	10.5%	3.3%	3.8%
Probation	23.1%	20.5%	24.3%
Committed to DJS	11.3%	15.8%	11.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other***	0.8%	0.2%	0.3%
Total Formal Complaints	1,206	1,339	1,196

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	40.8
Pre-Court	143.2
Probation	202.2
Aftercare	67.6
Committed-Aftercare	49.8

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Montgomery County population (ages 11-17) increased 4.7% (from 89,646 to 93,829).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):

- 51.5% of complaints were formealed while 26.6% were informed.

Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):

- Total complaints increased 6.7%.
- The percent of dispositions that received probation increased from 23.1% to 24.3%.
- The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 11.3% to 11.1%.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 46.2 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 26.2 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 84.5 days.

Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):

- Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.33), Secure Detention (3.26), and at Delinquent Findings (1.46).
- See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 13.0% to 12.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 9.6% to 7.5%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 59.4% to 60.0%.

First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):

- The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP increased from 37.2% (16) to 38.2% (21) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased from 43.2% (32) to 38.2% (21) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	57.0%	58.0%	52.5%
White	15.3%	12.9%	15.6%
Hispanic/Other	27.7%	29.1%	31.9%
Sex			
Male	77.1%	76.4%	76.8%
Female	22.9%	23.6%	23.2%
Age			
11 and under	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
12	2.8%	3.3%	2.4%
13	4.8%	7.5%	3.8%
14	10.4%	11.6%	11.2%
15	19.2%	21.2%	18.0%
16	26.6%	23.4%	25.8%
17	30.2%	26.2%	32.0%
18-20	4.8%	5.1%	6.0%
Total Complaints	2,179	2,435	2,324

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	31.0%	48.6%	45.5%	42.5%
Assault (Felony)	0.9%	3.3%	0.9%	1.2%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	13.1%	17.1%	22.1%	17.5%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	1.2%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.2%	2.3%	2.5%
Harassment	0.1%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	6.9%	8.7%	3.3%	6.2%
Robbery	4.9%	12.0%	7.0%	10.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.6%	4.0%	5.6%	2.5%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	1.2%
Property Offenses	35.9%	28.6%	28.6%	33.8%
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	0.5%	1.2%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.6%	1.6%	2.3%	3.8%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.6%	5.6%	4.7%	5.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.4%	2.1%	1.4%	1.2%
Malicious Destruction	4.1%	2.8%	2.3%	2.5%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.9%	2.8%	0.9%	3.8%
Theft (Felony)	3.0%	2.8%	1.9%	1.2%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	15.9%	10.8%	14.6%	15.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.3%	2.8%	4.7%	2.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	0.7%	2.8%	1.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.2%	2.1%	1.9%	1.2%
Citations	13.3%	5.6%	0.9%	1.2%
Alcohol Violation	2.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	9.7%	4.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	1.2%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	15.9%	13.8%	20.2%	20.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	6.2%	8.0%	14.6%	13.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.4%	0.5%	1.4%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.7%	0.9%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.9%	4.2%	3.3%	6.2%
Total Offenses	3,604	426	213	80

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	12.8%	35.6%	16.3%	25.5%
Felony	7.5%	13.2%	25.9%	20.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	3.9%	5.4%	1.8%
- Property	4.1%	6.3%	5.4%	3.6%
- Drugs	1.6%	2.0%	3.0%	1.8%
- Unspecified	0.9%	1.0%	12.0%	12.7%
Misdemeanor	60.0%	41.5%	56.6%	52.7%
- Person-to-Person	32.8%	26.8%	33.1%	29.1%
- Property	23.0%	13.2%	16.3%	18.2%
- Drugs	1.8%	0.5%	1.2%	1.8%
- Unspecified	2.4%	1.0%	6.0%	3.6%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	18.8%	9.3%	1.2%	1.8%
CINS	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	2,324	205	166	55
% of Statewide	11.8%	8.6%	10.5%	11.0%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Prince George's County

14735 Main St. Suite M0400, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 (ph) 301-952-2580; 1100 Mercantile Lane, Suite 120, Upper Marlboro, MD 20774 (ph) 301-386-6240

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	3.0%	3.4%	6.2%
Citizen	10.0%	13.6%	12.8%
Police*	80.7%	76.2%	73.5%
Violation of Probation**	6.2%	6.8%	7.5%
Total Complaints	1,745	1,451	1,045

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺ FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	34.6%	34.5%	25.9%
Informed	17.8%	16.7%	19.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	47.6%	48.9%	54.8%
Total Complaints*	1,745	1,451	1,045
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	10.2%	15.5%	9.8%
Stet	4.5%	2.3%	5.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	30.3%	19.9%	13.1%
Pending Disposition**	8.7%	12.3%	22.2%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Probation	23.7%	32.0%	32.1%
Committed to DJS	19.0%	14.8%	14.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Other***	3.5%	3.0%	2.3%
Total Formal Complaints	831	709	573

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	38.5
Pre-Court	71.1
Probation	244.3
Aftercare	100.5
Committed-Aftercare	47.3

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2013 and July 2017, the Prince George's County population (ages 11-17) decreased 7.9% (from 85,123 to 78,378).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2018):*
 - 54.8% of complaints were formaeled while 19.2% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2016-2018):*
 - Total complaints decreased 40.1%.
 - The percent of dispositions that received probation increased from 23.7% to 32.1%.
 - The percent of dispositions that were committed decreased from 19.0% to 14.8%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2018):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 75.4 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 24.9 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 64.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2018):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.64), Petition (1.10) and under-represented at Diversion (0.69).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 25.5% to 29.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 12.5% to 12.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 57.5% to 57.2%.
- *First-Time New Commitments for VOP (FY 2016-2018):*
 - The percentage of first-time commitments for VOP increased from 13.9% (14) to 14.6% (6) between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased from 8.5% (5) to 14.6% (6) between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	79.4%	80.3%	79.5%
White	5.3%	4.1%	3.8%
Hispanic/Other	15.3%	15.6%	16.7%
Sex			
Male	74.4%	79.9%	76.2%
Female	25.6%	20.1%	23.8%
Age			
11 and under	2.2%	1.4%	2.8%
12	1.8%	1.9%	2.4%
13	6.0%	5.9%	5.0%
14	13.6%	10.2%	11.9%
15	23.0%	21.0%	21.1%
16	25.2%	23.2%	28.8%
17	22.2%	26.1%	23.7%
18-20	6.0%	10.3%	4.4%
Total Complaints	1,745	1,451	1,045

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Person-to-Person	54.7%	53.7%	67.2%	62.5%
Assault (Felony)	3.6%	7.2%	2.5%	4.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.7%	13.5%	27.4%	14.1%
Carjacking	1.1%	0.9%	0.5%	1.6%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	1.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.7%	2.7%	6.5%	1.6%
Harassment	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	4.7%
Other Person ⁺	9.7%	6.4%	2.0%	3.1%
Robbery	10.5%	19.0%	19.9%	25.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.8%	2.1%	3.0%	6.2%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.6%	0.1%	4.5%	1.6%
Property Offenses	29.8%	32.2%	17.4%	29.7%
Arson	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	1.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.2%	1.3%	2.5%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.1%	4.2%	0.5%	6.2%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	4.5%	1.5%	4.7%
Malicious Destruction	4.4%	3.3%	2.5%	3.1%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.7%	3.6%	1.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	3.1%	3.4%	2.5%	4.7%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.8%	11.7%	7.0%	9.4%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.7%	2.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.7%	1.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.8%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	3.3%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.8%	8.8%	13.4%	7.8%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.0%	2.5%	8.0%	4.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.0%	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	1.1%	1.3%	0.5%	1.6%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.7%	3.7%	4.0%	1.6%
Total Offenses	2,402	668	201	64

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

¹ Alleged offenses; ² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 241.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	29.0%	53.6%	26.5%	65.9%
Felony	12.1%	10.7%	14.2%	14.6%
- Person-to-Person	3.2%	3.0%	3.1%	7.3%
- Property	6.1%	5.6%	4.9%	2.4%
- Drugs	1.7%	1.3%	0.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.9%	5.6%	4.9%
Misdemeanor	57.2%	32.2%	58.6%	19.5%
- Person-to-Person	38.9%	19.7%	40.7%	14.6%
- Property	16.2%	10.3%	10.5%	2.4%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.9%	1.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	1.3%	6.2%	2.4%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Citations	1.6%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	1,045	233	162	41
% of Statewide	5.3%	9.8%	10.2%	8.2%

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2018 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2018 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Regional Summary Trends

Youth from out-of-state with complaints in Maryland are listed by the Maryland jurisdiction where they are charged or supervised, except for youth in detention or committed placement, who are listed by their region of residence/jurisdiction.

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2016-2018

Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention						Post-D Pending Placement					
	FY16	FY17	FY18	Placements			ADP			Placements*			ADP*		
	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18
R-I-Balt. City	2,491	2,213	1,783	1,083	933	758	36.7	37.5	38.3	213	200	218	15.9	14.8	19.9
R-II-Central	5,950	6,009	5,422	415	404	415	21.9	20.7	25.2	141	128	136	9.1	9.3	10.4
R-III-Western	2,147	2,181	1,969	279	199	186	14.2	13.3	11.2	78	89	66	3.7	5.5	3.8
R-IV-Eastern	3,293	2,885	2,857	347	265	253	17.0	12.9	14.7	94	90	69	4.0	5.5	5.4
R-V-Southern	4,639	4,358	4,259	401	307	235	16.4	10.9	10.4	168	153	128	8.9	9.3	8.6
R-VI-Metro	3,924	3,886	3,369	689	515	438	40.0	27.1	22.9	228	203	159	14.1	15.4	12.5
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	70	76	92	2.4	2.7	2.5	12	11	7	1.2	1.0	0.6
Statewide	22,444	21,532	19,659	3,284	2,699	2,377	148.6	125.2	125.2	934	874	783	56.8	60.7	61.2

* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP. ** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction

POST-EJECTION DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), FIRST-TIME PROBATION DISPOSITIONS, AND AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2016-2018

County / Region	Post-Ejection Detention Placement						First-Time Probation Dispositions*			Average Supervised Caseload**		
	Placements			ADP			FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18
	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18
R-I-Balt. City	38	29	10	3.4	2.7	1.6	364	332	295	756	700	661
R-II-Central	10	26	41	0.7	2.8	4.1	478	444	404	625	609	620
R-III-Western	13	11	14	0.7	1.0	1.4	140	109	149	252	200	208
R-IV-Eastern	11	29	21	0.8	2.3	2.3	212	176	174	345	349	316
R-V-Southern	28	23	23	1.8	2.5	2.4	322	247	237	555	501	454
R-VI-Metro	39	30	17	2.6	2.6	1.6	317	302	328	714	663	615
Out-of-State***	4	1	1	0.5	0.2	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Statewide	143	149	127	10.5	14.0	13.5	1,833	1,610	1,587	3,247	3,020	2,873

* Includes youth with no prior probation or commitment order who are placed on DJJ in-home supervision under a new probation or commitment order. ** Includes all probation and community aftercare. *** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction

COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS, PLACEMENTS, AND ADP BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2016-2018

Region	First-Time Committed Dispositions*			First-Time Committed For VOP			Committed					
	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	Placements			ADP		
	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18
R-I-Balt. City	135	127	147	30	26	29	211	213	210	103.4	89.2	94.5
R-II-Central	97	95	107	33	29	28	153	150	154	79.3	77.7	72.9
R-III-Western	48	46	37	23	11	12	104	101	80	46.8	46.0	40.7
R-IV-Eastern	60	33	43	13	10	5	105	91	79	68.6	44.3	29.0
R-V-Southern	98	77	71	21	23	13	216	172	133	105.0	98.6	73.1
R-VI-Metro	144	133	96	30	37	27	255	244	156	158.2	112.7	92.8
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	18	17	13	12.6	10.7	8.5
Statewide	582	511	501	150	136	114	1,062	988	825	574.0	479.3	411.5

* "Disposition" counts all youth newly committed to the Department, not all of whom end up placed into committed out-of-home placements. Those that are placed are reflected in the "Placements" and "ADP" figures. ** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

County/Regional Trends

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2016-2018⁺

County / Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention						Post-D Pending Placement					
				Placements			ADP			Placements*			ADP*		
	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18
R-I-Balt. City	2,491	2,213	1,783	1,083	933	758	36.7	37.5	38.3	213	200	218	15.9	14.8	19.9
Baltimore City	2,491	2,213	1,783	1,083	933	758	36.7	37.5	38.3	213	200	218	15.9	14.8	19.9
R-II-Central	5,950	6,009	5,422	415	404	415	21.9	20.7	25.2	141	128	136	9.1	9.3	10.4
Baltimore Co.	3,726	3,636	3,556	254	236	263	14.4	14.3	17.9	80	57	71	5.8	4.7	5.1
Carroll	363	389	366	41	29	23	1.4	1.6	1.0	16	19	7	0.7	1.1	0.7
Harford	850	1,068	825	57	62	58	3.4	2.8	3.4	28	29	30	1.9	1.8	2.1
Howard	1,011	916	675	63	77	71	2.6	2.0	2.8	17	23	28	0.6	1.6	2.6
R-III-Western	2,147	2,181	1,969	279	199	186	14.2	13.3	11.2	78	89	66	3.7	5.5	3.8
Allegany	440	477	421	22	16	35	1.7	1.4	2.3	12	16	13	0.5	0.9	0.7
Frederick	621	692	610	89	74	49	5.2	5.8	3.8	35	38	28	1.9	2.0	1.9
Garrett	157	179	153	4	3	9	0.2	0.2	0.5	1	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Washington	929	833	785	164	106	93	7.0	5.9	4.6	30	34	24	1.3	2.6	1.3
R-IV-Eastern	3,293	2,885	2,857	347	265	253	17.0	12.9	14.7	94	90	69	4.0	5.5	5.4
Caroline	284	268	245	4	12	8	0.4	1.0	0.2	3	6	2	0.2	0.3	0.2
Cecil	349	387	350	47	40	52	2.9	1.8	3.4	10	15	10	0.5	0.9	1.3
Dorchester	529	352	455	32	19	27	1.3	1.3	1.6	12	7	10	0.8	0.6	0.4
Kent	72	79	70	1	2	6	0.2	0.3	0.4	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	115	127	136	3	10	12	0.1	0.5	0.5	1	5	8	0.0	0.2	0.4
Somerset	183	191	178	15	19	16	0.8	0.3	1.2	5	3	6	0.1	0.2	0.4
Talbot	131	162	153	5	2	6	0.2	0.1	0.3	2	1	6	0.0	0.0	0.2
Wicomico	1,088	846	766	199	132	104	9.4	6.8	5.9	52	52	22	1.8	3.1	2.2
Worcester	542	473	504	41	29	22	1.6	0.8	1.2	8	1	4	0.4	0.1	0.2
R-V-Southern	4,639	4,358	4,259	401	307	235	16.4	10.9	10.4	168	153	128	8.9	9.3	8.6
Anne Arundel	2,748	2,625	2,559	160	134	98	7.7	6.7	4.5	86	89	82	5.1	6.6	6.6
Calvert	360	389	380	32	37	29	1.2	0.9	1.3	11	14	3	0.7	0.7	0.1
Charles	875	784	766	106	66	57	3.3	1.1	2.2	46	19	22	2.1	1.0	1.3
St. Mary's	656	560	554	103	70	51	4.2	2.2	2.3	25	31	21	1.0	1.0	0.7
R-VI-Metro	3,924	3,886	3,369	689	515	438	40.0	27.1	22.9	228	203	159	14.1	15.4	12.5
Montgomery	2,179	2,435	2,324	202	226	205	13.2	13.8	14.3	82	105	83	4.2	6.7	5.3
Prince George's	1,745	1,451	1,045	487	289	233	26.8	13.3	8.7	146	98	76	9.8	8.7	7.2
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	70	76	92	2.4	2.7	2.5	12	11	7	1.2	1.0	0.6
Statewide	22,444	21,532	19,659	3,284	2,699	2,377	148.6	125.2	125.2	934	874	783	56.8	60.7	61.2

* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP. ** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction;

+ If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

- Between FY 2016 and FY 2018:
 - Intake Complaints decreased 12.4%
 - Pre-Dispositional Detention Placements decreased 27.6% and ADP decreased 15.7%
 - Post-Dispositional Pending Placements decreased 16.2% and ADP increased 7.6%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

County/Regional Trends (cont.)

POST-EJECTION DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), FIRST-TIME PROBATION DISPOSITIONS, AND AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2016-2018*

County / Region	Post-Ejection Detention Placements						First-Time Probation Dispositions*			Average Supervised Caseload**		
	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18
R-I-Balt. City	38	29	10	3.4	2.7	1.6	364	332	295	756	700	661
Baltimore City	38	29	10	3.4	2.7	1.6	364	332	295	756	700	661
R-II-Central	10	26	41	0.7	2.8	4.1	478	444	404	625	609	620
Baltimore Co.	9	13	17	0.6	1.6	1.7	283	275	252	406	399	392
Carroll	0	2	3	0.0	0.4	0.5	27	23	29	31	26	29
Harford	1	3	8	0.1	0.1	0.5	91	89	57	83	96	106
Howard	0	8	13	0.0	0.7	1.5	77	57	66	104	87	93
R-III-Western	13	11	14	0.7	1.0	1.4	140	109	149	252	200	208
Allegany	1	0	2	0.0	0.1	0.2	41	27	36	68	53	58
Frederick	5	9	9	0.2	0.6	0.9	52	47	57	78	59	72
Garrett	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6	7	12	36	27	24
Washington	7	2	3	0.5	0.4	0.3	41	28	44	70	61	53
R-IV-Eastern	11	29	21	0.8	2.3	2.3	212	176	174	345	349	316
Caroline	1	1	1	0.1	0.0	0.1	10	14	11	20	19	18
Cecil	0	6	5	0.0	0.5	1.1	48	39	33	80	89	86
Dorchester	3	3	2	0.3	0.4	0.2	30	26	33	33	33	42
Kent	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8	5	1	11	12	10
Queen Anne's	0	2	2	0.0	0.1	0.2	10	11	11	11	12	15
Somerset	1	1	2	0.0	0.1	0.2	11	7	14	16	17	11
Talbot	0	0	2	0.0	0.0	0.1	8	4	7	7	5	8
Wicomico	5	15	7	0.3	1.1	0.5	57	49	37	113	110	95
Worcester	1	1	0	0.1	0.1	0.0	30	21	27	54	51	33
R-V-Southern	28	23	23	1.8	2.5	2.4	322	247	237	555	501	454
Anne Arundel	17	20	18	1.2	2.3	2.0	144	107	123	257	234	236
Calvert	0	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38	26	29	67	63	46
Charles	6	0	1	0.3	0.1	0.1	74	58	57	125	112	93
St. Mary's	5	2	4	0.3	0.1	0.2	66	56	28	106	92	80
R-VI-Metro	39	30	17	2.6	2.6	1.6	317	302	328	714	663	615
Montgomery	10	12	5	0.6	1.0	0.4	126	140	166	218	235	270
Prince George's	29	18	12	2.1	1.7	1.2	191	162	162	497	428	345
Out-of-State***	4	1	1	0.5	0.2	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Statewide	143	149	127	10.5	14.0	13.5	1,833	1,610	1,587	3,247	3,020	2,873

* Includes youth with no prior probation/commitment order who are placed on DJJ in-home supervision under a new probation/commitment order. ** Includes all probation and community aftercare. *** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction. + If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

- Between FY 2016 and FY 2018:
 - Post-Ejection Detention Placements decreased 11.2% and ADP increased 28.6%
 - First-Time Probation Dispositions decreased 13.4% and Average Supervised Caseload decreased 11.5%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

FIRST-TIME COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS, FIRST-TIME COMMITMENTS FOR VOP, COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, AND ADP BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2016-2018*

County / Region	First-Time Committed Dispositions*			First-Time Committed For VOP			Committed Placements			ADP		
	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY16	FY17	FY18
R-I-Balt. City	135	127	147	30	26	29	211	213	210	103.4	89.2	94.5
Baltimore City	135	127	147	30	26	29	211	213	210	103.4	89.2	94.5
R-II-Central	97	95	107	33	29	28	153	150	154	79.3	77.7	72.9
Baltimore Co.	47	40	58	18	11	16	81	67	74	39.0	35.6	38.2
Carroll	13	7	5	4	2	0	26	19	15	14.7	13.9	8.9
Harford	17	21	18	6	8	3	26	34	27	13.8	15.3	11.8
Howard	20	27	26	5	8	9	20	30	38	11.9	12.9	14.1
R-III-Western	48	46	37	23	11	12	104	101	80	46.8	46.0	40.7
Allegany	6	14	14	3	3	2	17	22	16	8.0	10.4	7.1
Frederick	21	18	13	5	3	4	41	36	30	20.8	17.7	16.4
Garrett	1	3	2	1	0	1	3	5	3	0.8	1.6	1.7
Washington	20	11	8	14	5	5	43	38	31	17.3	16.2	15.5
R-IV-Eastern	60	33	43	13	10	5	105	91	79	68.6	44.3	29.0
Caroline	3	3	1	0	2	0	3	6	1	1.4	2.4	1.9
Cecil	6	8	3	0	1	0	10	11	13	7.9	6.7	3.9
Dorchester	9	3	8	2	2	1	15	7	13	9.8	4.6	3.2
Kent	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1.4	0.2	0.3
Queen Anne's	3	6	5	1	1	0	3	6	12	1.1	1.7	2.6
Somerset	2	0	3	0	0	0	7	5	6	2.9	3.0	3.0
Talbot	2	0	1	1	0	0	5	1	2	3.8	1.4	0.4
Wicomico	28	13	18	8	4	3	51	53	28	34.7	20.8	11.5
Worcester	6	0	3	1	0	1	10	2	3	5.6	3.6	2.2
R-V-Southern	98	77	71	21	23	13	216	172	133	105.0	98.6	73.1
Anne Arundel	50	46	44	16	18	10	112	96	80	58.0	53.2	42.9
Calvert	9	6	6	0	0	0	11	17	6	6.6	5.6	4.5
Charles	20	13	12	2	0	0	58	26	27	27.2	24.3	14.4
St. Mary's	19	12	9	3	5	3	35	33	20	13.2	15.5	11.4
R-VI-Metro	144	133	96	30	37	27	255	244	156	158.2	112.7	92.8
Montgomery	43	74	55	16	32	21	95	123	79	52.6	53.4	45.4
Prince George's	101	59	41	14	5	6	160	121	77	105.6	59.3	47.4
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	18	17	13	12.6	10.7	8.5
Statewide	582	511	501	150	136	114	1,062	988	825	574.0	479.3	411.5

*"Disposition" counts all youth newly committed to the Department, not all of whom end up placed into committed out-of-home placements. Those that are placed are reflected in the "Placements" and "ADP" figures.** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction. * If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

• Between FY 2016 and FY 2018:

- First-Time Committed Dispositions decreased from 582 to 501
- First-Time Commitments for VOP decreased from 150 to 114
- Committed Placements decreased from 1,062 to 825 and ADP decreased from 574.0 to 411.5

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Change in Percentage Points for Trends By Region/County of RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION from FY2016-2018

INTAKE COMPLAINTS CHANGES FROM FY2016-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-28.4%	-708
R-VI-Metro	-14.1%	-555
R-IV-Eastern	-13.2%	-436
R-II-Central	-8.9%	-528
R-III-Western	-8.3%	-178
R-V-Southern	-8.2%	-380

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Prince George's	-40.1%	-700
Howard	-33.2%	-336
Wicomico	-29.6%	-322
Baltimore City	-28.4%	-708
St. Mary's	-15.6%	-102
Washington	-15.5%	-144
Dorchester	-14.0%	-74
Caroline	-13.7%	-39
Charles	-12.5%	-109
Worcester	-7.0%	-38
Anne Arundel	-6.9%	-189
Baltimore County	-4.6%	-170
Allegany	-4.3%	-19
Harford	-2.9%	-25
Kent	-2.8%	-2
Somerset	-2.7%	-5
Garrett	-2.6%	-4
Frederick	-1.8%	-11
Cecil	0.3%	1
Carroll	0.8%	3
Calvert	5.6%	20
Montgomery	6.7%	145
Talbot	16.8%	22
Queen Anne's	18.3%	21

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENT CHANGES FROM FY2016-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-V-Southern	-41.4%	-166
R-VI-Metro	-36.4%	-251
R-III-Western	-33.3%	-93
R-I-Balt. City	-30.0%	-325
R-IV-Eastern	-27.1%	-94
R-II-Central	0.0%	0

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Prince George's	-52.2%	-254
St. Mary's	-50.5%	-52
Wicomico	-47.7%	-95
Worcester	-46.3%	-19
Charles	-46.2%	-49
Frederick	-44.9%	-40
Carroll	-43.9%	-18
Washington	-43.3%	-71
Anne Arundel	-38.8%	-62
Baltimore City	-30.0%	-325
Dorchester	-15.6%	-5
Calvert	-9.4%	-3
Montgomery	1.5%	3
Harford	1.8%	1
Baltimore County	3.5%	9
Somerset	6.7%	1
Cecil	10.6%	5
Howard	12.7%	8
Talbot	20.0%	1
Allegany	59.1%	13
Caroline	100.0%	4
Garrett	>100%	5
Queen Anne's	>100%	9
Kent	>100%	5

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADP CHANGES FROM FY2016-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI-Metro	-42.66%	-17
R-V-Southern	-36.40%	-6
R-III-Western	-20.85%	-3
R-IV-Eastern	-14.01%	-2
R-I-Balt. City	4.35%	2
R-II-Central	15.01%	3

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Prince George's	-67.72%	-18
St. Mary's	-43.68%	-2
Caroline	-42.33%	0
Anne Arundel	-41.89%	-3
Wicomico	-37.25%	-4
Washington	-34.04%	-2
Charles	-32.79%	-1
Carroll	-30.48%	0
Worcester	-29.82%	0
Frederick	-27.70%	-1
Harford	1.00%	0
Baltimore City	4.35%	2
Montgomery	8.37%	1
Howard	8.68%	0
Calvert	15.57%	0
Cecil	16.65%	0
Dorchester	22.09%	0
Baltimore County	23.99%	3
Allegany	32.80%	1
Somerset	36.71%	0
Talbot	73.33%	0
Kent	>100%	0
Garrett	>100%	0
Queen Anne's	>100%	0

POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENT¹ CHANGES FROM FY2016-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI-Metro	-30.3%	-69
R-IV-Eastern	-26.6%	-25
R-V-Southern	-23.8%	-40
R-III-Western	-15.4%	-12
R-II-Central	-3.6%	-5
R-I-Balt. City	2.4%	5

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Calvert	-72.7%	-8
Wicomico	-57.7%	-30
Carroll	-56.3%	-9
Charles	-52.2%	-24
Worcester	-50.0%	-4
Prince George's	-48.0%	-70
Caroline	-33.3%	-1
Frederick	-20.0%	-7
Washington	-20.0%	-6
Dorchester	-16.7%	-2
St. Mary's	-16.0%	-4
Baltimore County	-11.3%	-9
Anne Arundel	-4.7%	-4
Garrett	0.0%	0
Cecil	0.0%	0
Kent	0.0%	0
Montgomery	1.2%	1
Baltimore City	2.4%	5
Harford	7.1%	2
Allegany	8.3%	1
Somerset	20.0%	1
Howard	64.7%	11
Talbot	>100%	4
Queen Anne's	>100%	7

¹ Includes post-ejection detention placements.
ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Change in Percentage Points for Trends By Region/County of RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION from FY2016-2018 (cont.)

POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADP¹ CHANGES FROM FY2016-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI-Metro	-11.38%	-2
R-V-Southern	-2.92%	0
R-III-Western	2.55%	0
R-II-Central	14.94%	1
R-I-Balt. City	24.69%	4
R-IV-Eastern	34.66%	1

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Calvert	-86.21%	-1
Dorchester	-55.58%	0
Worcester	-43.27%	0
Charles	-37.04%	-1
St. Mary's	-34.34%	0
Prince George's	-27.11%	-3
Caroline	-23.66%	0
Baltimore County	-12.66%	-1
Carroll	-7.03%	0
Frederick	-2.01%	0
Washington	-0.15%	0
Kent	0.27%	0
Harford	9.05%	0
Wicomico	20.69%	0
Allegany	24.30%	0
Baltimore City	24.69%	4
Montgomery	25.13%	1
Anne Arundel	27.82%	1
Garrett	50.41%	0
Cecil	>100%	1
Somerset	>100%	0
Howard	>100%	2
Talbot	>100%	0
Queen Anne's	>100%	0

POST-EJECTION DETENTION PLACEMENT CHANGES* FROM FY2016-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-73.7%	-28
R-VI-Metro	-56.4%	-22
R-V-Southern	-17.9%	-5
R-III-Western	7.7%	1
R-IV-Eastern	90.9%	10
R-II-Central	>100%	31

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Worcester	-100.0%	-1
Charles	-83.3%	-5
Baltimore City	-73.7%	-28
Prince George's	-58.6%	-17
Washington	-57.1%	-4
Montgomery	-50.0%	-5
Dorchester	-33.3%	-1
St. Mary's	-20.0%	-1
Caroline	0.0%	0
Anne Arundel	5.9%	1
Wicomico	40.0%	2
Frederick	80.0%	4
Baltimore County	88.9%	8
Allegany	100.0%	1
Somerset	100.0%	1
Harford	>100%	7
Carroll	N/A	3
Howard	N/A	13
Garrett	N/A	0
Cecil	N/A	5
Kent	N/A	0
Queen Anne's	N/A	2
Talbot	N/A	2
Calvert	N/A	0

¹ Includes post-ejection detention placements.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

* Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

POST-EJECTION DETENTION ADP CHANGES* FROM FY2016-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-51.17%	-2
R-VI-Metro	-39.92%	-1
R-V-Southern	34.99%	1
R-III-Western	94.40%	1
R-IV-Eastern	>100%	2
R-II-Central	>100%	3

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Worcester	-100.00%	0
Washington	-51.18%	0
Baltimore City	-51.17%	-2
Dorchester	-45.72%	0
Charles	-45.60%	0
Caroline	-44.59%	0
Prince George's	-42.95%	-1
Montgomery	-28.79%	0
St. Mary's	-14.92%	0
Anne Arundel	62.56%	1
Wicomico	>100%	0
Baltimore County	>100%	1
Harford	>100%	0
Frederick	>100%	1
Somerset	>100%	0
Allegany	>100%	0
Carroll	N/A	1
Howard	N/A	1
Garrett	N/A	0
Cecil	N/A	1
Kent	N/A	0
Queen Anne's	N/A	0
Talbot	N/A	0
Calvert	N/A	0

FIRST-TIME PROBATION DISPOSITION CHANGES FROM FY2016-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-V-Southern	-26.4%	-85
R-I-Balt. City	-19.0%	-69
R-IV-Eastern	-17.9%	-38
R-II-Central	-15.5%	-74
R-VI-Metro	3.5%	11
R-III-Western	6.4%	9

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-87.5%	-7
St. Mary's	-57.6%	-38
Harford	-37.4%	-34
Wicomico	-35.1%	-20
Cecil	-31.3%	-15
Calvert	-23.7%	-9
Charles	-23.0%	-17
Baltimore City	-19.0%	-69
Prince George's	-15.2%	-29
Anne Arundel	-14.6%	-21
Howard	-14.3%	-11
Talbot	-12.5%	-1
Allegany	-12.2%	-5
Baltimore County	-11.0%	-31
Worcester	-10.0%	-3
Washington	7.3%	3
Carroll	7.4%	2
Frederick	9.6%	5
Caroline	10.0%	1
Dorchester	10.0%	3
Queen Anne's	10.0%	1
Somerset	27.3%	3
Montgomery	31.8%	40
Garrett	100.0%	6

* Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Change in Percentage Points for Trends By Region/County of RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION from FY2016-2018 (cont.)

AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD CHANGES FROM FY2016-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-V - Southern	-18.3%	-102
R-III - Western	-17.7%	-45
R-VI - Metro	-13.9%	-100
R-I - Balt. City	-12.5%	-95
R-IV - Eastern	-8.4%	-29
R-II - Central	-0.8%	-5

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Worcester	-39.6%	-21
Somerset	-35.3%	-6
Calvert	-32.2%	-22
Garrett	-31.9%	-11
Prince George's	-30.6%	-152
Charles	-25.9%	-33
St. Mary's	-24.9%	-26
Washington	-23.8%	-17
Wicomico	-16.2%	-18
Allegany	-15.0%	-10
Kent	-13.7%	-2
Caroline	-12.6%	-3
Baltimore City	-12.5%	-95
Howard	-10.5%	-11
Anne Arundel	-8.2%	-21
Frederick	-8.0%	-6
Carroll	-6.7%	-2
Baltimore County	-3.6%	-15
Cecil	7.3%	6
Talbot	18.5%	1
Montgomery	24.0%	52
Harford	27.1%	23
Dorchester	27.6%	9
Queen Anne's	43.2%	5

FIRST-TIME COMMITTED DISPOSITION CHANGES FROM FY2016-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI - Metro	-33.3%	-48
R-IV - Eastern	-28.3%	-17
R-V - Southern	-27.6%	-27
R-III - Western	-22.9%	-11
R-I - Balt. City	8.9%	12
R-II - Central	10.3%	10

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Caroline	-66.7%	-2
Carroll	-61.5%	-8
Washington	-60.0%	-12
Prince George's	-59.4%	-60
St. Mary's	-52.6%	-10
Cecil	-50.0%	-3
Talbot	-50.0%	-1
Worcester	-50.0%	-3
Charles	-40.0%	-8
Frederick	-38.1%	-8
Wicomico	-35.7%	-10
Calvert	-33.3%	-3
Anne Arundel	-12.0%	-6
Dorchester	-11.1%	-1
Kent	0.0%	0
Harford	5.9%	1
Baltimore City	8.9%	12
Baltimore County	23.4%	11
Montgomery	27.9%	12
Howard	30.0%	6
Somerset	50.0%	1
Queen Anne's	66.7%	2
Garrett	100.0%	1
Allegany	>100%	8

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

FIRST-TIME COMMITMENT FOR VOP CHANGES FROM FY2016-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-IV - Eastern	-61.5%	-8
R-III - Western	-47.8%	-11
R-V - Southern	-38.1%	-8
R-II - Central	-15.2%	-5
R-VI - Metro	-10.0%	-3
R-I - Balt. City	-3.3%	-1

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Carroll	-100.0%	-4
Queen Anne's	-100.0%	-1
Talbot	-100.0%	-1
Charles	-100.0%	-2
Washington	-64.3%	-9
Wicomico	-62.5%	-5
Prince George's	-57.1%	-8
Harford	-50.0%	-3
Dorchester	-50.0%	-1
Anne Arundel	-37.5%	-6
Allegany	-33.3%	-1
Frederick	-20.0%	-1
Baltimore County	-11.1%	-2
Baltimore City	-3.3%	-1
Garrett	0.0%	0
Worcester	0.0%	0
St. Mary's	0.0%	0
Montgomery	31.3%	5
Howard	80.0%	4
Caroline	N/A	0
Cecil	N/A	0
Kent	N/A	0
Somerset	N/A	0
Calvert	N/A	0

COMMITTED PLACEMENT CHANGES FROM FY2016-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI-Metro	-38.8%	-99
R-V-Southern	-38.4%	-83
R-IV-Eastern	-24.8%	-26
R-III-Western	-23.1%	-24
R-I-Balt. City	-0.5%	-1
R-II-Central	0.7%	1

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Worcester	-70.0%	-7
Caroline	-66.7%	-2
Talbot	-60.0%	-3
Charles	-53.5%	-31
Prince George's	-51.9%	-83
Calvert	-45.5%	-5
Wicomico	-45.1%	-23
St. Mary's	-42.9%	-15
Carroll	-42.3%	-11
Anne Arundel	-28.6%	-32
Washington	-27.9%	-12
Frederick	-26.8%	-11
Montgomery	-16.8%	-16
Somerset	-14.3%	-1
Dorchester	-13.3%	-2
Baltimore County	-8.6%	-7
Allegany	-5.9%	-1
Baltimore City	-0.5%	-1
Garrett	0.0%	0
Kent	0.0%	0
Harford	3.9%	1
Cecil	30.0%	3
Howard	90.0%	18
Queen Anne's	>100%	9

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Change in Percentage Points for Trends By Region/County of RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION from FY2016-2018 (cont.)

COMMITTED ADP CHANGES FROM FY2016-2018,
ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE


Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-IV-Eastern	-57.79%	-40
R-VI-Metro	-41.36%	-65
R-V-Southern	-30.42%	-32
R-III-Western	-13.02%	-6
R-I-Balt. City	-8.57%	-9
R-II-Central	-8.15%	-6

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Talbot	-89.49%	-3
Kent	-75.58%	-1
Dorchester	-67.30%	-7
Wicomico	-66.98%	-23
Worcester	-61.38%	-3
Prince George's	-55.17%	-58
Cecil	-50.69%	-4
Charles	-47.02%	-13
Carroll	-39.59%	-6
Calvert	-32.67%	-2
Anne Arundel	-26.14%	-15
Frederick	-21.21%	-4
Harford	-14.85%	-2
St. Mary's	-13.99%	-2
Montgomery	-13.64%	-7
Allegany	-10.65%	-1
Washington	-10.18%	-2
Baltimore City	-8.57%	-9
Baltimore County	-2.04%	-1
Somerset	2.34%	0
Howard	18.49%	2
Caroline	37.38%	1
Garrett	>100%	1
Queen Anne's	>100%	2

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.


Long-Term Trends

JUVENILE COMPLAINTS TOTAL AND BY SEX FY 2009-2018




- Juvenile complaints decreased 59.4% between FY 2009 and FY 2018. Both sexes saw a decrease between FY 2009 and FY 2018 and the percent reduction was very similar for males and females (59.4% for males and 59.2% for females).

JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY RACE FY 2009-2018



- Between FY 2009 and FY 2018, juvenile complaints decreased for all races: Blacks (58.1%), Whites (64.7%), and youth of other races (42.3%).

DJS CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS FY 2009-2018



- Authorized formal petitions have consistently comprised the highest number of case forwarding decisions. Between FY 2009 and FY 2018, authorized formal petitions decreased 56.4%, the number of cases being resolved or disapproved decreased 60.2%, and informals decreased 64.6%.

Data Sources: FY 2009 to 2015 data were run in Oct. and Nov. 2017; FY 2016 to 2018 data were run in Oct. and Nov. 2018.

Long-Term Trends (cont.)


ALOS FOR PROBATION RELEASES, PRE-D PLACEMENTS AND COMMITTED PLACEMENTS FY 2009-2018

ALOS	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Probation Releases	425.4	452.5	427.3	406.0	391.9	387.8	396.8	407.4	430.9	437.5
Pre-D Placements	14.2	14.6	16.0	16.0	14.1	15.8	16.3	15.9	17.2	18.3
Committed Placements*	185.8	183.8	203.4	198.9	192.8	216.8	229.2	210.8	196.7	169.1

* ALOS includes all admissions and placements as appropriate. It is not only completions.


- Between FY 2009 and FY 2018, ALOS for probation releases increased 2.8%, pre-D detention ALOS increased 28.8%, and committed ALOS decreased 9.0%.

FIRST-TIME COMMITTED DISPOSITION AND FIRST-TIME COMMITTED DISPOSITION FOR VOP FY 2009-2018



- Between FY 2009 and FY 2018, first-time committed dispositions decreased 51.9%, and first-time committed dispositions for VOP decreased 45.5%.


PERCENTAGE OF FIRST-TIME COMMITTED DISPOSITION FOR VOP FY 2009-2018



- Between FY 2009 and FY 2018, the percentage of first-time committed dispositions for VOP increased from 20.1% to 22.8%. Since its peak in FY 2015, the percentage of first-time committed dispositions for VOP decreased from 30.4% to 22.8%.


Data Sources: First-time committed disposition and first-time committed disposition for VOP data were run in Nov. 2018. For ALOS, FY 2009 to 2012 data were from a revised ASSIST run in May 2015; FY 2013 data were run in Oct. 2015; FY 2014 to 2015 data were run in Sept. and Oct. 2016 (except FY 2015 ALOS data for pre-D placement run in Nov. 2017); FY 2016 to 2018 data were run in Oct. 2018 (except FY 2016 ALOS data for probation releases run in Oct. 2016; FY 2017 ALOS data for probation releases run in Nov. 2017).

DETAINED AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2009-2018




- Between FY 2009 and FY 2018, ADP for the total detained population decreased 27.6%. Pre-Disposition ADP has consistently been higher than Pending Placement ADP and both groups saw a decrease between FY 2009 and FY 2018 (54.5% decrease for Pre-Disposition and 55.9% for Pending Placement). The population of youth charged as adults began being tracked in FY 2014 and ADP increased 206.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2018.

COMMITTED RESIDENTIAL AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2009-2018



- Between FY 2009 and FY 2018, ADP for the total committed population decreased 55.5%. ADP decreased 58.0% for Private In-State facilities and 49.7% for DJS-Operated facilities, while ADP for Private Out-of-State facilities decreased 54.6%.

DJS SUPERVISED YOUTH FY 2009-2018



- Between FY 2009 and FY 2018, total caseload decreased 62.0%, informal cases decreased 69.3%, aftercare cases decreased 58.2%, and probation cases decreased 60.6%.

Data Sources: FY 2009 to 2012 data were from a revised ASSIST run in May 2015; FY 2013 data were run in Oct. 2015; FY 2014 to 2015 data were run in Sep. and Oct. 2016 (except FY 2015 Detained ADP which were run in October 2017); FY 2016 to 2018 data were run in October and November 2018 (except FY 2016 DJS supervised youth data were run in Oct. 2016; FY 2017 DJS supervised youth data were run in Nov. 2017).

Section III: Detention



In April, the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School held their first family engagement prom dance for youth and their mothers.

Introduction to Detention

DJS operates seven detention facilities across the State to provide temporary and secure custody of youth subject to court jurisdiction. Youth may be detained at various points in the juvenile justice system. The juvenile court may order detention for youth pending a court hearing (pre- or post-disposition), pending initial placement in a committed program, or pending placement in a committed program post-ejection. Youth are most commonly detained for new complaints alleging delinquent behavior, writs and warrants issued by the court, or violations of alternative to detention (ATD) program conditions. Note that DJS detention facilities may additionally serve youth pending adult criminal charges while the transfer of jurisdiction from adult to juvenile court is being considered (see “Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer” on page 116).

DETENTION PROCESS

The decision whether to detain a youth is driven primarily by the juvenile court. However, juvenile detention may be authorized by DJS intake officers on a temporary basis at the request of a law enforcement officer or community detention officer. DJS is empowered by statute to detain a youth who either poses a clear risk to themselves/others, or is deemed likely to leave court jurisdiction. This emergent decision is guided by the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) (see Appendix F) and is subject to court review on the next court day. The DRAI objectively informs the detention decision by taking into account three factors: (1) the risk that a youth will reoffend or fail to appear for a court hearing, based on known risk factors in the youth’s history; (2) the seriousness of the current alleged offense; and (3) factors requiring a mandatory hold regardless of risk or offense, including an outstanding writ or warrant, interstate hold, etc. Based on these three factors, the DRAI recommends either release, placement in an ATD, or detention.

DETENTION ADMISSIONS

Each detention facility operates an admissions area 24 hours a day where all youth admitted to detention are processed. An admissions officer interviews youth to collect and verify basic identifying information. The admissions officer also performs a search of the DJS information system, ASSIST, to obtain available information on a youth’s delinquency and placement history. A photograph of each youth is taken and included in the case record for identification purposes. The rules of the detention facility, including the grievance procedure, are provided to each youth in the facility handbook. Youth are issued clothing to wear in the facility. Personal clothing is catalogued, packaged, and placed in secure storage.


The admissions process includes an initial health screening. It is performed by admissions nursing staff and consists of a review of physical health, mental health, and substance abuse needs. A full medical history and physical examination is conducted by a licensed physician or nurse practitioner within seven days of admission.

DETENTION CASE MANAGEMENT

Youth who are detained by the juvenile court are assigned a DJS community case manager and a facility case manager. The community

case manager is based in the local DJS office within the youth’s home jurisdiction. To complete a Social History Investigation, the community case manager visits the youth in detention, interviews the youth and family, and completes the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Risk Screen and Needs Assessment. The facility case manager acts as a liaison with the court, family, community case manager, and treatment service providers while the youth is in detention.

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2016-2018



ADP has consistently been below capacity.


Services Provided in Detention Facilities

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES

DJS Behavioral Health Services (BHS) is responsible for establishing and implementing policies governing the delivery of mental health, substance abuse, and evidence-based treatment services to youth under DJS supervision. BHS maintains a staff of licensed, certified counselors and contractors to provide complete assessments and necessary services. BHS provides integrated mental health and substance use disorder screening, assessments, and short-term crisis services in DJS detention facilities.

Upon admission to a detention center, each youth is screened by a qualified mental health professional. The screening consists of an interview, the administration of tests as appropriate, and the review

JUVENILE DETENTION POPULATION ADP*, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED**, FY 2012-2021



*ADP includes all youth in pre-disposition and post-disposition (pending placement) status, and does not include the youth charged as adults pending transfer population as that is presented separately at the bottom of this page.


**Projected values were generated by an ARIMA (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) model.

ADP is projected to decrease 1.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2021.

of any prior assessments or records (e.g., psychosocial or clinical assessments). If the initial mental health screen reveals mental health concerns, recommendations are made for more in-depth assessments or additional services. Further in-depth assessments, conducted by psychiatrists or licensed psychologists, examine the emotional, adaptive, and cognitive functioning of detained youth with greater mental health issues.

Additionally, certified addictions counselors screen and assess youth in detention and determine appropriate levels of substance abuse treatment needs. This information assists case managers in linking youth with appropriate community and residential services. Addictions counselors work closely with the Department's medical director for those youth requiring medication management as a result of their drug addiction.

YOUTH CHARGED AS ADULTS PENDING TRANSFER DETENTION POPULATION ADP, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED*, FY 2014-2021



*Projected values were generated by an ARIMA (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) model.

ADP is projected to decrease 0.9% between FY 2018 and FY 2021.

EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

The Maryland State Department of Education's (MSDE) Juvenile Services Education (JSE) program provides educational programming to youth in DJS detention facilities consistent with the requirements of the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR), Title 13A. The program includes instruction in Core Content subjects, Career and Technology Education, library/media services, life skills, and special education services. Students are pre-tested upon entry to the JSE program to assess baseline academic skills. Teachers use the results to design learning plans for each student that form the basis of daily instruction. After each 30-day period of enrollment, the student is post-tested to determine academic gains. Students in a JSE program can earn credit toward the Maryland High School Diploma that they may receive upon return to their home high school. Alternately, some students are enrolled in classes designed to prepare them to take their GED examination.

RECREATIONAL SERVICES

A structured recreation program is available to all youth in detention. The recreation program provides a variety of activities promoting physical and mental health. Youth participate in recreational activities for a minimum of one hour per day.

Pending Placement

PENDING PLACEMENT (POST-DISPOSITION)

Youth who have been committed to the custody of the Department for residential placement may be placed in detention on a temporary basis by the juvenile court. Youth detained post-disposition are considered to be 'pending placement' as they are waiting to be admitted or placed in one of many possible out-of-home committed treatment programs.

Youth who are pending placement receive the same core services as youth detained prior to disposition, including educational services, screening, evaluation, and treatment for substance abuse, mental health, and somatic health (including dietary and dental).

Youth receive bi-weekly visits from their community case manager. Each facility also allows family visitation during the week or on weekends (the visitation schedule varies by facility).

A review hearing is held in accordance with Md. Code and Judicial Proceedings, 3-8A-15(k) for any youth in detention 25 days after the court has made a disposition. At the hearing, DJS is required to explain the reasons for continued detention. The court will continue to conduct a hearing every 25 days thereafter until a youth has been placed.

During the pending placement period, the community case manager maintains contact with the youth's family. The community case manager is also responsible for reporting to the juvenile court regarding the youth's placement status. In addition to court review, pending placement cases are reviewed by the Department on a weekly basis at facility management meetings. Regional-level meetings are held on a monthly basis to review placement statistics and identify barriers to placement and ways to expedite the process.

PENDING PLACEMENT AFTER EJECTION FROM A COMMITTED PROGRAM

Some youth committed to the custody of the Department cannot be safely maintained in their program and must be ejected. Youth ejected

from a committed program who require a new committed placement are often placed in detention. Those with a new offense would be considered Pre-Disposition (Pre-D).


While detained pending a new placement, the Department's Central Review Committee (CRC) re-evaluates the youth's case, and works to secure acceptance to the most appropriate, alternative program. Youth placed in DJS operated facilities are transferred within 7 days of the CRC decision, provided there is available bed space.

A Note to Readers:

It is strongly recommended that readers review the following distinction as it will assist in understanding data presented in this section:

Placements versus Admissions: A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

DJS Secure Detention Facilities by Region




Total Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity: 411 beds


DJS owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility houses a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2018



PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	79.8%	76.4%	77.4%
White	14.4%	17.4%	15.0%
Hispanic/Other	5.8%	6.2%	7.6%
Sex			
Male	85.6%	84.7%	83.7%
Female	14.4%	15.3%	16.3%
Age			
11 and under	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%
12	1.8%	1.0%	0.7%
13	4.7%	4.6%	4.0%
14	9.6%	12.1%	12.5%
15	18.7%	20.7%	21.0%
16	24.9%	23.3%	24.9%
17	28.1%	25.3%	24.4%
18-20	12.0%	12.8%	12.2%
Total Placements	3,643	2,991	2,679

Source of Placements (FY 2018):

- 96.7% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 68 were from Washington, D.C.; 6 were from Virginia; 4 were from Pennsylvania and Delaware each; 3 were from Florida; and 1 was each from Massachusetts, Michigan, Ohio, and North Carolina.

Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 26.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 10.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Pre-D placements decreased 27.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 11.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D placements decreased 17.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 9.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Ejection placements decreased 11.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 14.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI decreased 0.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 1.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 15.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 6.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D ALOS increased 14.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 11.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- ALOS for ejections increased 28.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 10.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2016-2018:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 31.7% to 31.0%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 17.9% to 21.5%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 47.4% to 42.0%

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 9.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 0.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2018*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.4%	0.2%	0.8%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	10.6%	1.8%	2.4%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	9.0%	7.3%	3.9%
Carjacking	3.3%	4.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	6.6%	7.1%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	1.5%	1.6%
Disturbing the Peace	3.5%	2.3%	4.7%
First Degree Assault	9.5%	3.8%	2.4%
Handgun Violation	2.3%	3.2%	0.8%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.2%	4.7%	4.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.2%	2.0%	1.6%
Murder	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	6.3%	2.4%	1.6%
Narcotics Possession	3.2%	2.0%	2.4%
Other / Missing ¹	5.6%	2.3%	3.9%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	0.2%	0.8%
Robbery	10.3%	15.9%	6.3%
Second Degree Assault	15.6%	16.5%	33.9%
Sex Offense	1.7%	2.6%	0.8%
Theft Felony	1.7%	1.4%	0.8%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.1%	13.0%	18.1%
Trespassing	1.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.5%	4.7%	1.6%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	31.0%	30.0%	9.4%
Felony	21.5%	14.6%	12.6%
- Person-to-Person	2.4%	2.3%	1.6%
- Property	12.3%	3.4%	3.9%
- Drugs	6.3%	2.4%	1.6%
- Unspecified	0.5%	6.6%	5.5%
Misdemeanor	42.0%	54.7%	78.0%
- Person-to-Person	24.4%	26.1%	45.7%
- Property	14.5%	21.6%	26.8%
- Drugs	1.6%	1.7%	2.4%
- Unspecified	1.5%	5.3%	3.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Citations	2.3%	0.5%	0.0%
CINS	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.5%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	2,377	656	127


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Tobacco Violation, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY*, FY 2016-2018



* FY 2017 change was due to the reduction of capacity at Cheltenham Youth Detention Center.

PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2016-2018*

		Placements With DRAI	Placements No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2018	Pre-D	80.9%	19.1%	2,299	18.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	638	25.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	136	38.7
FY 2017	Pre-D	79.6%	20.4%	2,708	17.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	744	23.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	142	34.9
FY 2016	Pre-D	81.8%	18.2%	3,271	15.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	777	22.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	143	30.1


* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

Female Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity for Females: 64 beds


DJS operates three detention facilities that serve girls: Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center (co-ed), Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center (co-ed), and Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center. Some youth are detained awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and other youth are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2018



PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	76.3%	64.1%	68.5%
White	19.7%	27.6%	23.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.0%	8.3%	8.4%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
12	1.3%	0.4%	1.1%
13	7.1%	5.0%	4.3%
14	8.4%	13.8%	14.6%
15	18.7%	18.6%	23.5%
16	27.9%	27.1%	22.1%
17	25.2%	22.1%	22.1%
18-20	11.5%	12.9%	11.6%
Total Placements	524	457	438

Source of Placements (FY 2018):

- 95.2% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 11 were from Washington, D.C.; 3 were from Delaware; 2 were from Pennsylvania, and 1 was each from Florida, Massachusetts, Michigan, Ohio and Virginia.

Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 16.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 4.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Pre-D placements decreased 14.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 0.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D placements decreased 44.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 36.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Ejection placements decreased 31.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 35.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 2.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 1.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 10.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 11.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D ALOS increased 0.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 17.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- ALOS for ejections increased 1.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 47.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2016-2018:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 20.1% to 24.4%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 9.5% to 12.6%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 66.0% to 52.0%

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 16.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 18.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2018*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	6.2%	1.5%	9.1%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Carjacking	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	1.5%	9.1%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%	1.5%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.2%	4.5%	27.3%
First Degree Assault	8.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.2%	7.5%	9.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.0%	3.0%	9.1%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.7%	1.5%	0.0%
Other / Missing ¹	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Robbery	10.6%	7.5%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	24.4%	37.3%	36.4%
Sex Offense	0.5%	1.5%	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.1%	20.9%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.0%	6.0%	0.0%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	24.4%	11.9%	0.0%
Felony	12.6%	4.5%	9.1%
- Person-to-Person	1.7%	1.5%	0.0%
- Property	9.9%	1.5%	9.1%
- Drugs	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	52.0%	82.1%	90.9%
- Person-to-Person	31.8%	47.8%	72.7%
- Property	17.7%	28.4%	9.1%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.0%	6.0%	9.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	2.2%	1.5%	0.0%
CINS	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Placement	406	67	11


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Tobacco Violation, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2016-2018



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2016-2018*


		Placements With DRAI	Placements No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2018	Pre-D	78.8%	21.2%	394	16.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	67	28.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	13	46.7
FY 2017	Pre-D	77.5%	22.5%	394	18.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	112	34.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	15	31.6
FY 2016	Pre-D	76.6%	23.4%	477	14.6
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	115	28.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	18	46.0

* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

Under Age 13 Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


Youth under 13 who are detained by the court represent very few of the youth in DJS detention centers. Rather than placing these youth in a detention facility, DJS most frequently uses an alternative to detention (ATD) with placement at home or in a shelter. However, if a youth must be in a detention center they are housed with youth closest in age and size. If deemed highly vulnerable they may be placed in the infirmary, with one-on-one staff supervision or sent to a smaller facility with a lower population. Wherever placed, youth under 13 receive all the same services as other detained youth.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2018



PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	84.9%	88.6%	66.7%
White	6.8%	11.4%	33.3%
Hispanic/Other	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	90.4%	94.3%	74.1%
Female	9.6%	5.7%	25.9%
Age*			
8 and under	0	1	0
9	0	0	0
10	2	0	1
11	4	5	7
12	67	29	19
Total Placements	73	35	27

* Due to the small number of youth in each age category, actual numbers are presented instead of percentages.

Source of Placements (FY 2018):

- 100% of placements were from regions within Maryland.

Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 63.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 22.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Pre-D placements decreased 63.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 25.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D placements decreased 77.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 50.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Ejection placements decreased 100.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and did not change between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 10.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 7.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 107.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 23.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 4.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 75.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- There were no Ejection releases.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2016-2018:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 52.8% to 69.2%
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 5.6% to 3.8%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 38.9% to 26.9%

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 39.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 7.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- There is no capacity specifically for the Under 13 age group.

PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2018*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	42.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other / Missing ¹	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	69.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	26.9%	100.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	19.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	7.7%	50.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Tobacco Violation, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, FY 2016-2018



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2016-2018*


		Placements With DRAI	Placements No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2018	Pre-D	96.2%	3.8%	27	24.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	2	27.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	0	0.0
FY 2017	Pre-D	88.6%	11.4%	35	19.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	4	15.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	1	77.0
FY 2016	Pre-D	86.1%	13.9%	72	11.6
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	10	29.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	0	0.0

* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

Youth of Color Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


The data shown in this section provides additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth). Although each summary page in the Data Resource Guide Detention Section provides an overall race/ethnicity breakdown for detention placements in the Demographics table, the tables in this section show more detailed information on placements and ADP by type and Region, offense type and severity, and length of stay for youth of color as a whole. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2018



PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	93.2%	92.5%	91.0%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	6.8%	7.5%	9.0%
Sex			
Male	86.5%	86.6%	85.2%
Female	13.5%	13.4%	14.8%
Age			
11 and under	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
12	2.0%	1.1%	0.6%
13	5.0%	4.8%	3.8%
14	9.5%	12.0%	13.0%
15	19.2%	21.5%	21.6%
16	24.8%	23.6%	25.0%
17	27.7%	25.2%	24.0%
18-20	11.7%	11.6%	11.8%
Total Placements	3,119	2,470	2,278

Source of Placements (FY 2018):

- 96.5% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 68 were from Washington, D.C.; 4 were from Virginia; 2 were each from Pennsylvania, Delaware, and Florida; 1 was each from North Carolina and Michigan.

Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 27.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 7.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Pre-D placements decreased 28.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 10.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D placements decreased 13.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 1.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Ejection placements decreased 20.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 14.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI decreased 2.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 0.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 17.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 6.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D ALOS increased 14.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 12.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- ALOS for ejections increased 29.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 8.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2016-2018:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 32.7% to 33.0%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 18.9% to 23.1%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 45.6% to 39.7%

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 8.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 4.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- There is no capacity specifically for the Youth of Color group.

PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2018*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	1.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	11.4%	1.8%	2.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	8.7%	6.5%	2.0%
Carjacking	3.9%	5.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	7.6%	9.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	1.6%	2.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.5%	2.5%	3.0%
First Degree Assault	10.0%	3.8%	2.0%
Handgun Violation	2.6%	3.4%	1.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.3%	4.0%	4.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.7%	1.1%	1.0%
Murder	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	6.8%	2.7%	2.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.0%	2.0%	3.0%
Other / Missing ¹	4.6%	2.3%	3.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	0.0%	1.0%
Robbery	11.8%	17.1%	8.0%
Second Degree Assault	14.5%	16.5%	35.0%
Sex Offense	1.7%	2.3%	1.0%
Theft Felony	1.8%	1.3%	1.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.5%	12.1%	18.0%
Trespassing	1.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.1%	4.9%	1.0%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	33.0%	31.8%	10.0%
Felony	23.1%	15.6%	14.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.6%	2.3%	1.0%
- Property	13.1%	3.1%	4.0%
- Drugs	6.8%	2.7%	2.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	7.6%	7.0%
Misdemeanor	39.7%	52.0%	76.0%
- Person-to-Person	22.7%	25.4%	46.0%
- Property	14.3%	19.4%	24.0%
- Drugs	1.6%	1.6%	3.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	5.6%	3.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Citations	1.8%	0.4%	0.0%
CINS	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	2,025	556	100


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Tobacco Violation, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, FY 2016-2018



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2016-2018*

		Placements With DRAI	Placements No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2018	Pre-D	80.0%	20.0%	1,952	18.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	537	26.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	106	38.8
FY 2017	Pre-D	80.0%	20.0%	2,267	17.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	584	23.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	113	35.9
FY 2016	Pre-D	82.4%	17.6%	2,803	15.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	622	23.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	126	29.9

* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer

Youth ages 17 and under alleged to have committed a criminal act excluded from juvenile court jurisdiction may be held in pre-trial adult detention facilities. MD law provides an avenue for the adult court to order a youth to be held in a juvenile detention facility if he or she is eligible for transfer of jurisdiction (Md. Code, Criminal Procedure, §4-202). These youth may be held in juvenile detention pending a transfer hearing to determine if jurisdiction should remain in the adult court or be transferred to the juvenile court. Data in this section represent youth held in DJS detention facilities for the adult court pending a transfer hearing. This section also provides information on youth whose complaints were transferred to the juvenile court (who may or may not have been detained during the report year.)

Starting in July 2013, DJS entered into agreements with localities to utilize the current law more consistently resulting in higher numbers of such youth held in DJS facilities.

PLACEMENTS For FY 2017-2018 AND ADP, ALOS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018 (LEFT SIDE). CASES TRANSFERRED TO THE JUVENILE COURT WITH COURT DISPOSITIONS BY REGION OF JURISDICTION, FY 2018 (RIGHT SIDE).

Region/County	Placements		FY 2018		FY 2018 Complaints			
	FY 2017	FY 2018	ADP	ALOS	Transferred to Juvenile Court	Committed	Probation	Dismissed/ Other
R-I- Balt. City	143	141	56.5	148.6	179	55.3%	20.1%	24.6%
Baltimore City	143	141	56.5	148.6	179	55.3%	20.1%	24.6%
R-II- Central	56	56	17.9	108.1	65	24.6%	64.6%	10.8%
Baltimore Co.	53	48	16.0	110.3	60	21.7%	68.3%	10.0%
Carroll	0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Harford	1	3	1.4	132.6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Howard	2	5	0.6	70.8	4	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%
R-III- Western	38	19	9.1	163.7	19	42.1%	47.4%	10.5%
Allegany	2	2	0.4	127.7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Frederick	19	9	5.4	175.0	12	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Garrett	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Washington	17	8	3.3	151.4	7	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%
R-IV- Eastern	15	15	4.0	113.7	10	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%
Caroline	1	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cecil	0	1	0.1	0.0	3	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Dorchester	1	0	0.0	0.0	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kent	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Queen Anne's	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Somerset	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Talbot	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wicomico	12	14	3.7	113.2	2	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Worcester	1	0	0.2	119.8	4	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%
R-V- Southern	28	13	4.3	113.3	32	46.9%	37.5%	15.6%
Anne Arundel	18	9	3.1	103.4	25	44.0%	36.0%	20.0%
Calvert	5	1	0.7	175.4	3	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Charles	4	2	0.5	105.9	3	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
St. Mary's	1	1	0.0	0.0	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
R-VI- Metro	115	89	18.4	84.6	83	19.3%	65.1%	15.7%
Montgomery	41	28	4.9	59.1	18	22.2%	72.2%	5.6%
Prince George's	74	61	13.6	92.7	65	18.5%	63.1%	18.5%
Out-of-State	7	16	2.8	67.3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Statewide	402	349	113.0	124.2	388	156	157	75

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2018

Most Serious Alleged Juvenile Offense of Transferred Cases	FY 2018
Arson	0.5%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.5%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.3%
Carjacking	12.6%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.8%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%
First Degree Assault-Felony	36.9%
Handgun Violation	15.2%
Kidnapping	1.5%
Murder	2.3%
Narcotics Distribution	1.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.5%
Robbery	19.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	2.1%
Sex Offense	1.8%
Theft Felony	0.5%
Unspecified Felony	1.5%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.3%
Total Transferred Cases	388

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018

Crime of Violence*	78.9%
Felony	5.7%
- Person-to-Person	1.5%
- Property	1.0%
- Drugs	1.0%
- Unspecified	2.1%
Misdemeanor	15.5%
- Person-to-Person	14.9%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.3%
- Unspecified	0.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
Missing	0.0%
Total Transferred Cases	388

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

Note: The population data for youth detained by the adult court are not included in the data presented in the rest of the Detention section, which only includes youth detained under jurisdiction of the juvenile court. The offense data shown here reflect the resulting juvenile court offense for those cases that were transferred down from adult court jurisdiction, and do not reflect the original adult charge.

YOUTH CHARGED AS ADULTS PENDING TRANSFER DEMOGRAPHICS BY DETENTION FACILITY, FY 2018

Demographics	Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center	Balt. City Juvenile Justice Center	Charles H. Hickey Jr. School	Cheltenham Youth Facility	Lower East Shore Children's Center	Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center	Western Maryland Children's Center	Statewide
Race								
Black	78.3%	92.5%	88.4%	68.3%	81.8%	70.0%	53.8%	81.7%
White	5.0%	2.2%	7.2%	6.1%	9.1%	25.0%	34.6%	7.4%
Hispanic/ Other	16.7%	5.2%	4.3%	25.6%	9.1%	5.0%	11.5%	10.9%
Sex								
Male	88.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	77.3%	0.0%	100.0%	92.3%
Female	11.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.7%	100.0%	0.0%	7.7%
Age								
14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	4.5%	5.0%	0.0%	0.6%
15	3.3%	2.2%	8.7%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
16	30.0%	35.1%	50.7%	32.9%	50.0%	25.0%	15.4%	36.7%
17	48.3%	55.2%	37.7%	58.5%	45.5%	65.0%	84.6%	53.6%
18-20	18.3%	7.5%	2.9%	3.7%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	6.3%
Total Admissions	60	134	69	82	22	20	26	349*
ADP	9.2	49.6	22.7	16.9	3.8	3.2	7.8	113.0
ALOS	51.9	136.3	100.9	84.6	64.7	51.3	95.7	124.2

* Statewide admissions exclude transfers

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center

Superintendent: Antonia Reason

Rated Capacity: 41 Males and 16 Females

Region VI: Metro


9925 Blackwell Road

Rockville, MD 20850

301-315-1610


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Noyes was closed for renovations July 15 - December 2, 2015, which impacted admission trends. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

ADMISSIONS, FY 2016-2018



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	71.2%	68.1%	65.9%
White	10.8%	11.8%	12.6%
Hispanic/Other	18.1%	20.1%	21.5%
Sex			
Male	68.1%	65.7%	71.5%
Female	31.9%	34.3%	28.5%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.2%	0.3%	0.8%
13	4.6%	4.8%	3.8%
14	9.2%	13.4%	9.5%
15	18.1%	20.1%	22.1%
16	25.0%	22.0%	29.0%
17	32.7%	26.5%	25.9%
18-20	9.2%	12.9%	9.0%
Total Admissions	260	373	390

Source of Admissions (FY 2018):

- 91.0% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 29 were from Washington, D.C.; 2 were each from Virginia, Pennsylvania and Delaware.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions increased 50.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 4.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Pre-D admissions increased 56.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 2.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D admissions increased 60.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 2.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Ejection admissions increased 18.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 8.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI decreased 20.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 7.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 19.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 1.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D ALOS increased 26.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 3.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 4.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 23.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2016-2018:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 34.3% to 32.4%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 8.9% to 14.9%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 50.7% to 42.3%

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 96.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 5.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- FY 2018 Pre-D ADP for males was 14.4 and for females was 3.5.
- FY 2018 Post-D ADP for males was 4.2 and for females was 1.1.
- FY 2018 Ejection ADP for males was 0.6 and for females was 0.1.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2018*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	7.4%	0.9%	7.7%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	8.3%	4.7%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	7.5%	15.4%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.3%	1.9%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	7.7%	1.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	1.8%	5.7%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.3%	2.8%	7.7%
Murder	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.8%	0.9%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	4.8%	0.9%	0.0%
Other / Missing ¹	8.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.5%	0.9%	0.0%
Robbery	15.5%	13.2%	7.7%
Second Degree Assault	17.0%	24.5%	23.1%
Sex Offense	2.4%	2.8%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.7%	3.8%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.7%	20.8%	38.5%
Trespassing	2.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.4%	0.9%	0.0%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	32.4%	22.6%	7.7%
Felony	14.9%	14.2%	15.4%
- Person-to-Person	2.1%	0.9%	0.0%
- Property	10.1%	4.7%	7.7%
- Drugs	1.8%	0.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	7.5%	7.7%
Misdemeanor	42.3%	62.3%	76.9%
- Person-to-Person	25.9%	31.1%	30.8%
- Property	13.7%	29.2%	38.5%
- Drugs	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.4%	0.9%	7.7%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.0%	0.9%	0.0%
CINS	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>2.4%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Admission	336	106	13


* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Tobacco Violation, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2016-2018



ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2016-2018*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2018	Pre-D	51.5%	48.5%	324	18.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	100	19.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	13	19.4
FY 2017	Pre-D	59.0%	41.0%	342	18.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	103	20.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	14	25.3
FY 2016	Pre-D	71.6%	28.4%	200	15.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	65	15.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	8	20.3

* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center

Superintendent: Jeremy Smith

Rated Capacity: 120 Males

Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).


Region I: Baltimore City

300 North Gay Street

Baltimore, MD 21202


443-263-8706

ADMISSIONS, FY 2016-2018



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	95.7%	94.2%	95.1%
White	3.3%	4.5%	2.8%
Hispanic/Other	1.0%	1.3%	2.1%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%
12	1.7%	1.5%	0.3%
13	4.4%	5.7%	3.7%
14	9.7%	13.4%	11.3%
15	19.5%	20.5%	21.7%
16	25.6%	22.3%	25.5%
17	30.3%	27.9%	25.8%
18-20	8.6%	8.1%	11.6%
Total Admissions	1,090	946	760

Source of Admissions (FY 2018):

- 99.9% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was from Florida.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 30.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 19.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 33.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 23.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D admissions increased 24.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 23.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Ejection admissions decreased 40.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 40.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI decreased 1.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 1.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 53.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 38.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D ALOS increased 10.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 34.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- ALOS for ejections increased 24.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 9.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2016-2018:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 32.6% to 32.7%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 33.6% to 36.8%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 32.5% to 29.2%

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 12.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 16.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2018*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	15.9%	3.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.7%	7.6%	8.0%
Carjacking	5.3%	11.6%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	10.1%	16.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%	2.0%	4.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.9%	1.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	11.3%	3.5%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	5.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.1%	3.5%	4.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	16.6%	4.0%	4.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.6%	3.5%	4.0%
Other / Missing ¹	2.4%	2.5%	4.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	10.0%	16.2%	8.0%
Second Degree Assault	8.5%	6.6%	24.0%
Sex Offense	1.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	5.3%	7.1%	20.0%
Trespassing	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.8%	7.1%	4.0%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	32.7%	37.9%	12.0%
Felony	36.8%	21.2%	20.0%
- Person-to-Person	3.5%	2.5%	0.0%
- Property	16.3%	3.5%	0.0%
- Drugs	16.6%	4.0%	4.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	11.1%	16.0%
Misdemeanor	29.2%	39.9%	68.0%
- Person-to-Person	15.6%	14.6%	28.0%
- Property	9.8%	14.1%	32.0%
- Drugs	3.0%	3.0%	4.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	8.1%	4.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Citations	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Admission	661	198	25


* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Tobacco Violation, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2016-2018



ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2016-2018*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2018	Pre-D	86.1%	13.9%	653	17.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	184	27.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	34	31.9
FY 2017	Pre-D	87.1%	12.9%	873	12.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	168	20.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	34	29.1
FY 2016	Pre-D	88.0%	12.0%	1,002	11.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	156	24.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	44	25.7

* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School

Superintendent: Danjuma Gaskins

Rated Capacity: 72 Males

Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).


Region II: Central

9700 Old Harford Road

Parkville, MD 21234


410-663-7700

ADMISSIONS, FY 2016-2018



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	70.0%	71.9%	77.3%
White	22.6%	22.9%	19.4%
Hispanic/Other	7.4%	5.2%	3.3%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%
12	2.6%	1.1%	0.8%
13	2.6%	5.4%	2.7%
14	9.9%	12.7%	12.8%
15	21.8%	22.2%	20.4%
16	22.6%	22.9%	24.9%
17	29.0%	24.4%	23.7%
18-20	11.4%	11.4%	14.4%
Total Admissions	624	537	515

Source of Admissions (FY 2018):

- 99.6% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was each from Washington D.C. and Delaware.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 17.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 4.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 18.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 7.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D admissions decreased 39.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 21.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Ejection admissions increased 187.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 58.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 3.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 6.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D decreased 10.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 3.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D ALOS increased 28.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 22.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- ALOS for ejections increased 19.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 0.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2016-2018:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 37.8% to 28.6%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 14.4% to 26.1%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 44.7% to 43.0%

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 15.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 4.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2018*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.2%	0.0%	2.2%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	18.8%	0.0%	2.2%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	13.0%	7.4%	4.3%
Carjacking	4.1%	4.6%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	5.6%	6.5%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%	0.0%	2.2%
Disturbing the Peace	1.6%	1.9%	4.3%
First Degree Assault	6.9%	1.9%	2.2%
Handgun Violation	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.1%	5.6%	4.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.8%	3.7%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.9%	1.9%	2.2%
Narcotics Possession	3.2%	0.9%	4.3%
Other / Missing ¹	3.0%	1.9%	4.3%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	6.4%	15.7%	8.7%
Second Degree Assault	13.7%	23.1%	30.4%
Sex Offense	2.1%	0.0%	2.2%
Theft Felony	1.1%	0.9%	2.2%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.9%	18.5%	15.2%
Trespassing	3.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	3.0%	3.7%	2.2%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	28.6%	26.9%	13.0%
Felony	26.1%	8.3%	17.4%
- Person-to-Person	2.1%	1.9%	2.2%
- Property	19.9%	0.9%	6.5%
- Drugs	3.9%	1.9%	2.2%
- Unspecified	0.2%	3.7%	6.5%
Misdemeanor	43.0%	64.8%	69.6%
- Person-to-Person	20.1%	30.6%	43.5%
- Property	17.8%	27.8%	19.6%
- Drugs	2.1%	0.9%	4.3%
- Unspecified	3.0%	5.6%	2.2%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Admission	437	108	46


* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Tobacco Violation, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2016-2018



ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2016-2018*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2018	Pre-D	87.6%	12.4%	431	19.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	109	26.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	47	30.7
FY 2017	Pre-D	81.2%	18.8%	469	19.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	141	21.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	28	30.8
FY 2016	Pre-D	83.7%	16.3%	551	22.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	177	20.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	15	25.7

* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

Cheltenham Youth Detention Center

Superintendent: Antoinette McLeod

Rated Capacity: 72 Males

Region VI: Metro


11003 Frank Tippet Road

Cheltenham, MD 20623

301-782-2400


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117). As of November 17, 2016, the facility changed from Cheltenham Youth Facility which had a capacity of 115 beds.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2016-2018



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.8%	72.3%	74.3%
White	14.0%	19.4%	15.7%
Hispanic/Other	8.2%	8.3%	10.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
12	1.1%	1.0%	0.0%
13	3.2%	3.9%	3.6%
14	8.4%	8.7%	12.5%
15	16.2%	20.4%	19.2%
16	24.8%	18.8%	26.8%
17	28.2%	25.1%	23.9%
18-20	17.8%	22.2%	13.8%
Total Admissions	907	618	522

Source of Admissions (FY 2018):

- 92.1% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 38 were from Washington, D.C.; 2 were from Virginia, and 1 was from North Carolina.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 42.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 15.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 46.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 17.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D admissions decreased 24.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 12.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Ejection admissions decreased 30.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 15.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 8.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 9.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D decreased 14.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 6.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D ALOS increased 11.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 11.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- ALOS for ejections increased 39.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 4.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2016-2018:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 38.1% to 44.3%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 10.3% to 12.5%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 48.7% to 40.1%

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 37.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 8.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2018*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.8%	2.5%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	10.8%	8.1%	9.4%
Carjacking	3.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.5%	5.0%	9.4%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	2.5%	3.1%
Disturbing the Peace	3.3%	1.2%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	14.9%	5.0%	3.1%
Handgun Violation	2.6%	2.5%	3.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.8%	3.7%	6.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.7%	2.5%	3.1%
Murder	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.8%	3.7%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Other / Missing ¹	4.7%	1.2%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	13.9%	23.6%	6.3%
Second Degree Assault	14.6%	14.9%	43.8%
Sex Offense	2.1%	5.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.6%	1.9%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.3%	8.7%	12.5%
Trespassing	0.9%	1.2%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.9%	3.1%	0.0%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	44.3%	36.6%	9.4%
Felony	12.5%	15.5%	9.4%
- Person-to-Person	2.6%	2.5%	0.0%
- Property	6.4%	4.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.8%	3.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	5.0%	9.4%
Misdemeanor	40.1%	47.8%	81.3%
- Person-to-Person	24.1%	25.5%	53.1%
- Property	13.0%	18.0%	28.1%
- Drugs	1.2%	1.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.9%	3.1%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Admission	424	161	32


* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Tobacco Violation, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2016-2018



ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2016-2018*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2018	Pre-D	88.0%	12.0%	413	14.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	159	23.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	30	36.1
FY 2017	Pre-D	78.5%	21.5%	538	15.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	183	20.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	41	34.4
FY 2016	Pre-D	79.2%	20.8%	801	16.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	220	20.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	47	25.8

* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center

Superintendent: Derrick Witherspoon

Rated Capacity: 18 Males and 6 Females

Region IV: Eastern Shore


405 Naylor Mill Road

Salisbury, MD 21801

443-523-1526


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

ADMISSIONS, FY 2016-2018



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.2%	73.2%	76.2%
White	19.2%	23.5%	19.9%
Hispanic/Other	3.5%	3.4%	3.8%
Sex			
Male	82.1%	80.9%	79.3%
Female	17.9%	19.1%	20.7%
Age			
11 and under	0.3%	0.3%	1.5%
12	3.0%	1.0%	1.9%
13	6.0%	4.4%	4.2%
14	12.5%	13.4%	12.6%
15	14.6%	22.5%	19.2%
16	25.7%	24.8%	27.2%
17	28.7%	24.2%	24.1%
18-20	9.2%	9.4%	9.2%
Total Admissions	369	298	261

Source of Admissions (FY 2018):

- 98.9% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was each from Pennsylvania, Florida and Delaware.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 29.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 12.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 29.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 7.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D admissions decreased 26.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 8.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Ejection admissions decreased 39.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 36.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 3.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 1.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 20.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 9.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D ALOS increased 33.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 4.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- ALOS for ejections increased 19.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 0.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2016-2018:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 24.1% to 16.1%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 12.9% to 13.8%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 59.6% to 59.4%

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 8.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 0.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- FY 2018 Pre-D ADP for males was 8.6 and for females was 2.0.
- FY 2018 Post-D ADP for males was 2.1 and for females was 0.9.
- FY 2018 Ejection ADP for males was 0.8 and for females was 0.2.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2018*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	5.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	8.0%	3.8%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	3.8%	7.1%
Deadly Weapon	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.3%	9.4%	14.3%
First Degree Assault	8.9%	5.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Other / Missing ¹	13.4%	3.8%	14.3%
Resisting Arrest	1.3%	0.0%	7.1%
Robbery	3.6%	7.5%	7.1%
Second Degree Assault	25.9%	28.3%	35.7%
Sex Offense	0.9%	3.8%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.6%	22.6%	14.3%
Trespassing	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.9%	3.8%	0.0%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	16.1%	13.2%	7.1%
Felony	13.8%	13.2%	7.1%
- Person-to-Person	2.7%	5.7%	0.0%
- Property	6.3%	3.8%	0.0%
- Drugs	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.3%	3.8%	7.1%
Misdemeanor	59.4%	73.6%	85.7%
- Person-to-Person	37.9%	43.4%	64.3%
- Property	20.1%	26.4%	21.4%
- Drugs	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	3.8%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.8%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Admission	224	53	14


* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Tobacco Violation, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2016-2018



ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2016-2018*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2018	Pre-D	92.0%	8.0%	219	16.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	53	19.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	14	26.2
FY 2017	Pre-D	90.5%	9.5%	247	15.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	62	18.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	21	26.0
FY 2016	Pre-D	88.7%	11.3%	322	13.6
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	70	14.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	22	21.9

* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center

Superintendent: Lisa Steeple

Rated Capacity: 42 Females

Region V: Southern


375 Red Clay Road, S.W.

Laurel, MD 20724

301-362-6160


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

ADMISSIONS, FY 2016-2018



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.6%	67.9%	74.4%
White	18.4%	27.4%	18.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.1%	4.8%	7.5%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
12	1.7%	0.6%	0.6%
13	6.4%	3.3%	3.0%
14	8.4%	13.1%	15.4%
15	18.4%	17.0%	23.5%
16	28.9%	29.8%	22.3%
17	23.9%	22.9%	21.4%
18-20	12.4%	13.4%	13.6%
Total Admissions	419	336	332

Source of Admissions (FY 2018):

- 97.3% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 3 were from Washington, D.C.; 1 was each from Delaware, Florida, Massachusetts, Michigan, Ohio and Pennsylvania.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 20.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 1.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 19.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 4.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D admissions decreased 51.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 37.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Ejection admissions decreased 30.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 35.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 6.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 3.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 4.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 11.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 12.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 23.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- ALOS for ejections increased 40.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 44.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2016-2018:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 21.3% to 27.2%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 10.1% to 12.9%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 64.6% to 51.0%

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 24.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 18.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2018*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	6.3%	2.0%	11.1%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.9%	2.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	0.0%	11.1%
Deadly Weapon	0.3%	2.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.0%	6.0%	33.3%
First Degree Assault	7.0%	4.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.0%	6.0%	11.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Other / Missing ¹	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Robbery	11.6%	8.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	25.5%	36.0%	33.3%
Sex Offense	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.9%	24.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.7%	4.0%	0.0%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	27.2%	14.0%	0.0%
Felony	12.9%	2.0%	11.1%
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	10.6%	2.0%	11.1%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	51.0%	82.0%	88.9%
- Person-to-Person	32.5%	48.0%	66.7%
- Property	17.5%	30.0%	11.1%
- Drugs	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	4.0%	11.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.3%	2.0%	0.0%
CINS	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>5.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Admission	302	50	9


* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Tobacco Violation, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.


ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018



* Includes Out-of-State youth

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2016-2018



ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2016-2018*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2018	Pre-D	83.4%	16.6%	291	15.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	50	24.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	11	46.6
FY 2017	Pre-D	80.3%	19.7%	293	17.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	86	31.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	11	32.2
FY 2016	Pre-D	76.9%	23.1%	377	14.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	99	27.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	14	33.1

* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

Western Maryland Children's Center

Superintendent: Mark Bishop

Rated Capacity: 24 Males

Region III: Western


18420 Roxbury Road

Hagerstown, MD 21740

301-745-6071


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

ADMISSIONS, FY 2016-2018



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS*, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	64.6%	60.1%	59.4%
White	28.3%	33.6%	31.4%
Hispanic/Other	7.1%	6.3%	9.2%
Sex			
Male	95.9%	98.1%	97.9%
Female	4.1%	1.9%	2.1%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
12	1.6%	0.7%	2.5%
13	7.4%	1.5%	7.9%
14	11.7%	10.4%	14.2%
15	18.5%	24.6%	14.2%
16	25.6%	31.3%	23.4%
17	23.2%	19.4%	28.5%
18-20	12.0%	11.6%	9.2%
Total Admissions	367	268	239

*Although the facility is for males only, females have been on rare occasions temporarily admitted in emergencies.

Source of Admissions (FY 2018):

- 98.3% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 2 were from Virginia and 1 was each from Washington, D.C. and West Virginia.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 34.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 10.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 34.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 10.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D admissions decreased 27.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 23.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Ejection admissions decreased 52.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 26.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI decreased 8.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 1.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 9.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 9.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Post-D ALOS increased 2.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 6.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- ALOS for ejections increased 203.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 41.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2016-2018:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 24.7% to 17.0%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 13.0% to 14.9%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 58.2% to 59.3%

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 23.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 14.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2018*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	7.7%	1.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.2%	12.7%	9.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	9.1%	4.5%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.2%	1.8%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	5.7%	3.6%	4.5%
Handgun Violation	1.5%	3.6%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.2%	9.1%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	4.6%	1.8%	0.0%
Other / Missing ¹	6.7%	7.3%	9.1%
Resisting Arrest	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	5.2%	5.5%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	25.8%	20.0%	40.9%
Sex Offense	3.1%	5.5%	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.7%	5.5%	31.8%
Trespassing	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.5%	9.1%	0.0%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	17.0%	18.2%	4.5%
Felony	14.9%	16.4%	9.1%
- Person-to-Person	4.1%	1.8%	4.5%
- Property	8.8%	3.6%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	10.9%	4.5%
Misdemeanor	59.3%	65.5%	86.4%
- Person-to-Person	40.7%	32.7%	45.5%
- Property	16.0%	21.8%	40.9%
- Drugs	1.0%	1.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.5%	9.1%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Admission	194	55	22


* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Tobacco Violation, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2016-2018



ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2016-2018*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2018	Pre-D	64.9%	35.1%	193	16.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	56	13.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	22	19.5
FY 2017	Pre-D	63.4%	36.6%	225	18.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	69	14.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	31	13.7
FY 2016	Pre-D	73.0%	27.0%	295	15.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	72	13.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	44	6.4

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

Alternatives to Detention (ATD)

DJS has a variety of programs designed to safely supervise youth in the community as an alternative to detention. ATDs include Community Detention (CD) - with or without Electronic/GPS Monitoring (EM), and Day & Evening Reporting Centers (D/ERC). In addition, DJS collaborates with private programs to provide additional alternative programming. These programs include the Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Transition Center (PACT) and the Detention Reduction Advocacy Program (DRAP) for Baltimore City youth, as well as Hearts and Homes for Youth, Inc. which operates a D/ERC in Montgomery County. Shelter beds may also serve as an ATD in circumstances where release-eligible youth are unable to return home. ATD programs are used either by DJS intake staff for medium-risk youth presented for detention (placements must be reviewed by the juvenile court the next court day) or by the juvenile court directly. These alternatives allow youth to continue with school or work and maintain community ties, support systems, or alternative care. Youth on ATD supervision are expected to appear for scheduled hearings and not have any new arrests.

ADMISSIONS (ADM), ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM TYPE AND REGION, FY 2018⁺

Region of Residence	ADM	ADP	ALOS*
R-I - Baltimore City	1,092	90.7	30.6
CD	194	10.6	20.2
CD/EM	580	50.0	31.9
D/ERC	109	9.1	31.9
DRAP	26	5.0	60.6
PACT	94	10.5	41.5
Shelter Care	89	5.5	25.8
R-II - Central	478	37.6	28.7
CD	56	1.8	11.3
CD/EM	360	32.3	32.8
Shelter Care	62	3.5	20.8
R-III - Western	202	15.1	27.8
CD	3	0.2	20.1
CD/EM	173	12.7	27.3
Shelter Care	26	2.2	31.8
R-IV - Eastern	268	25.9	34.7
CD	19	0.5	10.0
CD/EM	240	24.7	37.2
Shelter Care (Seasonal)	9	0.7	26.3
R-V - Southern	275	27.1	36.5
CD	36	3.2	33.7
CD/EM	236	23.5	36.8
Shelter Care	3	0.4	53.3
R-VI - Metro	357	46.5	40.7
CD	29	1.2	14.2
CD/EM	214	23.9	39.4
D/ERC	70	17.8	65.5
Shelter Care	44	3.6	27.6
Out-of-State	37	3.2	29.7
Total CD**	338	17.6	19.0
Total CD/EM**	1,834	170.0	33.9
Total D/ERC	179	26.9	44.4
Total DRAP	26	5.0	60.6
Total PACT	94	10.5	41.5
Total Shelter Care**	238	16.2	25.7

⁺Youth can be in multiple ATDs simultaneously

*ALOS is measured in days

**Totals include out-of-state youth (see page 135 for breakdown)

- *Region of Residence (FY 2018):*
 - Over half (53.4%) of ATD program youth came from Baltimore City and Central Regions combined.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) FY 2016-FY 2018:*
 - CD/EM increased slightly from 33.5 days to 33.9 days
 - D/ERC increased from 35.6 days to 44.4 days
 - DRAP decreased from 79.2 days to 60.6 days
 - PACT decreased from 61.1 days to 41.5 days
 - Shelter Care increased from 15.2 days to 25.7 days
- *Average Daily Population (ADP) FY 2016-FY 2018:*
 - CD/EM decreased 25.5%
 - D/ERC increased 1.4%
 - DRAP decreased 48.7%
 - PACT decreased 19.8%
 - Shelter Care decreased 35.2%
- *ATD Program Youth:*
 - The total number of ATD youth decreased 32.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 16.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- *Most Serious Offense Trends FY 2016-2018:*
 - CD and CD/EM: the percentage of crimes of violence decreased from 33.8% to 30.4%; the percentage of felonies increased from 19.1% to 22.1%.
 - D/ERC: the percentage of crimes of violence decreased from 39.5% to 36.3%; the percentage of felonies increased from 23.0% to 31.8%.
 - DRAP: the percentage of crimes of violence decreased from 43.8% to 30.8%; the percentage of felonies increased from 22.9% to 53.8%.
 - PACT: the percentage of crimes of violence decreased from 24.6% to 21.3%; the percentage of felonies increased from 42.0% to 46.8%.
 - Shelter Care: the percentage of crimes of violence increased from 19.3% to 26.5%; the percentage of felonies decreased from 23.4% to 21.4%.

• See page 134 and 135 for specific program names and locations as well as Admissions, ADP, and ALOS data by Program Type and County for FY 2018.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE*, FY 2018


Most Serious Alleged Offense	CD & CD/EM**	D/ERC	DRAP	PACT	Shelter Care
Arson	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	10.4%	12.8%	19.2%	19.1%	8.8%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	8.4%	7.3%	3.8%	8.5%	6.7%
Carjacking	3.4%	3.4%	3.8%	3.2%	1.3%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%	2.2%	0.0%	2.1%	1.7%
Disturbing the Peace	4.3%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	8.9%	10.1%	3.8%	5.3%	5.5%
Handgun Violation	2.4%	3.9%	3.8%	1.1%	1.7%
Malicious Destruction	2.7%	1.1%	0.0%	2.1%	2.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%	0.6%	0.0%	1.1%	0.4%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	7.9%	14.0%	30.8%	26.6%	7.6%
Narcotics Possession	3.6%	2.2%	3.8%	8.5%	2.9%
Other ¹	4.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%
Resisting Arrest	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	11.3%	18.4%	15.4%	6.4%	11.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misd	15.6%	8.9%	7.7%	11.7%	19.3%
Sex Offense	1.1%	1.1%	3.8%	0.0%	3.4%
Theft	7.5%	9.5%	3.8%	4.3%	9.2%
Trespassing	1.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Unspecified Misdem.	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Total Admissions	2,020	179	26	94	238

* A single admission may involve multiple offenses.

¹ "Other" includes alcoholic beverage violation, BB/pellet gun, destructive devices, escape, false report, false statement, forgery, harassment, identity fraud, kidnapping, reckless endangerment, retaliating against a victim/witness, runaway, threat of mass violence, tobacco violation, truant, ungovernable, and violation of local ordinance

** Admissions counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including both CD alone and CD with EM.

YOUTH COUNT BY REGION OF RESIDENCE*, FY 2018



* Includes CD, CD/EM, D/ERC, DRAP, PACT, and Shelter Care

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE CATEGORY*, FY 2018

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Alleged Offense)	CD & CD/EM**	D/ERC	DRAP	PACT	Shelter Care
Crime of Violence⁺	30.7%	36.3%	30.8%	21.3%	26.5%
Felony	21.8%	31.8%	53.8%	46.8%	21.4%
- Person-to-Person	1.8%	2.8%	3.8%	1.1%	2.5%
- Property	11.4%	15.1%	19.2%	19.1%	10.9%
- Drugs	7.9%	14.0%	30.8%	26.6%	7.6%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Misdemeanor	42.6%	29.6%	15.4%	30.9%	45.8%
- Person-to-Person	25.9%	16.8%	7.7%	16.0%	28.6%
- Property	13.7%	11.7%	3.8%	7.4%	14.7%
- Drugs	1.9%	1.1%	3.8%	7.4%	1.7%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Ordinance Offenses	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Citations	2.5%	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	1.7%
CINS	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Interstate/Missing	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Total Admissions	2,020	179	26	94	238

* A single admission may involve multiple offenses.

⁺ See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

** Admission counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including both CD alone and CD with EM.

YOUTH COUNT BY DEMOGRAPHICS*, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.8%	76.5%	78.0%
White	16.5%	17.8%	16.8%
Hispanic/Other	5.6%	5.7%	5.2%
Sex			
Male	84.9%	83.1%	83.9%
Female	15.1%	16.9%	16.1%
Age			
11 and under	0.7%	0.4%	0.5%
12	2.3%	1.5%	1.0%
13	5.7%	5.9%	4.8%
14	10.5%	12.7%	12.3%
15	19.9%	20.8%	21.0%
16	24.5%	22.9%	25.6%
17	27.6%	28.5%	26.5%
18-20	8.8%	7.3%	8.4%
Total Youth¹	2,301	1,857	1,544

* Includes CD, CD/EM, D/ERC, DRAP, PACT, and Shelter Care

¹ Total represents a count of youth served, since juveniles may be served by multiple ATD programs.

ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION AND SHELTER CARE BY REGION, FY 2018

Region I - Baltimore City	Location
Baltimore City Community Detention	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Evening Reporting Center	Baltimore City
Baltimore City PACT Center	Baltimore City
Detention Reduction Advocacy Program (DRAP) ¹	Baltimore City
Region II - Central	
Baltimore County Community Detention	Baltimore Co.
Baltimore County Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore Co.
Carroll County Community Detention	Carroll
Carroll County Electronic Monitoring	Carroll
Children's Home (Group Home)*	Baltimore Co.
Harford County Community Detention	Harford
Harford County Electronic Monitoring	Harford
Howard County Community Detention	Howard
Howard County Electronic Monitoring	Howard
MAGIC- Unity Home for Girls (Group Home)*	Baltimore Co.
The Board of Child Care - Sh. Term High Inten. GH*	Baltimore Co.
Region III - Western	
Allegany County Community Detention	Allegany
Allegany County Electronic Monitoring	Allegany
Dallas and Mary Bunch Shelter Care	Allegany
Frederick County Community Detention	Frederick
Frederick County Electronic Monitoring	Frederick
Garrett County Community Detention	Garrett
Garrett County Electronic Monitoring	Garrett
John and Nancy Castle - Shelter	Washington
Joseph and Debra McCarney - Shelter	Washington
Pressley Ridge - Treatment Foster Care (Shelter)	Allegany
The Maryland Salem Children's Trust Shelter, Inc	Garrett
Washington County Community Detention	Washington
Washington County Electronic Monitoring	Washington

¹ This program was operational during FY 2018; however, as of February 2018 it is no longer a part of the Baltimore City ATD continuum.

* This facility, which is a group home, is used for short-term or shelter placements as needed and based on bed availability.

Region IV - Eastern Shore	Location
Caroline County Community Detention	Caroline
Caroline County Electronic Monitoring	Caroline
Cecil County Community Detention	Cecil
Cecil County Electronic Monitoring	Cecil
DJS Assessment Unit - Eastern Shore	Worcester
Dorchester County Community Detention	Dorchester
Dorchester County Electronic Monitoring	Dorchester
Kent County Community Detention	Kent
Kent County Electronic Monitoring	Kent
Queen Anne's County Community Detention	Qn. Anne's
Queen Anne's County Electronic Monitoring	Qn. Anne's
Somerset County Community Detention	Somerset
Somerset County Electronic Monitoring	Somerset
Talbot County Community Detention	Talbot
Talbot County Electronic Monitoring	Talbot
Wicomico County Community Detention	Wicomico
Wicomico County Electronic Monitoring	Wicomico
Worcester County Community Detention	Worcester
Worcester County Electronic Monitoring	Worcester
Region V - Southern	
Anne Arundel County Community Detention	An. Arundel
Anne Arundel County Electronic Monitoring	An. Arundel
Calvert County Community Detention	Calvert
Calvert County Electronic Monitoring	Calvert
Charles County Community Detention	Charles
Charles County Electronic Monitoring	Charles
St. Mary's County Community Detention	St. Mary's
St. Mary's County Electronic Monitoring	St. Mary's
Region VI - Metro	
Hearts & Homes for Youth, Inc (Kemp Mill GH)*	Montgomery
Montgomery County Community Detention	Montgomery
Montgomery County Electronic Monitoring	Montgomery
Montgomery County Evening Reporting Center	Montgomery
Prince George's County Community Detention	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Electronic Monitoring	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Evening Reporting Center	Pr. George's

ADMISSIONS (ADM), ADP, AND ALOS FOR ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION BY REGION/COUNTY*, FY 2018

Region/ County	CD ¹			EM ¹			D/ERC			DRAP			PACT			Shelter		
	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS
R-I- Balt. City	194	10.6	20.2	580	50.0	31.9	109	9.1	31.9	26	5.0	60.6	94	10.5	41.5	89	5.5	25.8
Baltimore City	194	10.6	20.2	580	50.0	31.9	109	9.1	31.9	26	5.0	60.6	94	10.5	41.5	89	5.5	25.8
R-II- Central	56	1.8	11.3	360	32.3	32.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	62	3.5	20.8
Baltimore Co.	51	1.6	10.8	206	16.8	30.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	50	1.9	14.5
Carroll	1	0.2	54.8	25	1.9	27.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.8	64.1
Harford	2	0.0	8.0	49	4.8	35.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.5	43.9
Howard	2	0.0	4.2	80	8.8	40.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.3	33.4
R-III- Western	3	0.2	20.1	173	12.7	27.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	26	2.2	31.8
Allegany	0	0.0	0.0	19	1.8	35.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	9	0.7	28.1
Frederick	0	0.1	23.3	69	4.9	26.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.3	31.7
Garrett	2	0.1	21.1	10	1.0	36.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.3	53.4
Washington	1	0.0	15.1	75	5.0	24.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	12	1.0	30.8
R-IV- Eastern	19	0.5	10.0	240	24.7	37.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	9	0.7	26.3
Caroline	0	0.0	0.0	7	0.6	24.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.1	29.8
Cecil	4	0.0	2.1	50	6.9	52.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Dorchester	0	0.0	0.0	45	4.6	37.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.1	18.8
Kent	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.5	50.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	0	0.0	0.0	12	1.5	51.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Somerset	0	0.0	0.0	8	1.1	46.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.1	13.4
Talbot	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.2	27.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Wicomico	14	0.5	12.8	88	6.6	27.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.5	31.3
Worcester	1	0.0	5.1	24	2.7	36.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
R-V- Southern	36	3.2	33.7	236	23.5	36.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.4	53.3
Anne Arundel	8	0.8	30.8	89	7.8	32.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.3	52.6
Calvert	24	2.1	34.7	2	0.5	53.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Charles	1	0.0	9.0	71	7.0	36.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
St. Mary's	3	0.3	38.7	74	8.1	41.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.2	54.8
R-VI- Metro	29	1.2	14.2	214	23.9	39.4	70	17.8	65.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	44	3.6	27.6
Montgomery	16	0.6	11.5	90	10.0	43.5	21	4.8	78.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	21	2.0	30.9
Prince George's	13	0.7	18.1	124	13.9	36.5	49	13.0	58.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	23	1.6	25.1
Out of State	1	0.0	0.0	31	3.0	33.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.2	15.9
Statewide	338	17.6	19.0	1,834	170.0	33.9	179	26.9	44.4	26	5.0	60.6	94	10.5	41.5	238	16.2	25.7

*ADP may not add to total due to rounding.

¹The offense tables on the other ATD pages report CD/EM combined so if a youth is transferred from CD to EM or vice versa, the youth is counted as one admission. This table separates out CD from EM, so the sum of this total will be slightly higher than the offense table totals presented on the other ATD pages.

Section IV: Committed Programs



PetTalks is a program that began in March 2018 at Victor Cullen Center. Each week, youth get hands-on experience with a particular animal brought in by a local veterinarian and they learn valuable information and history about that animal.


Introduction to Committed Programs

The DJS Division of Operations is responsible for selecting the most appropriate out-of-home placement for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS by the juvenile court. Program placements vary based on the treatment services provided as well as by security level. The full range of DJS placement options include: (a) Foster Care Homes (traditional and treatment foster care); (b) Group Homes (general service and therapeutic); (c) Independent Living Programs/Alternative Living Units (often used as a step-down from a more restrictive program placement); (d) Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) and non-Medicaid Residential Treatment Facilities (out-of-state); (e) Intermediate Care Centers for Addictions (ICFAs); (f) Behavioral Programs, e.g. DJS-operated Youth Centers (including the Green Ridge Mountain Quest program); (g) out-of-state programs in a staff secure setting; and (h) hardware secure treatment programs, both DJS-operated and privately contracted out-of-state.

DJS has established three levels of residential program placements based largely on the level of program restrictiveness (see Figure below). Level I includes all programs where youth reside in a community setting and attend community schools. Level II includes programs where educational programming is provided on-grounds and youth movement and freedom is restricted primarily by staff monitoring and supervising. Level III programs provide the highest level of security by augmenting staff supervision with physical attributes of the facility, i.e., locks, bars and fences.

PLACEMENT PROCESS

The DJS placement process is designed to select the most appropriate program and treatment services for committed youth. The process



CAPACITY, ADP, AND SECURITY TYPE FOR DJS-OPERATED COMMITTED FACILITIES¹, FY 2018

Facility	Rated Capacity	ADP	Security Type
Backbone Mountain YC	48	31.4	Staff
Green Ridge Mountain Quest	10	4.9	Staff
Green Ridge YC	30	24.1	Staff
J. DeWeese Carter Center	14	8.1	Hardware
Meadow Mountain YC	40	22.6	Staff
Savage Mountain YC**	24	2.5	Staff
Victor Cullen Center	48	24.6	Hardware
<i>Total</i>	<i>214</i>	<i>118.2*</i>	<i>N/A</i>

¹This table does not include contracted committed programs.

*Averages may not add to totals due to rounding.

**Data reflect the closure of Savage Mountain from Sept. 2017 through the end of the FY.

The utilization rate for state-operated facilities (excluding Savage Mountain) was 60.9% in FY 2018.

begins with a comprehensive assessment of each youth. A “staffing” meeting is then held to bring together key personnel responsible for resource and treatment service planning. Parents and/or guardians are also invited to participate. Staffing meetings are a key means of engaging families of committed youth. At the staffing meeting, all pertinent information collected as part of the assessment is reviewed, including the current offense(s), delinquency history, social history, MCASP recommended supervision level, MCASP assessment of treatment needs, educational records, clinical assessments, and the involvement of any other state agency. The staffing meeting culminates with recommendations for program participation and/or treatment services tailored to the circumstances of each youth. DJS then refers the youth’s case to the recommended program(s) for consideration. For youth in the community at the time of adjudication, the staffing is conducted in the local DJS office. For detained youth, a MAST team staffing takes place in the detention center. A re-entry staffing meeting also is scheduled to plan for the release and aftercare of committed youth.

Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST): MAST is a specialized diagnostic team responsible for assessing youth who are detained pending court disposition and are at risk of placement. The MAST team includes a psychologist, social worker, substance abuse counselor, community case manager, detention facility case manager supervisor, resource specialist, and a Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) representative. Youth who require more specialized assessments (e.g., a neurological, psycho-sexual, or medical assessment) are referred for evaluation and the results are presented during MAST.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



Youth at Victor Cullen Center taking part in a yoga session where they learn mindfulness and coping skills.

Following this in-depth review, the team submits a report for the juvenile court to consider at disposition, including security and treatment recommendations.

DJS contracts with an array of programs, both in and out-of-state, to provide services to committed youth. Programs may either accept or reject an applicant based on program eligibility criteria or capacity. Program services are authorized by DJS prior to the youth's placement in the program. A Certificate of Placement (COP) database is used to manage the referral and placement of youth with private providers. The database facilitates the placement process by automatically pulling staffing information from the DJS information system (ASSIST), creating program referral letters, and tracking all acceptances and rejections from potential programs.

Central Review Committee (CRC): The initial committed program placement may not be successful for a number of reasons. Some youth may run away from their placement or are ejected due to misbehavior. Additionally, the behavioral, emotional, and/or medical needs of the youth may change. To handle these cases, DJS established the CRC. Chaired by the Director of Behavioral Health, the CRC conducts weekly case reviews of youth who are at-risk of removal from a committed residential placement; directs changes in the provision of services; and makes placement transfer recommendations. The CRC was originally established by DJS in 2012, after legislation was passed (SB 245), which granted DJS the authority to transfer youth to a higher security level without first requesting the court to modify the commitment order. That legislation expired in 2016; however, the CRC continues to meet regularly to manage the department's ejection cases, and requests for court order modification is made in those cases requiring increased security.

SERVICES PROVIDED IN DJS-OPERATED COMMITTED PROGRAMS

Youth served in a committed program receive a multitude of services, including behavioral and somatic health, and education.

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES

DJS Behavioral Health Services (BHS) provides integrated behavioral health treatment in DJS-operated, committed facilities. Services include: substance abuse services, suicide assessment and prevention, crisis intervention and stabilization, medication evaluation and monitoring, individual and group therapy, and family therapy when appropriate. As staff of the facility, BHS staff and contractors provide support, technical assistance, and educational services to the other facility staff on topics related to mental health concerns and medication.


Trauma Informed Care (TIC): TIC has been implemented in DJS committed facilities since March 2017. The National Association for the States of Mental Health Program Directors (NASMHPD) trained all DJS staff in committed facilities in a TIC model that was originally developed for the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), a nationally recognized leader in Trauma Informed Care. TIC teaches staff to recognize the signs and symptoms of trauma, as well as common reactions to trauma. It also teaches staff about the impact of trauma on the developing brain, and how it impacts the behaviors, reactions, and lives of youth. Through a combination of an initial training and monthly consultations with the NASMHPD trauma trainers, staff have developed and implemented specific strategies to help youth manage their reactions to trauma triggers, and facilities have developed more trauma-informed environments.

Substance Abuse Services: Each facility, with the exception of J. DeWeese Carter Center and Victor Cullen, has a Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment component certified by the Maryland Department of Health (MDH), Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ) under the authority of the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration (ADAA). In addition, all DJS committed facilities offer Seven Challenges substance abuse treatment, an evidence-based program implemented in 2009. Seven Challenges adopts a health decision-making model, encouraging youth to make a well-informed health decision by weighing the costs (e.g., physical, psychological, and social harm) and benefits of drug use.

The level of substance abuse treatment services provided by each facility are shown below:

- Backbone Mountain Youth Center: Early Intervention, Outpatient, Intensive Outpatient & Residential Low Intensity (Certified)
- Green Ridge Youth Center: Early Intervention, Outpatient, Intensive Outpatient & Residential Low Intensity (Certified)

COMMITTED POPULATION ADP*, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED **, FY 2012-2021



*ADP includes all state-operated programs as well as in- and out-of-state private committed programs.

** Projected values were generated by an ARIMA (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) model.

ADP is projected to remain stable and increase 0.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2021.

- J. DeWeese Carter Center: Early Intervention and Outpatient (Not Certified)
- Meadow Mountain Youth Center: Intensive Outpatient & Residential Medium Intensity (Certified)
- Savage Mountain Youth Center: Early Intervention, Outpatient, Intensive Outpatient & Residential Medium Intensity (Certified)
- Victor Cullen Center: Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient (Not Certified)

forth by the National Commission on Correctional Health Care, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Centers for Disease Control, and the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Academy of Science.

Youth admitted to DJS residential facilities require on-going, routine preventative health care similar to other children their age. In some cases, youth may have unmet or chronic health care needs upon admission, or may develop an acute health condition that needs to be addressed. DJS ensures that each program has licensed nurses, physicians, dietitians, dental providers, laboratory and pharmacy services to meet the health care needs

Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS): Implemented in all committed, DJS-operated facilities (see table on page 133) since January 2018, PBIS is an evidence-based framework that is designed to facilitate the development of pro-social youth behavior and maintain order and security within facilities. PBIS includes a behavior modification program, STARR, which is grounded in the principles of positive reinforcement and modeling. The STARR program helps youth learn problem-solving and leadership skills, as well as accept responsibility for their behavior. Facility staff are trained to teach and model problem-solving and social skills.

SOMATIC HEALTH

The Somatic Health Services Unit provides comprehensive, quality health care and nutritional services to youth at DJS residential facilities. Its mission is to protect, promote, and advance the health of all youth in the care of the Department.

Medical, dental, optometry, and dietary services are provided in an inter-disciplinary fashion that is developmentally appropriate for youth. These services are delivered in accordance with standards set



In March, the J. DeWeese Carter Youth Facility hosted an art education session. An artist demonstrated how creating art is a constructive way to relieve stress and use visualization to bring ideas to life.

of the youth. For specialty, surgical, emergency, or in-patient care that may be required, youth are transported to community providers as needed.

Each youth must have a current nursing assessment, history and physical examination, vision screening, and dental screening upon or soon after admission. Youth are also screened for tuberculosis, anemia, and sexually transmitted infections. Girls are provided comprehensive gynecological care either on-site or in the community in order to meet individual needs.

Vaccine records are requested on all youth, and DJS makes every effort to fully immunize youth who are not up to date on recommended and required vaccinations. DJS participates in the Federal Vaccine for Children's Program.

Youth are seen at regularly scheduled clinic times and as needed for "sick call" requests. In addition, they are seen at least monthly by nursing staff to check on their overall health status and weight.

EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

Educational services are provided to youth by the Maryland State Department of Education, Juvenile Services Education System (MSDE JSES). JSES provides youth residing in all of the department's residential facilities with the ability to earn a high school diploma via the earning of credits or passage of the GED. In addition to academics, the educational programs provide opportunities for each youth to develop career and technology skills that will further assist them when they transition back to the community.

The MSDE JSES curriculum is based on the Maryland State College and Career Readiness Standards (CCRS). The curriculum includes grade level instruction in English, Social Studies, Mathematics, and Science. Middle school coursework and remediation for high school students is also offered in reading, written language, and math as appropriate.

Youth who have a current Individualized Education Program (IEP) are provided with the required services listed in their IEP. Additionally, eligible youth participate in mandated Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) state assessments.

DJS YOUTH CENTERS - CAREER & TECHNOLOGY AND THE GARRETT COLLEGE PROGRAMS

The DJS Youth Centers offer on-site Career and Technology programming to all participants. The two primary goals of this programming are high school completion and job skill

development. Youth may be trained in the traditional building trades, such as carpentry, as well as in aquaculture, an innovative green employment opportunity in Maryland. Certificates are awarded for successful completion of the program, signifying employment competency. Aquaculture is offered at Meadow Mountain Youth Center, and a 60-hour carpentry program is offered at the Green Ridge and Backbone Mountain Youth Centers. In addition, all youth have opportunities to participate in community projects that employ their acquired skills.

The Backbone Mountain Youth Center also offers an innovative program that provides youth with the unique opportunity to be simultaneously enrolled in high school classes and introductory college courses through Garrett College of Maryland. Youth are screened through a referral, record review, and interview process for acceptance into the program. The Garrett College initiative has been operational since September 2006.

CLASSIFICATION

All DJS-operated facilities utilize the Housing Classification Assessment, an objective internal classification system to assess a youth's potential vulnerability and supervision needs (see Appendices H and I). The results of the classification assessment are used to guide appropriate housing decisions and room assignments. The classification assessment is implemented for all youth upon admission to the facility, and allows for reclassification in response to circumstances or special needs that may require modification of housing or room assignment. All DJS facilities have been audited by the Department of Justice and found to be fully compliant with juvenile facility standards.



Four youth from Carter Center took a camping trip at the Reflections program (an outdoor challenge course) at Meadow Mountain Youth Camp, the Great Allegheny Passage, and Swallow Falls State Park.

RE-ENTRY PROCESS

DJS recognizes the importance of effectively transitioning youth from placement back to the community. Youth who are released from commitment face a number of challenges in returning to daily life such as re-enrolling in school or accessing needed somatic or behavioral health services. DJS has implemented focused efforts to assist with this transition.

The re-entry process is managed by a team of regional re-entry specialists under the direction of the Executive Director of Community Supervision who oversee each youth's return to the community. A re-entry staffing, similar to MAST, is held 45 days prior to release during which the youth's housing plan, educational/ occupational needs, ongoing behavioral/somatic health service requirements, and family relationships are reviewed. Families of committed youth are invited to and encouraged to participate in the re-entry planning process, which is a key area for family engagement.

After the youth has been in the community for 30 days, a DJS re-entry specialist follows up to assure that the youth has accessed all needed


A Note to Readers:
It is strongly recommended that readers review the following distinction as it will assist in understanding data presented in this section:

Placement versus Admission: A placement is based on a decision made by the Department or a judge to place a youth into a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) Data: reflects all admissions and placements including successful, unsuccessful, and transfers.

services, has successfully re-enrolled in their local public school when appropriate, and has remained in stable and suitable housing.

DJS State-Operated Committed Programs by Region




Statewide Total

The juvenile court may commit a youth to the care and custody of DJS thereby transferring legal custody to the Department. A range of out-of-home program placement options have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operated six committed facilities in Maryland during FY 2018. DJS also contracts with other facilities both in-state and out-of-state. *William Donald Schaefer House (WDSH) closed in May 2016 therefore FY 2016 presented on these statewide pages includes WDSH.*

Note: Community-Based Family Therapy Programs are presented within this section (pages 180-181) because one of their primary goals is to divert youth from out-of-home committed placement. These data are not included on the statewide tables which only include youth in committed out-of-home placements.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	72.7%	69.2%	72.6%
White	20.7%	21.9%	18.7%
Hispanic/Other	6.6%	8.9%	8.7%
Sex			
Male	84.0%	84.0%	88.7%
Female	16.0%	16.0%	11.3%
Age			
11 and under	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.9%	0.5%	0.2%
13	4.6%	3.0%	2.5%
14	9.3%	8.2%	9.6%
15	16.7%	20.5%	17.9%
16	27.1%	26.9%	29.7%
17	29.5%	26.9%	28.1%
18-20	11.8%	13.9%	11.9%
Total Placements	1,062	988	825

- **Trends for Committed Placements:**
 - Committed placements decreased 22.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 16.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **First-Time Placements (FY 2018):**
 - Of the 825 committed placements 53.6% were first time placements. (Due to a methodology change, this may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*).
- **Region of Residence (FY 2018):**
 - 98.4% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 6 were from Washington D.C., 3 were from Virginia, 2 were from Delaware, 1 was from Florida and 1 was from Arkansas.
- **Offense Type (FY 2018):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (18.3%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 22.3% to 25.1%
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 14.3% to 14.7%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 61.9% to 58.9%
 - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- **Average Daily Population (FY 2017-2018):**
 - ADP for all committed placements decreased 14.1% from 479.3 to 411.5.
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2017-2018):**
 - The average LOS for all committed placements decreased 14.0% from 196.7 to 169.1.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	19.9%	21.6%	25.5%
Reg-II - Central	14.4%	15.2%	18.7%
Reg-III - Western	9.8%	10.2%	9.7%
Reg-IV - Eastern	9.9%	9.2%	9.6%
Reg-V - Southern	20.3%	17.4%	16.1%
Reg-VI - Metro	24.0%	24.7%	18.9%
Out-of-State	1.7%	1.7%	1.6%
Total Placements	1,062	988	825

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.5%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.8%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.8%
Carjacking	2.9%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	7.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%
Disturbing the Peace	3.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.8%
Handgun Violation	2.5%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.9%
Murder	0.8%
Narcotics Distribution	1.9%
Narcotics Possession	2.5%
Other/Missing ¹	2.7%
Resisting Arrest	0.2%
Robbery	13.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	18.3%
Sex Offense	2.5%
Theft Felony	1.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.5%
Trespassing	0.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.8%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	25.1%
Felony	14.7%
- Person-to-Person	1.8%
- Property	4.0%
- Drugs	1.9%
- Unspecified	6.9%
Misdemeanor	58.9%
- Person-to-Person	28.0%
- Property	23.3%
- Drugs	2.1%
- Unspecified	5.6%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%
Citations	1.0%
CINS	0.1%
Total Placements	825

¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2016-2018, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2018


	Placements			FY2018	
	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	61	40	31	23.6	271.9
Group Home	237	220	188	90.2	169.4
Indep. Living	23	15	12	11.7	313.6
ICFA	85	56	17	1.4	24.4
RTC	227	206	157	82.5	180.9
State Operated	494	456	405	118.2	117.4
Staff Secure	394	360	331	85.5	108.5
Hardware Sec.	100	96	74	32.7	142.4
Silver Oak	54	71	43	34.9	228.5
Out-of-State	53	61	75	49.0	275.1
RTC	6	8	7	6.2	184.8
Staff Secure	25	37	51	27.2	283.2
Hardware Sec.	22	16	17	15.7	346.0
Total*	1,062	988	825	411.5	169.1

⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category, for example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

* Statewide total placement count excludes transfers within and between programs/program types, and therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.

¹ Includes all admissions and placements as appropriate. It is not only completions.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018




* Juveniles transferred to another program

Statewide Females Only

Female youth represented approximately 11% of the population of youth committed to the care and custody of the Department during FY 2018. DJS offers the same continuum of placement options to both girls and boys with the exception of staff secure behavioral facilities such as the DJS-operated Youth Centers. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), and the J. DeWeese Carter Center, a hardware secure, DJS-operated facility for girls. Out-of-state contracted programs are also available.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	69.4%	57.6%	59.1%
White	27.1%	35.4%	31.2%
Hispanic/Other	3.5%	7.0%	9.7%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.2%	0.6%	1.1%
13	8.2%	1.3%	0.0%
14	5.9%	8.9%	8.6%
15	17.1%	13.9%	21.5%
16	28.2%	31.6%	22.6%
17	30.6%	29.1%	32.3%
18-20	8.8%	14.6%	14.0%
Total Placements	170	158	93

- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
 - Committed placements decreased 45.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 41.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- *First-Time Placements (FY 2018):*
 - Of the 93 committed placements 51.6% were first time placements. (Due to a methodology change, this may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*).
- *Region of Residence (FY 2018):*
 - 98.9% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was from Washington D.C.
- *Offense Type (FY 2018):*
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (32.3%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.5% to 6.5%
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 11.3% to 5.4%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 79.9% to 82.8%
 - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population (FY 2017-2018):*
 - ADP for all committed placements decreased 23.8% from 76.0 to 57.9.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The average LOS for all committed placements decreased 5.5% from 213.9 to 202.2 .

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	15.9%	16.5%	11.8%
Reg-II - Central	14.1%	8.2%	22.6%
Reg-III - Western	12.9%	18.4%	25.8%
Reg-IV - Eastern	8.8%	8.9%	7.5%
Reg-V - Southern	29.4%	27.2%	18.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	18.2%	19.0%	12.9%
Out-of-State	0.6%	1.9%	1.1%
Total Placements	170	158	93

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.2%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	2.2%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.3%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%
Disturbing the Peace	9.7%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.2%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	6.5%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.2%
Other/Missing ¹	3.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%
Robbery	2.2%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	32.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	19.4%
Trespassing	1.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.2%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	6.5%
Felony	5.4%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	2.2%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.2%
Misdemeanor	82.8%
- Person-to-Person	49.5%
- Property	28.0%
- Drugs	1.1%
- Unspecified	4.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	4.3%
CINS	1.1%
Total Placements	93

¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

FEMALE COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2016-2018, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2018


	Placements			FY2018	
	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	19	18	14	6.7	218.6
Group Home	61	44	28	10.9	135.2
Indep. Living	8	8	5	5.4	412.0
ICFA	25	28	4	0.5	31.6
RTC	61	61	38	17.9	155.2
State Operated	17	24	14	8.1	168.3
Hardware Sec.	17	24	14	8.1	168.3
Out-of-State	6	10	8	8.5	224.1
RTC	2	6	3	4.4	203.0
Staff Secure	1	4	4	3.3	245.2
Hardware Sec.	3	0	1	0.7	0.0
Total*	170	158	93	57.9	202.2

⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category, for example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

*Total placement count excludes transfers within and between programs and/or program types, and therefore may not add up to the total program type provided in this table.

¹ Includes all admissions and placements as appropriate. It is not only completions.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018




* Juveniles transferred to another program

Statewide Under Age 13 Only

Although juvenile court jurisdiction includes youth ages 7-17, youth under age 13 are rarely committed to an out-of-home placement. Because of the needs of youth under age 13, they are most frequently placed in residential treatment centers, group homes, or therapeutic group homes. State-owned and operated committed facilities are designed for youth ages 13 years and older. Wherever placed, youth under age 13 receive all the same services that other committed youth receive.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	72.7%	60.0%	50.0%
White	9.1%	20.0%	50.0%
Hispanic/Other	18.2%	20.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	81.8%	80.0%	50.0%
Female	18.2%	20.0%	50.0%
Age*			
8 and under	0	0	0
9	0	0	0
10	0	0	0
11	1	0	0
12	10	5	2
Total Placements	11	5	2

*Due to the small number of youth in each age category, actual numbers are presented instead of percentages.

- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
 - Committed placements decreased 81.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 60.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- *First-Time Placements (FY 2018):*
 - All of the committed placements were first-time placements. (Due to a methodology change, this may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*).
- *Region of Residence (FY 2018):*
 - 100% of placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2018):*
 - The most common offense types for juveniles placed were Burglary/Breaking and Entering (50.0%) and Unspecified Misdemeanor (50.0%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 20.0% to 0.0%
 - The percentage of Felonies did not change.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 80.0% to 100.0%
 - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population (FY 2017-2018):*
 - ADP for all committed placements decreased 35.7% from 4.2 to 2.7.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The average LOS for all committed placements decreased 23.8% from 322.5 to 245.9.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	27.3%	40.0%	50.0%
Reg-II - Central	9.1%	20.0%	0.0%
Reg-III - Western	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	27.3%	0.0%	50.0%
Reg-V - Southern	36.4%	20.0%	0.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	11	5	2

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	50.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	50.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	0.0%
Felony	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Misdemeanor	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	50.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	50.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	2

¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

UNDER 13 COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2016-2018, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2018*

	Placements			FY2018	
	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	1	0	1	0.7	0.0
Group Home	4	0	0	1.0	0.0
Indep. Living	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
ICFA	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
RTC	9	3	1	1.1	221.3
State Operated	0	1	0	0.0	0.0
Staff Secure	0	1	0	0.0	0.0
Hardware Sec.	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Silver Oak	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Out-of-State	0	1	0	0.0	0.0
RTC	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Staff Secure	0	1	0	0.0	0.0
Hardware Sec.	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Total**	11	5	2	2.7	245.9


⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category, for example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

* ADP and ALOS reflect youth who were released in FY 2018 and were 13 years of age at the time of admission. Total ALOS exclude transfers within and between programs and/or program types; therefore, may not be compared to the ALOS by program type provided in the table.

** Total placement count excludes transfers within and between programs and/or program types, and therefore may not add up to the total program type provided in this table.

¹ Includes all admissions and placements as appropriate. It is not only completions.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018




* Juveniles transferred to another program

Statewide Youth of Color Only

The data shown in this section provide additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth). Although each summary page in the Data Resource Guide Committed Section provides an overall race/ethnicity breakdown for committed placements in the Demographics table, the tables in this section provide more detailed information on placements and ADP by type and region, offense type and severity, and length of stay for youth of color as a whole.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	91.7%	88.6%	89.3%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	8.3%	11.4%	10.7%
Sex			
Male	85.3%	86.8%	90.5%
Female	14.7%	13.2%	9.5%
Age			
11 and under	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.1%	0.5%	0.1%
13	4.6%	3.2%	2.1%
14	8.9%	7.3%	10.3%
15	17.2%	21.8%	17.4%
16	26.8%	26.6%	30.7%
17	29.5%	27.2%	28.2%
18-20	11.8%	13.5%	11.2%
Total Placements	842	772	671

- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
 - Committed placements decreased 20.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 13.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- *First-Time Placements (FY 2018):*
 - Of the 671 committed placements 52.9% were first time placements. (Due to a methodology change, this may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*).
- *Region of Residence (FY 2018):*
 - 98.2% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 6 were from Washington D.C., 3 were from Virginia, 2 were from Delaware and 1 was from Florida.
- *Offense Type (FY 2018):*
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (18.8%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 26.0% to 27.1%
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 14.0% to 15.6%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 59.0% to 56.3%
 - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population (FY 2017-2018):*
 - ADP for all committed placements decreased 14.7% from 378.5 to 323.0.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The average LOS for all committed placements decreased 14.2% from 194.5 to 166.8.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	23.4%	26.4%	30.3%
Reg-II - Central	12.7%	12.6%	16.7%
Reg-III - Western	7.2%	7.4%	7.6%
Reg-IV - Eastern	9.6%	8.8%	8.5%
Reg-V - Southern	16.3%	12.6%	13.1%
Reg-VI - Metro	28.9%	30.3%	22.1%
Out-of-State	1.9%	1.9%	1.8%
Total Placements	842	772	671

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.3%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.3%
Carjacking	3.6%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	8.3%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%
Disturbing the Peace	2.7%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.7%
Handgun Violation	2.7%
Malicious Destruction	3.9%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.0%
Murder	1.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.9%
Narcotics Possession	2.4%
Other/Missing ¹	2.4%
Resisting Arrest	0.1%
Robbery	14.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	18.8%
Sex Offense	2.2%
Theft Felony	1.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.6%
Trespassing	0.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.6%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	27.1%
Felony	15.6%
- Person-to-Person	1.5%
- Property	4.2%
- Drugs	1.9%
- Unspecified	8.0%
Misdemeanor	56.3%
- Person-to-Person	27.3%
- Property	21.5%
- Drugs	2.1%
- Unspecified	5.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%
Citations	0.6%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	671

¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

YOUTH OF COLOR COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2016-2018, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2018


	Placements			FY2018	
	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	50	29	23	18.0	266.9
Group Home	166	165	144	66.4	161.3
Indep. Living	17	13	9	10.2	338.6
ICFA	43	34	10	0.8	23.3
RTC	169	141	112	55.7	184.6
State Operated	415	379	340	97.4	113.5
<i>Staff Secure</i>	329	300	276	70.5	104.4
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	86	79	64	26.9	139.5
Silver Oak	47	64	41	32.3	230.3
Out-of-State	51	51	68	42.3	285.4
<i>RTC</i>	6	4	7	4.8	192.0
<i>Staff Secure</i>	24	32	48	24.7	291.7
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	21	15	13	12.8	346.0
Total*	842	772	671	323.0	166.8

⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category, for example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

* Total placement count excludes transfers within and between programs/program types, and therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.

¹ Includes all admissions and placements as appropriate. It is not only completions.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Foster Care

Traditional Foster Care is continuous 24-hour care with supportive services provided to a youth in a DJS-approved family home. The foster family serves low-risk youth who cannot be managed in their own homes. Youth served may be experiencing behavioral problems and need a respite from family/neighborhood issues. Foster Care also serves youth who need long-term placement, primarily because it may not be appropriate for youth to return home. Treatment Foster Care (TFC) is continuous 24-hour care with intensive support services operated by a licensed child placement agency or local Department of Social Services in a family setting for children with serious emotional, behavioral, medical, and/or psychological conditions. The behaviors of the youth served are not so severe that removal from the community is necessary.

ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2018

Treatment Foster Care	County	Adm.	ADP
Arrow Child & Fam. Ministries	Balt. Co.	1	1.3
Board of Child Care	Balt. Co.	1	1.1
Children's Choice	Balt. City	4	1.9
Children's Home ⁺	Balt. Co.	0	0.8
Greenleaf	Mont.	2	1.9
Hearts & Homes - Family Ties ⁺	Mont.	0	0.6
Ment. MD-Balt Teens in Trans	Balt. Co.	5	5.1
Ment. MD - Lanham	Balt. Co.	1	1.0
Ment. MD - Salisbury Teens	Wicomico	9	3.9
Pressley Ridge	Allegany	6	2.7
PSI Services III ¹	Balt. Co.	0	0.0
San Mar ¹	Washington	0	0.0
Woodbourne Center	Balt. City	4	3.4
Traditional Foster Care			
Individual Families ¹	N/A	0	0.0
Total Admissions	All	33	23.6

⁺Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2018, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

¹Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2018, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.

"I can't answer that for all kids, but my foster mother was an adult who cared about me and helped me be successful so I could live with my family again. She was always home and always watching what I did. She helped me stay out of trouble."

- Response from a 12-year old at Children's Choice when asked, "How would you explain who a foster parent is?"

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
 - Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, overall placements to foster care decreased 49.2%.
- **Program Admissions (FY 2018):**
 - Mentor Maryland - Salisbury Teens had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2018):**
 - 100% of placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2018):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (19.4%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 7.7% to 16.1%
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 17.9% to 6.5%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 74.4% to 71.0%
 - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 47.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 14.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS decreased 14.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 12.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	32.8%	32.5%	19.4%
Reg-II - Central	8.2%	5.0%	9.7%
Reg-III - Western	16.4%	17.5%	29.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	23.0%	7.5%	9.7%
Reg-V - Southern	9.8%	17.5%	16.1%
Reg-VI - Metro	9.8%	15.0%	16.1%
Out-of-State	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	61	40	31

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	12.9%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	9.7%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.5%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	6.5%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	3.2%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	19.4%
Sex Offense	9.7%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.1%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.5%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	16.1%
Felony	6.5%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	6.5%
Misdemeanor	71.0%
- Person-to-Person	32.3%
- Property	29.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	9.7%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	6.5%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	31


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	73.8%	62.5%	61.3%
White	18.0%	27.5%	25.8%
Hispanic/Other	8.2%	10.0%	12.9%
Sex			
Male	68.9%	55.0%	54.8%
Female	31.1%	45.0%	45.2%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.6%	0.0%	3.2%
13	6.6%	2.5%	6.5%
14	9.8%	7.5%	6.5%
15	13.1%	5.0%	22.6%
16	11.5%	20.0%	22.6%
17	21.3%	37.5%	16.1%
18-20	36.1%	27.5%	22.6%
Total Placements	61	40	31

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Group Homes

General group homes are non-profit residential programs for youth in out-of-home placement licensed by DHS, DJS, or the Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ), which is part of MDH. They provide out-of-home care for four or more youth who are moderate to high risk, and need more structure and supervision than a relative, foster parent, or treatment foster care program could offer. General group homes also provide a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services. Therapeutic group homes (TGH) are residential programs for youth in out-of-home care that are licensed by OHCQ and must be non-profit organizations. TGHs provide access to a range of diagnostic and therapeutic mental health services to youth who are moderate to high risk and have an emotional or developmental disability. Those TGHs that provide educational programs on their grounds are considered to be staff secure programs.

ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2018

Group Homes	County	Adm.	ADP
ARC of Washington Co.	Washington	0	1.0
Board of Child Care	Balt. Co.	1	1.8
Catoctin Summit Adol. Prog	Balt. Co.	17	4.3
Cedar Ridge	Washington	10	9.6
Children's Home (GH & Tran)	Balt. Co.	16	4.8
Hearts and Homes	Mont/Pr. G	17	5.8
MAGIC	Balt. Co.	2	0.7
Morning Star Youth Academy	Dorchester	39	15.0
Oak Hill House	Washington	22	9.1
One Love	Balt. City	12	5.7
Our House	Mont.	8	3.1
Salem Trust	Garrett	10	6.5
St. Ann's Infant & Maternity Pr.	Pr. George's	1	0.6
Therapeutic Group Homes			
Avis Birely*	Mont.	13	6.4
Board of Child Care	Charl/Calv	6	2.9
Cedar Ridge	Washington	13	10.9
Mary's Mount Manor*	An. Arund	5	1.7
Total Admissions	All	192	90.2

* Operated by Hearts and Homes (Avis Birely was previously named Redl House)

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
 - Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, overall placements to group homes decreased 20.7%.
- *Program Admissions (FY 2018):*
 - Morning Star Youth Academy had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2018):*
 - 96.8% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 5 were from Washington D.C. and 1 was from Delaware.
- *Offense Type (FY 2018):*
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (20.2%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 19.2% to 20.2%
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 15.1% to 14.4%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 63.9% to 63.8%
 - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
 - ADP decreased 34.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017 and decreased 16.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
 - The average LOS decreased 20.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 4.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.



A Hearts and Homes Group Home

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	19.0%	23.6%	25.0%
Reg-II - Central	16.9%	22.7%	23.4%
Reg-III - Western	15.6%	8.6%	10.1%
Reg-IV - Eastern	10.1%	4.5%	7.4%
Reg-V - Southern	15.2%	17.3%	9.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	20.3%	20.5%	21.8%
Out-of-State	3.0%	2.7%	3.2%
Total Placements	237	220	188

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	1.1%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.6%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.4%
Carjacking	1.1%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%
Disturbing the Peace	2.7%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.1%
Handgun Violation	1.6%
Malicious Destruction	3.7%
Manslaughter	1.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%
Murder	0.5%
Narcotics Distribution	1.6%
Narcotics Possession	4.3%
Other/Missing ¹	5.3%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%
Robbery	9.6%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	20.2%
Sex Offense	3.7%
Theft Felony	0.5%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.8%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	20.2%
Felony	14.4%
- Person-to-Person	2.7%
- Property	2.7%
- Drugs	1.6%
- Unspecified	7.4%
Misdemeanor	63.8%
- Person-to-Person	30.9%
- Property	23.9%
- Drugs	3.7%
- Unspecified	5.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.5%
Citations	0.5%
CINS	0.5%
Total Placements	188


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	67.1%	65.5%	64.9%
White	30.0%	25.0%	23.4%
Hispanic/Other	3.0%	9.5%	11.7%
Sex			
Male	74.3%	80.0%	85.1%
Female	25.7%	20.0%	14.9%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
13	4.6%	5.5%	2.7%
14	9.3%	6.8%	7.4%
15	19.8%	18.2%	16.0%
16	21.1%	28.2%	28.2%
17	28.7%	27.3%	29.8%
18-20	14.8%	14.1%	16.0%
Total Placements	237	220	188

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Independent Living Programs (Including Alternative Living Units)

Independent Living Programs are operated by DHS-licensed child placement agencies for youth 15 to 21 years of age who need to become self-sufficient and learn responsible living skills, as they are unlikely to return home. Youth reside in either group homes or supervised apartment units, and must be enrolled in high school, college, vocational training, or be gainfully employed. During the program, youth learn about interpersonal skills, money management, job readiness, conflict management, positive leisure opportunities, and communication skills. Alternative Living Units are residences owned, leased, or operated by a licensee that: (a) provides residential services for children who, because of a developmental disability, require specialized living arrangements; (b) admits not more than three children; and (c) provides 24 hour care.

ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2018

Independent Living	County	Adm.	ADP
Damamli ⁺	Mont.	0	1.2
Future Bound [†]	Mont.	0	0.0
Jumoke	Balt. City	2	2.7
Ment. MD - Baltimore	Balt. Co.	3	2.2
Ment. MD - Salisbury	Wicomico	1	0.8
New Pathways - Independ. Plus	Balt. Co.	8	4.4
Alternative Living Units			
Arrow Child & Family Ministri.	Balt. Co.	1	0.4
Total Admissions	All	15	11.7

⁺Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2018, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

[†]Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2018, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.



Inside an apartment where youth live at New Pathways in Baltimore County

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
 - Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, overall placements to Independent Living Programs decreased 47.8%.
- **Program Admissions (FY 2018):**
 - New Pathways-Independence Plus had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2018):**
 - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2018):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (33.3%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 13.3% to 16.7%
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 6.7% to 0.0%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 80.0% to 83.3%
 - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 15.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 0.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS increased 3.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 27.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	17.4%	46.7%	58.3%
Reg-II - Central	13.0%	13.3%	25.0%
Reg-III - Western	4.3%	0.0%	8.3%
Reg-IV - Eastern	17.4%	13.3%	0.0%
Reg-V - Southern	30.4%	20.0%	8.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	17.4%	6.7%	0.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	23	15	12

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.3%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	8.3%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	8.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	8.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	33.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.7%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	16.7%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	16.7%
Felony	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Misdemeanor	83.3%
- Person-to-Person	50.0%
- Property	16.7%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	16.7%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	12


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	56.5%	86.7%	75.0%
White	26.1%	13.3%	25.0%
Hispanic/Other	17.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	65.2%	46.7%	58.3%
Female	34.8%	53.3%	41.7%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
16	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
17	13.0%	13.3%	0.0%
18-20	82.6%	86.7%	100.0%
Total Placements	23	15	12

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFAs)

An ICFA is the most intensive level for residential substance abuse services, providing drug and alcohol abuse assessment, treatment, and/or education for moderate- to high-risk youth. Youth served may have problems in other aspects of their lives, i.e., mental health, school, family, peer group, and/or community. These programs are medically monitored, intensive, inpatient treatment programs that offer a planned regimen of 24-hour professionally directed evaluation, care, and treatment in an inpatient setting. ICFAs are able to serve youth assessed as needing substance abuse treatment, including dually-diagnosed youth who also require mental health treatment services. These programs are intended to stabilize youth, initiate drug treatment and/or counseling services, and develop recommendations for services upon discharge.

ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2018

ICFA	County	Adm.	ADP
Lois E. Jackson Unit	Allegany	1	0.3
MTC - Mountain Manor	Balt. City	16	1.1
<i>Total Admissions</i>	<i>All</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>1.4</i>



Mountain Manor Drug Treatment Center

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
 - Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, overall placements to ICFAs decreased 80.0%.
- *Program Admissions (FY 2018):*
 - MTC Mountain Manor had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2018):*
 - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2018):*
 - The most common offense types for juveniles placed were Conspiracy to Commit Offense (17.6%) and Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (17.6%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 5.4% to 11.8%
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 5.4% to 17.6%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 83.9% to 58.8%
 - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
 - ADP decreased 87.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 79.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
 - The average LOS decreased 52.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 45.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	15.3%	16.1%	0.0%
Reg-II - Central	25.9%	10.7%	17.6%
Reg-III - Western	14.1%	10.7%	17.6%
Reg-IV - Eastern	5.9%	8.9%	11.8%
Reg-V - Southern	32.9%	46.4%	29.4%
Reg-VI - Metro	5.9%	7.1%	23.5%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>85</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>17</i>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	17.6%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.9%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.9%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	11.8%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	5.9%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	11.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	17.6%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.8%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	11.8%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	11.8%
Felony	17.6%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	17.6%
Misdemeanor	58.8%
- Person-to-Person	29.4%
- Property	17.6%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	11.8%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	11.8%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	17


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	44.7%	55.4%	35.3%
White	49.4%	39.3%	41.2%
Hispanic/Other	5.9%	5.4%	23.5%
Sex			
Male	70.6%	50.0%	76.5%
Female	29.4%	50.0%	23.5%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	1.2%	1.8%	0.0%
14	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
15	16.5%	8.9%	5.9%
16	21.2%	32.1%	29.4%
17	36.5%	28.6%	41.2%
18-20	23.5%	28.6%	23.5%
Total Placements	85	56	17

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Residential Treatment Centers (Includes Psychiatric Hospitals & Diagnostic Units/CEUs)

Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) provide more intensive psychiatric and psychological treatment services. These centers are required to have psychiatrists, psychologists, and psychiatric nurses on staff to lead treatment. Maryland RTCs are approved for operation through the State Certificate of Need (CON) process and are licensed through the Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ). Some RTCs concentrate on specific youth populations. For example, some staff secure and hardware secure RTCs focus on youth with behavioral problems and/or considered to be potentially harmful to self or others. The RTCs are intended to stabilize the youth's emotional condition; to provide services that increase the youth's ability to manage his/her mental illness as a potentially life-long challenge; to help the youth develop social skills for coping with both daily or difficult situations and interpersonal relationships; and to transition the youth to a less restrictive environment or home.

ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2018

Residential Treatment Ctr.	County	Adm.	ADP
Jefferson School	Frederick	9	8.7
New Dir. Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.	16	14.3
RICA Baltimore RTC	Balt. City	3	3.9
RICA Rockville RTC ¹	Mont.	0	0.0
Right Moves Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.	13	7.1
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	Balt. Co.	38	18.9
Villa Maria ⁺	Balt. Co.	0	0.6
Woodbourne	Balt. City	21	19.6
Psychiatric Hospitals²			
Brook Lane Hospital	Washington	1	0.0
Clinton T. Perkins Hospital Ctr.	Howard	1	0.0
Shep. Pratt Hosp. Ell. City & Towson	Balt. Co.	1	0.0
Spring Grove Hospital Center	Balt. Co.	32	2.9
Springfield Adult Hospital Ctr.	Carroll	1	0.0
Thomas Finan Center	Allegany	1	0.2
Diagnostic Unit/Clinical Evaluation Unit (CEU)²			
Arrow Child & Fam Ministries	Balt. Co.	22	5.2
Children's Home Diag Center ⁺	Balt. Co.	0	0.3
RICA Rockville	Mont.	2	0.7
Total Admissions	All	161	82.5

⁺Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2018, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

¹Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2018, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.

² Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Unit/CEUs are included on the RTC table because similar services are provided at these facilities.



Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
 - Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, overall placements to residential treatment centers decreased 30.8%.
- **Program Admissions (FY 2018):**
 - Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2018):**
 - 97.5% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was from Washington D.C., 1 was from Delaware, 1 was from Virginia and 1 was from Arkansas.
- **Offense Type (FY 2018):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (24.2%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 10.1% to 14.0%
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 16.4% to 15.9%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 73.4% to 68.8%
 - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 25.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 20.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS increased 0.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 7.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	16.3%	15.5%	16.6%
Reg-II - Central	13.7%	13.6%	15.9%
Reg-III - Western	10.1%	10.2%	8.3%
Reg-IV - Eastern	10.1%	13.6%	15.3%
Reg-V - Southern	27.8%	23.8%	29.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	20.3%	21.8%	12.1%
Out-of-State	1.8%	1.5%	2.5%
Total Placements	227	206	157

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	1.3%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	4.5%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	4.5%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.5%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%
Disturbing the Peace	5.7%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.5%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.6%
Narcotics Possession	0.6%
Other/Missing ¹	1.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	8.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	24.2%
Sex Offense	10.2%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.3%
Trespassing	1.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.1%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	14.0%
Felony	15.9%
- Person-to-Person	5.1%
- Property	5.1%
- Drugs	0.6%
- Unspecified	5.1%
Misdemeanor	68.8%
- Person-to-Person	36.3%
- Property	27.4%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	5.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	1.3%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>157</i>


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	67.0%	61.2%	61.8%
White	25.6%	31.6%	28.7%
Hispanic/Other	7.5%	7.3%	9.6%
Sex			
Male	73.1%	70.4%	75.8%
Female	26.9%	29.6%	24.2%
Age			
11 and under	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
12	3.5%	1.5%	0.6%
13	11.9%	5.3%	3.2%
14	15.9%	16.5%	13.4%
15	19.8%	19.4%	21.7%
16	26.4%	27.2%	26.8%
17	17.2%	21.4%	25.5%
18-20	4.8%	8.7%	8.9%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>227</i>	<i>206</i>	<i>157</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Out-of-State (OOS) Programs

Maryland law prohibits an out-of-state placement for youth, unless a Court finds the youth's individualized needs cannot be met through in-state resources. Additionally, DJS policy states that youth may not be placed out-of-state without the approval of the Secretary or designee. The Department adheres to Interstate Compact requirements and agreements with other states regarding requests for permission and notification when youth are placed in another state. Youth placed in out-of-state facilities are visited by DJS staff at least quarterly and parents/guardians are provided with opportunities to visit youth at least once per quarter. OOS programs are licensed by local authorities, and not by Maryland agencies. DJS contracts with vendors to provide services in two broad categories: (1) RTC-level specialized mental health services, and (2) staff and hardware secure programming.

ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2018

Hardware Secure RTC	State	Adm.	ADP
Kid Link-Coastal Harbor Treat Ctr	GA	1	1.2
Sequel - Mingus Mountain Acad.	AZ	2	1.1
UHS of Del-Harbor Point Behav. Hlth	VA	5	3.5
UHS of Del-Sandy Pines Treat Ctr ⁺	FL	0	0.4
Staff Secure			
Canyon State Academy	AZ	3	2.2
Clarinda Academy	IA	3	1.7
Drug & Rehab Services - Manos House	PA	1	0.0
Glen Mills School	PA	28	11.3
KidLink Netw-Found. for Living ¹	OH	0	0.0
Natchez Trace Youth Academy	TN	6	3.0
New Outlook Academy	PA	3	3.1
Summit Academy	PA	1	0.5
Woodward Academy	IA	6	5.4
Hardware Secure			
Cornell Abraxas	PA	2	5.3
Mid-Atlantic Luzerne	PA	5	2.2
Mid-Atlantic Western	PA	7	1.8
Wolverine Treatment Center	MI	3	6.4
Total Admissions	All	75	49.0

⁺Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2018, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

¹ Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2018, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
 - Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, overall placements to out-of-state programs increased 41.5%.
- **Program Admissions (FY 2018):**
 - Glen Mills School had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2018):**
 - 97.3% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was from Delaware and 1 was from Florida.
- **Offense Type (FY 2018):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (18.7%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 42.6% to 37.3%
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 9.8% to 20.0%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 47.5% to 42.7%
 - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 13.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 23.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS decreased 19.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 0.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.



A science classroom at Woodward Academy

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	34.0%	39.3%	41.3%
Reg-II - Central	17.0%	3.3%	16.0%
Reg-III - Western	1.9%	4.9%	5.3%
Reg-IV - Eastern	5.7%	4.9%	5.3%
Reg-V - Southern	15.1%	19.7%	10.7%
Reg-VI - Metro	17.0%	21.3%	18.7%
Out-of-State	9.4%	6.6%	2.7%
Total Placements	53	61	75

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	6.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	2.7%
Carjacking	6.7%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	10.7%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	4.0%
Handgun Violation	1.3%
Malicious Destruction	2.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	6.7%
Narcotics Distribution	2.7%
Narcotics Possession	2.7%
Other/Missing ¹	1.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	16.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	18.7%
Sex Offense	1.3%
Theft Felony	1.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.7%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.7%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	37.3%
Felony	20.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.3%
- Property	8.0%
- Drugs	2.7%
- Unspecified	8.0%
Misdemeanor	42.7%
- Person-to-Person	21.3%
- Property	13.3%
- Drugs	2.7%
- Unspecified	5.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	75


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	94.3%	72.1%	82.7%
White	3.8%	16.4%	9.3%
Hispanic/Other	1.9%	11.5%	8.0%
Sex			
Male	88.7%	83.6%	89.3%
Female	11.3%	16.4%	10.7%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
13	1.9%	1.6%	2.7%
14	1.9%	9.8%	12.0%
15	17.0%	19.7%	17.3%
16	11.3%	34.4%	21.3%
17	50.9%	19.7%	33.3%
18-20	17.0%	13.1%	13.3%
Total Placements	53	61	75

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Backbone Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Todd Foote
 Rated Capacity: 48 Males

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

Rte 1, 124 Camp 4 Road
 Swanton, MD 21561
 301-359-9190

The Youth Center opened in 1966 as a 35-bed forestry camp on Backbone Mountain in Garrett County with funds appropriated by the General Assembly and became a youth center in 1977. The facility offers programs including the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and STARR, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment and a level of care is determined. Backbone is certified to offer Substance Abuse Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient Services. Youth participate in individual and group interventions. Family counseling is offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. Youth with high aggression needs, participate in START, an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills. Additionally, MSDE offers OSHA and ServSafe Certification and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam. The College Program is offered in conjunction with Garrett College of Maryland. Youth are screened through a referral, record review, and interview for acceptance into the program. Selected youth are admitted for one college semester while in the care of DJS and earn a Maryland High School Diploma as well as up to 15 college credits.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	79.1%	75.7%	78.2%
White	13.6%	14.6%	13.7%
Hispanic/Other	7.3%	9.7%	8.1%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	7.3%	1.9%	6.5%
15	10.9%	17.5%	18.5%
16	40.9%	25.2%	33.1%
17	30.0%	44.7%	32.3%
18-20	10.9%	10.7%	9.7%
Total Placements	110	103	124

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements increased 12.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 20.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2018):**
 - 99.2% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was from Virginia.
- **Offense Type (FY 2018):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Robbery (21.8%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 25.2% to 33.9%
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 11.7% to 10.5%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 58.3% to 54.0%
 - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP increased 9.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 16.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS decreased 3.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 5.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2017-FY 2018):**
 - Backbone Mountain's utilization rate increased from 56.0% in FY 2017 to 65.4% in FY 2018 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.8%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.8%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.5%
Carjacking	3.2%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.6%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%
Disturbing the Peace	1.6%
First Degree Assault-Felony	3.2%
Handgun Violation	4.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.6%
Narcotics Possession	3.2%
Other/Missing ¹	2.4%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	21.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	16.1%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.6%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.3%
Trespassing	0.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.8%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	33.9%
Felony	10.5%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	3.2%
- Drugs	1.6%
- Unspecified	5.6%
Misdemeanor	54.0%
- Person-to-Person	25.0%
- Property	22.6%
- Drugs	1.6%
- Unspecified	4.8%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	1.6%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>124</i>


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	21.8%	24.3%	25.8%
Reg-II - Central	11.8%	14.6%	21.0%
Reg-III - Western	4.5%	3.9%	4.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	15.5%	5.8%	6.5%
Reg-V - Southern	22.7%	14.6%	16.9%
Reg-VI - Metro	23.6%	33.0%	25.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	3.9%	0.8%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>110</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>124</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Green Ridge Mountain Quest (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Judith Hodel
Rated Capacity: 10 Males


Staff Secure - Region III - Western

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road
Flintstone, MD 21530
301-478-2930

Mountain Quest is a 90-day program for committed males ages 13-18 located at Green Ridge Youth Center. Mountain Quest is a first-time commitment program that provides youth time away from their families and communities giving them the opportunity to change their behavior while learning responsibility for their actions. The Mountain Quest program gives youth the opportunity to work on thought patterns and behaviors that will help them become successful in treatment when they return to the community. Family work and interventions are critical at this time to better assess needs and provide an open line of communication. Mental health counseling is provided on an as-needed basis.

The facility offers individual and group substance abuse interventions. Youth with high aggression needs, participate in START, an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills. Additionally, MSDE offers OSHA and ServSafe Certification and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	48.3%	68.0%	75.0%
White	27.6%	24.0%	25.0%
Hispanic/Other	24.1%	8.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%
13	13.8%	12.0%	20.8%
14	6.9%	24.0%	25.0%
15	41.4%	16.0%	29.2%
16	17.2%	28.0%	16.7%
17	20.7%	16.0%	8.3%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	29	25	24

• Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 17.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 4.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

• Region of Residence (FY 2018):

- 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no placements from Out-of-State at this facility.

• Offense Type (FY 2018):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Theft Misdemeanor (25.0%).

• Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 12.0% to 12.5%
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 24.0% to 8.3%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 64.0% to 75.0%
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 33.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 22.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

• Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 15.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 8.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.

• Utilization Rate (FY 2017-FY 2018):

- Green Ridge Mountain Quest's utilization rate decreased from 62.9% in FY 2017 to 48.7% in FY 2018 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.3%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	12.5%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.2%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	4.2%
Malicious Destruction	8.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	8.3%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	4.2%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	8.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	4.2%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	25.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	12.5%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	12.5%
Felony	8.3%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	8.3%
Misdemeanor	75.0%
- Person-to-Person	16.7%
- Property	41.7%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	16.7%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	4.2%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	24


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
Reg-II - Central	10.3%	8.0%	25.0%
Reg-III - Western	20.7%	44.0%	25.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	3.4%	4.0%	12.5%
Reg-V - Southern	20.7%	8.0%	12.5%
Reg-VI - Metro	44.8%	36.0%	20.8%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	29	25	24

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Green Ridge Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Judith Hodel
Rated Capacity: 30 Males

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road
Flintstone, MD 21530
301-478-2930

Built originally as a Civilian Conservation Corps Camp, Green Ridge Youth Center has had a variety of uses in its 90-year history. Currently, Green Ridge is a treatment center for males ages 13-18. The facility offers programming that includes the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and STARR, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment and a level of care is determined. Green Ridge Youth Center is certified to offer Substance Abuse Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient Services. Youth participate in individual and group interventions. Family counseling is offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. Youth with chronic aggression are provided START: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills. Additionally, MSDE offers OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	69.6%	65.1%	76.5%
White	18.8%	19.0%	15.3%
Hispanic/Other	11.6%	15.9%	8.2%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
14	10.1%	9.5%	16.5%
15	17.4%	34.9%	16.5%
16	39.1%	28.6%	32.9%
17	24.6%	20.6%	28.2%
18-20	8.7%	6.3%	3.5%
Total Placements	69	63	85

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements increased 23.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 34.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2018):**
 - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2018):**
 - The most common offense types for juveniles placed was Theft Misdemeanor (16.5%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 28.6% to 30.6%
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 17.5% to 14.1%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 52.4% to 55.3%
 - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP increased 17.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 17.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS decreased 9.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 17.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2017-FY 2018):**
 - Green Ridge Youth Center's utilization rate increased from 68.5% in FY 2017 to 80.5% in FY 2018 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.4%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	14.1%
Carjacking	3.5%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	10.6%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.4%
First Degree Assault-Felony	3.5%
Handgun Violation	5.9%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.4%
Narcotics Possession	1.2%
Other/Missing ¹	1.2%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	11.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	14.1%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.2%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.5%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	7.1%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	30.6%
Felony	14.1%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	3.5%
- Drugs	2.4%
- Unspecified	8.2%
Misdemeanor	55.3%
- Person-to-Person	23.5%
- Property	21.2%
- Drugs	1.2%
- Unspecified	9.4%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>85</i>


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	4.3%	4.8%	21.2%
Reg-II - Central	13.0%	11.1%	18.8%
Reg-III - Western	8.7%	17.5%	7.1%
Reg-IV - Eastern	7.2%	9.5%	4.7%
Reg-V - Southern	17.4%	7.9%	21.2%
Reg-VI - Metro	49.3%	49.2%	27.1%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>69</i>	<i>63</i>	<i>85</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



J. DeWeese Carter Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Annette Miller
Rated Capacity: 14 Females

Hardware Secure - Region IV - Eastern

300 Scheeler Road
Chestertown, MD 21620
410-778-6444

J. DeWeese Carter Center opened as a secure residential facility in November 2011 to serve females ages 14-18 who are committed to DJS. The program provides gender-responsive, trauma-informed rehabilitation and treatment services. The Center implements STARR, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation. The program's goals include accepting responsibility for behavior, learning problem solving strategies and peer leadership skills, and developing/improving pro-social skills. Services include individual and group therapy, substance abuse education and treatment, health education, medication assessment and monitoring, and family therapy. MSDE provides academic and vocational services. The school provides a 12-month schedule that includes six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, life skills, as well as individualized GED programs that prepare youth for successful completion of a high school diploma examination. MSDE offers vocational programming in computer literacy, Introduction to Telecommunications, and the ServSafe Program, all of which allow girls to earn certificates to help them secure employment at discharge.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	70.6%	66.7%	50.0%
White	23.5%	20.8%	42.9%
Hispanic/Other	5.9%	12.5%	7.1%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	17.6%	8.3%	7.1%
15	11.8%	16.7%	28.6%
16	35.3%	33.3%	21.4%
17	23.5%	29.2%	21.4%
18-20	11.8%	12.5%	21.4%
Total Placements	17	24	14

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements decreased 17.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 41.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2018):**
 - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2018):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (35.7%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 25.0% to 14.3%
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 12.5% to 0.0%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 62.5% to 85.7%
 - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP increased 3.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 10.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS increased 31.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 2.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2017-FY 2018):**
 - J. DeWeese Carter Center's utilization rate decreased from 64.7% in FY 2017 to 57.7% in FY 2018 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.1%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	7.1%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	14.3%
First Degree Assault-Felony	7.1%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	7.1%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	35.7%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.3%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	14.3%
Felony	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Misdemeanor	85.7%
- Person-to-Person	57.1%
- Property	21.4%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	7.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	14


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	5.9%	12.5%	7.1%
Reg-II - Central	11.8%	8.3%	21.4%
Reg-III - Western	11.8%	16.7%	42.9%
Reg-IV - Eastern	5.9%	4.2%	14.3%
Reg-V - Southern	41.2%	20.8%	14.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	23.5%	37.5%	0.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	17	24	14

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



Meadow Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Todd Bowman
Rated Capacity: 40 Males

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

234 Recovery Road
Grantsville, MD 21535
301-895-5669

Meadow Mountain Youth Center opened as a forestry camp for boys in 1958 and reopened in 1984 as an intensive out-patient program providing youth with at least nine hours of drug treatment weekly, which includes Seven Challenges group therapy. Additionally, Meadow Mountain uses STARR, DJS' behavioral management program, and houses the Reflections Program which includes a high and low ropes challenge course, an aquatic challenge, and different outdoor activities. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment and a level of care is determined. Meadow Mountain is certified to offer Intensive Outpatient Services as well as Clinically Managed High Intensity Residential Services. Family counseling is offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. Youth with high aggression needs participate in START, an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills. Additionally, MSDE offers OSHA and ServSafe Certification and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam. Also offered is an aquaculture vocational program in which youth earned a 60-hour certificate with competencies needed to become an Aquaculture Technician I.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.5%	72.0%	67.8%
White	22.8%	20.7%	20.7%
Hispanic/Other	8.7%	7.3%	11.5%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
14	6.5%	4.9%	10.3%
15	10.9%	23.2%	14.9%
16	30.4%	28.0%	31.0%
17	40.2%	30.5%	35.6%
18-20	12.0%	13.4%	6.9%
Total Placements	92	82	87

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements decreased 5.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 6.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2018):**
 - 100% of placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2018):**
 - The most common offense types for juveniles placed was Theft Misdemeanor (14.9%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 20.7% to 16.1%
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 12.2% to 23.0%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 63.4% to 59.8%
 - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 20.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 12.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS decreased 17.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 23.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2017-FY 2018):**
 - Meadow Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate decreased from 64.6% in FY 2017 to 56.5% in FY 2018 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.4%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.9%
Deadly Weapon	3.4%
Disturbing the Peace	2.3%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.3%
Handgun Violation	2.3%
Malicious Destruction	6.9%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.1%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	5.7%
Narcotics Possession	6.9%
Other/Missing ¹	2.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	11.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	11.5%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.4%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.9%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.9%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	16.1%
Felony	23.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.3%
- Property	6.9%
- Drugs	5.7%
- Unspecified	8.0%
Misdemeanor	59.8%
- Person-to-Person	21.8%
- Property	25.3%
- Drugs	5.7%
- Unspecified	6.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	1.1%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>87</i>


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	12.0%	18.3%	17.2%
Reg-II - Central	16.3%	13.4%	16.1%
Reg-III - Western	3.3%	12.2%	9.2%
Reg-IV - Eastern	19.6%	18.3%	13.8%
Reg-V - Southern	18.5%	17.1%	21.8%
Reg-VI - Metro	29.3%	19.5%	21.8%
Out-of-State	1.1%	1.2%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>92</i>	<i>82</i>	<i>87</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Savage Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)

Acting Superintendent: David Green


Staff Secure - Region III - Western

Rated Capacity: 24 Males (reduced from 36 at the beginning of FY 2016)

164 Freedom Lane
 Lonaconing, MD 21539
 301-463-2244

The success of the first forestry camp for boys at Green Ridge prompted the General Assembly to appropriate funds for additional facilities in 1956 (Chapter 98, Acts of 1956). Lonaconing Forestry Camp for boys opened in December 1957 and was charged with the rehabilitation of adjudicated males 14-18 years of age. The Camp was renamed Savage Mountain Youth Center in 1977. The facility offers programming that includes STARR, the department's behavioral management program, Seven Challenges, an evidence based drug treatment program, START, an evidence-based cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning, and TAMAR, a group intervention to address trauma issues combining psychodynamic therapy with expressive art therapy and psychoeducational techniques. Behavioral Health staff provide individual, group and family counseling services. Family engagement in treatment planning is encouraged. Regular family interaction is encouraged through family visits, phone calls, special family day activities at the facility, and home visits to help youth successfully transition back to the community. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week. *In September 2017, Savage Mountain Youth Center closed for renovations to upgrade the physical plant to hardware secure security level to meet the needs of the changing population.*

PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	91.4%	79.3%	90.9%
White	5.7%	11.5%	9.1%
Hispanic/Other	2.9%	9.2%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	2.9%	3.4%	9.1%
14	12.9%	10.3%	18.2%
15	22.9%	40.2%	27.3%
16	27.1%	16.1%	27.3%
17	25.7%	23.0%	9.1%
18-20	8.6%	6.9%	9.1%
Total Placements	70	87	11

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements increased 24.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2018):**
 - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2018):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Unspecified Misdemeanor (27.3%).
- **Offense Category:**
 - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP increased 2.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS decreased 15.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2016-FY 2017):**
 - Savage Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate increased from 72.9% in FY 2016 to 74.8% in FY 2017 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

Savage Mountain Youth Center was closed in September of 2017 for renovations. The facility remained closed through the remainder of the FY; therefore comparisons with FY 2018 data are not possible.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	9.1%
Carjacking	9.1%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	9.1%
Other/Missing ¹	9.1%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	9.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	9.1%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.1%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	27.3%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	27.3%
Felony	9.1%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	9.1%
Misdemeanor	54.5%
- Person-to-Person	9.1%
- Property	18.2%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	27.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	9.1%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	11


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	22.9%	23.0%	81.8%
Reg-II - Central	14.3%	12.6%	9.1%
Reg-III - Western	2.9%	3.4%	0.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	11.4%	13.8%	0.0%
Reg-V - Southern	18.6%	10.3%	0.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	30.0%	36.8%	9.1%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	70	87	11

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Victor Cullen Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: John Plummer
Rated Capacity: 48 Males

Hardware Secure - Region III - Western

6000 Cullen Drive
Sabillasville, MD 21780
301-739-8122

The Victor Cullen Center (VCC) was originally a sanatorium for tuberculosis patients named after the physician in charge of the institution, Dr. Victor F. Cullen. In July 2007, VCC reopened under state administration as a regional committed treatment center. VCC is a secure facility for delinquent males primarily serving youth ages 15-18 in a four- to six-month treatment program for mental health and/or substance abuse issues. The facility offers programming that includes the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and STARR, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive individual and group substance abuse interventions, and outpatient and early intervention substance abuse treatment are also available. Individual and family counseling are offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. All youth participate in START: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged through visits, phone calls, family counseling, and family day activities. Recreational programming includes camping and intramural sports competitions. Specialized programs include Yoga and Mindfulness Training and SHYNE. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills. Additionally, MSDE offers OSHA Certification and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	84.3%	75.0%	88.3%
White	12.0%	16.7%	6.7%
Hispanic/Other	3.6%	8.3%	5.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	3.6%	2.8%	1.7%
15	10.8%	16.7%	18.3%
16	19.3%	26.4%	35.0%
17	42.2%	26.4%	20.0%
18-20	24.1%	27.8%	25.0%
Total Placements	83	72	60

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements decreased 27.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 16.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2018):**
 - 96.7% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was from Virginia and 1 was from Washington D.C.
- **Offense Type (FY 2018):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Robbery (21.7%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence did not change.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 9.7% to 10.0%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 37.5% to 40.0%
 - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 19.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 12.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS decreased 0.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 5.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2017-FY 2018):**
 - Victor Cullen Center's utilization rate decreased from 58.5% in FY 2017 to 51.3% in FY 2018 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.0%
Carjacking	13.3%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.3%
Deadly Weapon	3.3%
Disturbing the Peace	1.7%
First Degree Assault-Felony	5.0%
Handgun Violation	3.3%
Malicious Destruction	1.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	3.3%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	1.7%
Resisting Arrest	1.7%
Robbery	21.7%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	15.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.3%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.7%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	50.0%
Felony	10.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.7%
- Property	5.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.3%
Misdemeanor	40.0%
- Person-to-Person	21.7%
- Property	16.7%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.7%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>60</i>


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	34.9%	27.8%	35.0%
Reg-II - Central	10.8%	16.7%	11.7%
Reg-III - Western	6.0%	4.2%	10.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	3.6%	8.3%	13.3%
Reg-V - Southern	10.8%	9.7%	8.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	28.9%	33.3%	18.3%
Out-of-State	4.8%	0.0%	3.3%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>83</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>60</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Silver Oak Academy (Private Provider)


Program Director: Kevin McLeod
Rated Capacity: 96 Males

Staff Secure

999 Crouse Mill Road
Keymar, Maryland 21757
410-775-1745

Silver Oak Academy (SOA) is a private residential program owned and operated by Rite of Passage, Inc. SOA is an achievement-based program designed to highlight and develop positive pro-social skills and activities to assist youth in transitioning to the community and being successful. The basis of achievement is measured through nine separate program elements. SOA serves youth ages 14-18. Vocational training services include: food service and hospitality management, carpentry/electrical, construction/masonry, cosmetology/barbering, and electronics. SOA has a large campus that includes a year-round high school approved by MSDE, a competition gym (with wrestling and weight rooms), outdoor competition fields, and a running track. During FY 2014, the DJS contracted capacity at SOA increased from 48 to 96.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2016-2018



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	83.3%	85.9%	95.3%
White	13.0%	9.9%	4.7%
Hispanic/Other	3.7%	4.2%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	7.4%	16.9%	16.3%
16	37.0%	25.4%	30.2%
17	42.6%	36.6%	41.9%
18-20	13.0%	21.1%	11.6%
Total Placements	54	71	43

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements decreased 20.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 39.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2018):**
 - 100% of placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2018):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Robbery (20.9%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2017-2018):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 31.0% to 39.5%
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 19.7% to 18.6%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 49.3% to 39.5%
 - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 29.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 26.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS decreased 20.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and decreased 18.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2017-FY 2018):**
 - Silver Oak's utilization rate decreased from 49.6% in FY 2017 to 36.4% in FY 2018 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2018
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	4.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	9.3%
Carjacking	7.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	2.3%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.3%
Handgun Violation	7.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	7.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.3%
Other/Missing ¹	4.7%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	20.9%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	14.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.3%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	39.5%
Felony	18.6%
- Person-to-Person	2.3%
- Property	4.7%
- Drugs	7.0%
- Unspecified	4.7%
Misdemeanor	39.5%
- Person-to-Person	18.6%
- Property	16.3%
- Drugs	2.3%
- Unspecified	2.3%
Ordinance Offenses	2.3%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	43


¹ Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016-2018

Region	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Reg-I - Baltimore City	27.8%	22.5%	39.5%
Reg-II - Central	27.8%	28.2%	34.9%
Reg-III - Western	0.0%	11.3%	11.6%
Reg-IV - Eastern	3.7%	4.2%	0.0%
Reg-V - Southern	22.2%	11.3%	7.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	16.7%	21.1%	7.0%
Out-of-State	1.9%	1.4%	0.0%
Total Placements	54	71	43

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016-2018



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2018



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Community-Based Family Therapy Programs

DJS uses research-supported, community-based family therapy programs to address the needs of youth, and to reduce out-of-home placements. Evidence-Based Services (EBS) constitute those designated as “model” programs by Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development (Center for the Study and Prevention of Violence at the University of Colorado Boulder) and currently include Functional Family Therapy (FFT) and Multisystemic Therapy (MST). These services are family-based therapeutic models shown to be effective with youth involved with the juvenile justice system. DJS primarily targets FFT and MST for juveniles under supervision in the community. In addition, Family Centered Treatment (FCT), provided by the Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc., is a family preservation model of in-home treatment, which helps families to learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns. FCT is utilized with youth who are committed or on probation.

PLACEMENTS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2018

EBS Providers		County	Place.	ADP
FFT	Center for Children, Inc.	Anne Arundel	78	24.8
		Calvert	9	2.1
		Charles	25	7.3
		St. Mary's	31	7.1
	VisionQuest National	Baltimore City	60	15.2
		Baltimore Co.	24	6.9
		Carroll	12	3.2
		Harford	8	2.2
		Howard	15	4.5
		East. Shore	44	14.8
Montgomery		32	10.3	
Pr. George's	45	18.8		
MST	Community Counseling and Mentoring Service, Inc.	Montgomery	20	4.9
		Pr. George's	36	8.9
	Community Solutions, Inc.	Baltimore Co.	18	7.3
	Way Station, Inc.	Frederick	9	2.7
		Washington	11	3.8
FCT	Institute for Family Centered Services (IFCS), Inc.	Baltimore City	44	18.5
		Baltimore Co.	24	10.8
		Carroll	20	8.4
		Harford	5	0.8
		Howard	13	4.7
		Allegany	9	2.8
		Frederick	20	7.2
		Washington	23	7.1
		Caroline	0	0.2
		Cecil	1	0.3
		Dorchester	10	3.6
		Queen Anne's	2	1.1
		Talbot	1	0.3
		Wicomico	9	3.2
		Worcester	3	0.5
		Anne Arundel	4	1.1
		Calvert	8	4.1
		Charles	9	4.0
		St. Mary's	18	8.8
		Montgomery	46	21.0
Pr. George's	34	20.9		
Total Placements		All shown	780	274.2

Community-Based Family Therapy Program Placements (FY 2018):

- 49.1% of placements were to FFT.
- 12.1% of placements were to MST.
- 38.8% of placements were to FCT.

Juveniles Placed (FY 2018):

- At the time of placement to a community-based family therapy program, 56.4% of juveniles were under probation, 28.1% were on aftercare supervision, 11.5% were under pre-court supervision, and 4.0% were referred by DJS Intake without a formal supervision status.

Most Common Offense Type (FY 2018):

- FFT was Second Degree Assault (24.0%).
- MST was Second Degree Assault (35.1%).
- FCT was Second Degree Assault (27.4%).

Average Length of Stay (FY 2018):

- ALOS was highest for FCT while FFT and MST were similar.

Average Daily Population (FY 2018):

- ADP was highest for FCT and lowest for MST.

Completion Status According to Program Specific Criteria (FY 2018):

- 68.9% of juveniles discharged from FFT* completed the program.
- 78.3% of juveniles discharged from MST* completed the program.
- 63.3% of juveniles discharged from FCT* completed the program.

*Note that these percentages reflect cases discharged within therapist control, which excludes youth who moved prior to completing the program, those referred to / placed in another program, or discharged for other administrative reasons.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018

	FFT	MST	FCT
Reg I-Balt. City	15.7%	0.0%	14.5%
Reg II-Central	15.4%	19.1%	20.5%
Reg III-Western	0.0%	21.3%	17.2%
Reg IV-E. Shore	11.5%	0.0%	8.6%
Reg V-Southern	37.3%	0.0%	12.9%
Reg VI-Metro	20.1%	59.6%	26.4%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	383	94	303

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2018

Most Serious Offense	FFT	MST	FCT
Offense Type			
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	1.3%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	1.8%	4.3%	1.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.5%	4.3%	6.3%
Carjacking	1.3%	1.1%	1.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	4.2%	4.3%	8.3%
Deadly Weapon	2.9%	2.1%	2.3%
Disturbing the Peace	4.7%	7.4%	3.6%
First Degree Assault-Felony	1.6%	0.0%	1.3%
Handgun Violation	2.1%	1.1%	1.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.7%	2.1%	5.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.8%	1.1%	1.7%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%
Narcotics Distribution	0.5%	0.0%	0.7%
Narcotics Possession	3.7%	1.1%	3.0%
Other/Missing ¹	5.5%	4.3%	3.6%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Robbery	8.1%	13.8%	8.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	24.0%	35.1%	27.4%
Sex Offense	3.4%	0.0%	1.7%
Theft Felony	1.6%	0.0%	2.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.1%	12.8%	12.5%
Trespassing	1.8%	2.1%	1.3%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	5.0%	3.2%	5.0%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	15.4%	19.1%	15.2%
Felony	10.4%	6.4%	12.2%
- Person-to-Person	1.8%	0.0%	1.0%
- Property	3.4%	4.3%	4.0%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.7%
- Unspecified	4.7%	2.1%	6.6%
Misdemeanor	69.7%	74.5%	70.6%
- Person-to-Person	38.6%	48.9%	38.6%
- Property	24.3%	19.1%	23.1%
- Drugs	1.8%	1.1%	1.7%
- Unspecified	5.0%	5.3%	7.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	2.6%	0.0%	2.0%
CINS	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Compact Missing	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	383	94	303

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance.


² See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Note: FFT and MST data come from the Institute for Innovation and Implementation's EBS Database, except for offense data, which comes from ASSIST. The source for all FCT data is ASSIST.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018

Demographics	FFT	MST	FCT
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.7%	59.6%	66.0%
White	21.4%	12.8%	23.8%
Hispanic/Other	15.9%	27.7%	10.2%
Sex			
Female	20.4%	24.5%	18.8%
Male	79.6%	75.5%	81.2%
Age			
11 and under	1.6%	0.0%	0.7%
12	1.6%	2.1%	1.7%
13	4.4%	5.3%	4.3%
14	10.7%	19.1%	10.9%
15	19.1%	27.7%	21.5%
16	23.5%	24.5%	24.8%
17	31.6%	21.3%	25.7%
18-20	7.6%	0.0%	10.6%
Total Placements	383	94	303

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018



SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS AT DISCHARGE*, FY 2018



* Each program has its own measure of completion.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Section V: Recidivism Rates

Pray FOR Strength on a daily basis
and trust GOD

...that all goes well in
life and your journey

Empowerment.

Strength

We are only as strong as we are
united, as weak as we are divided

It's not always necessary to be
strong, but to feel strong

...comes new strength

In honor of National Crime Victims' Rights Week, youth at the Victor Cullen Center made inspirational bracelets to donate to a local women's shelter. The bracelets were made of "justice beads," where each color represents a type of victimization.

Measuring Recidivism Rates

Measuring recidivism for juvenile offenders is a vital part of program evaluation, and is an essential part of understanding the effectiveness of interventions to address delinquency. Though other outcomes are also important to study, including education, employment, substance abuse, and other non-offense-related outcomes, recidivism remains a key measure of success for juvenile justice programs. Measuring recidivism rates involves examining a cohort of youth from a particular year, tracking their new arrests for the following year, then tracking resulting court dispositions for an additional year, to account for often lengthy court processing time. For this reason, *Maryland publishes rearrest rates with a one-year lag, and reconviction and reincarceration with a two-year lag.*

POST-COMMITMENT RECIDIVISM

DJS has undertaken and published annual recidivism reports for many years, which focused on youth returning from committed out-of-home placements. These studies have been refined and expanded over the years to encompass all out-of-home committed programs (from foster placements to secure confinement), to include information on both juvenile and adult reoffending, and to show three levels of recidivating: a new alleged offense, whether that new offense resulted in conviction, and whether a new out-of-home placement resulted. New offenses that occur on the same day of commitment release while youth are in a committed program are not counted as recidivism. Youth are followed for three years from release, and results are shown by year, level of recidivism, demographics, county, program type, and by individual program. It is important to note that although rates are presented by committed program, recidivism is affected by more than just the quality of the program. The quality of aftercare supervision after a youth is released, the community and/or family to which youth return, local economic opportunities, and other factors beyond the Department's control can all affect outcomes.

FIRST-TIME PROBATION RECIDIVISM

Because committed out-of-home placements make up a relatively small portion of the cases managed by the Department, in recent years DJS expanded the annual recidivism study to capture youth on probation. This cohort includes all youth newly placed under DJS supervision by a juvenile court under a probation order. Recidivism events are tracked from the date of the start of supervision for up to three years, regardless of how long a youth remains under supervision.

RECIDIVISM DEFINITION

The juvenile justice community has not reached a consensus on how best to define recidivism with one measure. Therefore, consistent with other studies, DJS focuses on several measures, including subsequent juvenile and/or criminal involvement of youth. Because some youth may age out of juvenile court jurisdiction during the recidivism follow-up period, it is important to include information from the adult criminal justice system and to report a combined juvenile and adult recidivism rate. For purposes of these recidivism studies, a new arrest includes all new delinquent or criminal offenses. This would be either a felony or a misdemeanor offense. Status offenses, traffic citations, ordinance, and violations of probation not including a new delinquent or criminal offense are not counted in recidivism rates. It is important to note that only those new adjudications, convictions, commitments, and incarcerations that stem from a new arrest are included. Those stemming from offenses that occurred prior to the probation or commitment episode studied would not be counted. All recidivism rates are calculated at the youth level, rather than the case level. If a youth is found to have recidivated in both the juvenile and adult systems, the recidivism event is counted once. It is, however, possible for a youth to show up in both the probation and committed study cohorts. The following chart includes data definitions used to analyze recidivism rates.

Cohort	Definition	Clock Starts	Assigned to	Further Breakdown/Summary
Probation	Youth with a first-time probation disposition in FY who had not previously been placed on probation or committed to DJS	Date of Probation Disposition or Probation Start Date	Region of Jurisdiction of Case Manager	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Assessed Risk
Committed	Youth released from committed program in FY who stayed at least 16 days	Date of final release, excluding transfers	Final program from which youth was released	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Program Type, Program, Assessed Risk

Revised Recidivism Methodology

Beginning in FY 2015, the recidivism methodology was revised as follows: 1. The date used to report the event is based on the date of offense (for juvenile offenses) or arrest (for adult charges), rather than the date of any resulting court decision or placement. For example: a new offense in the first year after release, where the charge was not adjudicated until the second year, is counted as a first-year adjudication. In prior editions, the date of the court action or placement was used for reporting recidivism beyond the offense level. 2. Only misdemeanor and felony offenses are counted for recidivism. Technical violations, citations or other non-delinquent referrals are not counted. This Guide includes a five-year chart using the revised methodology for all years to show the long-term trend.

Recidivism	Definition	Date Used	Excludes	Data Source
Juvenile Arrest	Subsequent offense referred to DJS	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes violations of probation or aftercare not involving a new delinquent offense; CINS referrals, citations, local ordinance violations, arrests in other states, arrests for offenses occurring prior to release, and arrests diverted by police and not referred to DJS.	DJS ASSIST
Adult Arrest	Adult arrest in Maryland	Arrest date within follow-up period	Excludes violations of probation or parole, alcohol citation, civil citations, arrests outside of Maryland, Federal holds, arrests for offenses that occur on the same day of release from a committed program.	CJIS
Juvenile Adjudication	Sustained delinquent adjudication included in reoffense	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes continued cases	DJS ASSIST
Adult Conviction	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count	Arrest date within follow-up period	Same as adult arrest	CJIS
Juvenile Commitment	Commitment stemming from offense included in reoffense and readjudication	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes commitments not resulting in out-of-home placement	DJS ASSIST
Adult Incarceration	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count resulting in a sentence of incarceration (or possible confinement)	Arrest date within follow-up period	Same as adult arrest	CJIS

For purposes of this *Data Resource Guide*, rates will be combined for the juvenile and adult system into three overall categories using the below mentioned juvenile and/or criminal justice recidivism measures and labeled as:

1. Rearrest
2. Reconviction
3. Reincarceration

DATA SOURCES

Information from two different databases (juvenile and adult) is retrieved, processed, and compiled for each of the selected released cohorts. Data gathering involves the following procedures:

- A list of all youths newly assigned to probation, or released from the DJS committed residential programs is obtained from the Department's ASSIST management information system.
- Master cohort files are created containing gender, race, date of birth, county of jurisdiction, region of jurisdiction, county of residence, and region of residence. For committed youth, the last program name and program type from which youth was released during the release cohort is also included. Risk level is added using the MCASP assessment completed closest to the committed release date for committed youth and disposition date for probation youth.
- For both committed and probation cohort files, juvenile recidivism events are added from the ASSIST database, including subsequent arrests, adjudications, and commitments. Dates of offense are used to code recidivism events as occurring one, two, and three years after release.
- Adult arrest and court disposition information is obtained from the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), using DJS youth name, date of birth, race, and gender as identification or index fields. These records are added to a text file and returned to DJS with the rest of the response data. Name match is as follows: Smith, Gregory will match with Smith, Gregory and Smith Greg. Smith, Greg will match with Smith, Greg and Smith, Gr, but not with Smith, Gregory. DJS youth names are given in full and checked for any abbreviation as Gr or Greg for example.
- Youth who recidivate in both systems are counted only once by the first offense or arrest date during the follow-up period.

Overall Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases

6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2015-2017 RELEASES

Follow-up Period	FY2015 (N=1,142)			FY2016 (N=980)			FY2017 (N=870)		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration
6 Months	30.0%	10.5%	7.9%	33.1%	12.7%	8.9%	32.3%		
12 Months	44.0%	18.7%	14.2%	46.5%	20.0%	15.1%	46.7%		
24 Months	60.6%	29.9%	23.3%	59.8%	28.8%	23.3%			
36 Months	67.3%	35.1%	28.2%						

- Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, the 12-month rearrest rate increased 2.6 percentage points. Between FY 2015 and FY 2016, the 12-month reconviction rate increased 1.3 percentage points and the 12-month reincarceration rate increased 0.9 percentage points.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016 RELEASES

Demographics	FY2016 Releases						
	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration			
Race/Ethnicity							
Black	686	337	49.1%	151	22.0%	112	16.3%
White	221	87	39.4%	34	15.4%	27	12.2%
Hispanic/Other	73	32	43.8%	11	15.1%	9	12.3%
Sex							
Male	805	400	49.7%	185	23.0%	139	17.3%
Female	175	56	32.0%	11	6.3%	9	5.1%
Age at Release							
11 and Under	1	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	N/A
12	4	3	75.0%	0	N/A	0	N/A
13	26	15	57.7%	6	23.1%	5	19.2%
14	55	33	60.0%	14	25.5%	10	18.2%
15	99	52	52.5%	19	19.2%	11	11.1%
16	203	119	58.6%	58	28.6%	41	20.2%
17	289	139	48.1%	56	19.4%	42	14.5%
18 or older	303	94	31.0%	42	13.9%	39	12.9%
Total	980	456	46.5%	196	20.0%	148	15.1%

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
- Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures. Black youth had the highest rates for all recidivism measures.
- Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

Note to Readers:

Throughout this recidivism section, analysis of trends information appearing in bullets is based on the actual data and not the rounded figures presented in the graphs/tables.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR RELEASES¹ BY PROGRAM TYPE SUMMARY, FY 2015 - 2017

Total for Each Program Type	FY2015				FY2016				FY2017			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Foster Care	67	29.9%	11.9%	9.0%	59	33.9%	16.9%	10.2%	38	34.2%		
Group Home	269	41.6%	17.5%	13.4%	208	40.9%	15.4%	10.6%	200	42.5%		
ICFA	79	39.2%	17.7%	15.2%	57	26.3%	10.5%	7.0%	34	47.1%		
Independent Living	16	31.3%	18.8%	12.5%	21	19.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12	33.3%		
RTC	184	45.1%	20.7%	14.7%	150	51.3%	14.7%	11.3%	154	45.5%		
Out-of-State	90	48.9%	22.2%	15.6%	75	53.3%	28.0%	21.3%	49	49.0%		
State-Operated	437	47.6%	19.2%	14.9%	410	52.4%	25.6%	20.2%	383	50.7%		

¹ Totals presented in the table above include each type of facility reported in that broad category. For example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as In-Home Foster Care. State-Operated includes Silver Oak Academy (a privately operated facility in Maryland).

- Analyses of trends are presented on the following pages for each specific program type.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2015-2017 RELEASES, BY REGION AND COUNTY

Region/ County	FY2015				FY2016				FY2017			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
R-I-Balt. City	213	62.9%	31.0%	22.5%	172	59.9%	25.0%	21.5%	169	58.0%		
Baltimore City	213	62.9%	31.0%	22.5%	172	59.9%	25.0%	21.5%	169	58.0%		
R-II-Central	161	49.7%	25.5%	20.5%	126	54.0%	31.0%	24.6%	124	49.2%		
Baltimore Co.	75	46.7%	25.3%	21.3%	65	53.8%	38.5%	32.3%	55	56.4%		
Carroll	26	57.7%	26.9%	23.1%	22	63.6%	18.2%	13.6%	19	26.3%		
Harford	32	46.9%	21.9%	15.6%	23	47.8%	17.4%	4.3%	26	46.2%		
Howard	28	53.6%	28.6%	21.4%	16	50.0%	37.5%	37.5%	24	54.2%		
R-III-Western	106	35.8%	15.1%	11.3%	90	43.3%	21.1%	15.6%	94	51.1%		
Allegany	19	31.6%	10.5%	5.3%	16	50.0%	31.3%	31.3%	21	42.9%		
Frederick	42	31.0%	16.7%	14.3%	31	38.7%	22.6%	19.4%	33	54.5%		
Garrett	6	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	4	0.0%		
Washington	39	46.2%	17.9%	12.8%	39	43.6%	15.4%	7.7%	36	58.3%		
R-IV-Eastern	107	43.0%	13.1%	11.2%	123	52.0%	16.3%	13.8%	92	53.3%		
Caroline	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5	40.0%		
Cecil	12	25.0%	8.3%	8.3%	13	38.5%	7.7%	7.7%	14	78.6%		
Dorchester	7	42.9%	28.6%	0.0%	17	52.9%	23.5%	23.5%	9	33.3%		
Kent	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	1	0.0%		
Queen Anne's	3	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5	40.0%		
Somerset	13	53.8%	7.7%	7.7%	5	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4	75.0%		
Talbot	5	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	3	100.0%		
Wicomico	53	49.1%	15.1%	15.1%	60	60.0%	15.0%	13.3%	46	47.8%		
Worcester	8	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	10	70.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5	60.0%		
R-V-Southern	223	39.9%	15.2%	10.3%	178	39.3%	14.6%	9.0%	171	34.5%		
Anne Arundel	112	43.8%	15.2%	11.6%	98	40.8%	14.3%	9.2%	84	36.9%		
Calvert	23	30.4%	17.4%	8.7%	8	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	13	53.8%		
Charles	50	36.0%	14.0%	12.0%	45	42.2%	20.0%	11.1%	39	30.8%		
St. Mary's	38	39.5%	15.8%	5.3%	27	33.3%	7.4%	3.7%	35	25.7%		
R-VI-Metro	306	35.9%	13.7%	10.8%	274	39.1%	17.2%	11.3%	204	41.7%		
Montgomery	71	52.1%	26.8%	18.3%	87	47.1%	21.8%	12.6%	86	52.3%		
Prince George's	235	31.1%	9.8%	8.5%	187	35.3%	15.0%	10.7%	118	33.9%		
Out-of-State	26	23.1%	3.8%	3.8%	17	29.4%	11.8%	11.8%	16	37.5%		
Statewide	1,142	44.0%	18.7%	14.2%	980	46.5%	20.0%	15.1%	870	46.7%		

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore, if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.


COMMITTED PROGRAM RELEASES BY RISK LEVEL*, FY 2012-2016 RELEASES



* Percentages for each FY may not add to 100% due to cases with missing or incomplete risk assessments.

- The percentage of high risk cases has increased 2.3 percentage points between FY 2012 and FY 2016. The highest percentage of youth fall into the high-risk category in each fiscal year. This is especially important when considered in conjunction with the data presented in the graph below.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL*, FY 2016 RELEASES



* There were 9 youth who did not have MCASP risk levels. Percentages are calculated excluding these youth.

- Youth with a high risk level had higher recidivism rates for all three measures.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES, FY 2012-2016 RELEASES



- For all three measures, recidivism rates were lowest in FY 2015.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR FOSTER CARE RELEASES, FY 2015 - FY 2017*

Treatment Foster Care	FY2015				FY2016				FY2017			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	1	0	0	0	4	2	1	1	1	0		
Board of Child Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Children's Choice - Baltimore	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0		
Children's Choice - Salisbury	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1		
Children's Home	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0		
Greenleaf	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Hearts & Homes for Youth - Family	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		
Mentor MD-Balt. Teens in Trans	20	8	5	3	11	7	4	3	10	4		
Mentor MD-Lanham	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	1	0		
Mentor MD-Salisbury Teens	22	4	2	2	17	3	1	1	10	5		
Multi-Dimensional	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A		
PSI Services III	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	N/A		
Pressley Ridge	7	2	0	0	10	5	4	1	5	1		
San Mar	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0	0	0	1	1		
Woodbourne Center	6	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	0		
Treatment Foster Care	61	27.9%	11.5%	8.2%	56	35.7%	17.9%	10.7%	36	33.3%		
In-Home Foster Care												
In-Home Foster	6	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2	50.0%		
Total Foster Care	67	29.9%	11.9%	9.0%	59	33.9%	16.9%	10.2%	38	34.2%		

- For total Foster Care releases (including Treatment and In-Home Foster Care):
 - Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, the rearrest rate increased 4.4 percentage points.
 - Between FY 2015 and FY 2016:
 - The reconviction rate increased 5.0 percentage points.
 - The reincarceration rate increased 1.2 percentage points.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES, FY 2015 - FY 2017*

Group Home	FY2015				FY2016				FY2017			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
ARC of Washington	8	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	8	3		
Board of Child Care	4	2	1	1	3	1	1	0	1	0		
Catocin Summit Adol. Prog	22	6	3	3	14	2	1	1	12	4		
Cedar Ridge	10	7	4	2	12	8	2	2	14	6		
Children's Home (GH & Tran)	10	3	2	2	8	3	1	1	8	5		
Greentree Adolescent	19	10	4	4	3	1	0	0	0	N/A		
Hearts and Homes	30	9	4	3	25	12	5	2	19	5		
Karma Academy for Boys	4	2	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Kent Youth Boys	4	3	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
MAGIC	3	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	4	2		
MD Sheriff's Youth	7	5	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Morning Star Youth Academy	13	7	2	2	15	8	5	4	34	17		
Oak Hill House	14	5	3	2	11	7	2	1	15	7		
One Love	3	1	0	0	9	2	1	1	4	1		
Our House	16	8	3	2	16	4	2	0	16	8		
Salem Trust	18	6	2	2	19	7	2	2	12	5		
San Mar-Jone Bowman	8	1	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	N/A		
Shining Tree	9	3	3	2	8	4	2	2	11	5		
St. Ann's Infant & Maternity Pr.	5	2	0	0	5	2	2	1	3	1		
Group Home Total	207	40.6%	16.9%	13.0%	156	41.7%	16.7%	10.9%	161	42.9%		

- The Group Home Releases table is continued on the next page and trend analyses are presented there.

Note: Throughout this section, programs that had no releases within a fiscal year will have N/A reported for all recidivism measures. For FY 2017, reconviction and reincarceration rates are not available due to court dispositions requiring an additional year to account for lengthy court processing time.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES, FY 2015 - FY 2017* (CONTINUED)

Therapeutic Group Home (TGH)	FY2015				FY2016				FY2017			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Avis Birely**	11	6	4	3	8	4	2	2	12	6		
Board of Child Care	14	5	2	2	13	5	0	0	7	1		
Cedar Ridge	14	8	4	3	9	5	2	2	14	5		
Mary's Mount Manor**	13	3	0	0	17	5	2	1	6	4		
San Mar-Allegany Girls	8	5	2	1	5	1	0	0	0	N/A		
San Mar Jack E.	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Therapeutic Group Home Total	62	45.2%	19.4%	14.5%	52	38.5%	11.5%	9.6%	39	41.0%		
Total Group Home and TGH	269	41.6%	17.5%	13.4%	208	40.9%	15.4%	10.6%	200	42.5%		

**Operated by Hearts and Homes; Avis Birely was formerly Redl House

For total Group Home (including Therapeutic Group Home) releases:

- Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, the rearrest rate increased 0.9 percentage points.
- Between FY 2015 and FY 2016:
 - The reconviction rate decreased 2.1 percentage points.
 - The reincarceration rate decreased 2.8 percentage points.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INTERMEDIATE CARE FOR ADDICTIONS FACILITY (ICFA) RELEASES, FY 2015 - FY 2017*

ICFA	FY2015				FY2016				FY2017			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Lois E. Jackson Unit	57	24	9	7	48	13	4	3	29	15		
MTC - Mountain Manor	22	7	5	5	9	2	2	1	5	1		
Total ICFA	79	39.2%	17.7%	15.2%	57	26.3%	10.5%	7.0%	34	47.1%		

For total ICFA releases:

- Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, the rearrest rate increased 7.8 percentage points.
- Between FY 2015 and FY 2016:
 - The reconviction rates decreased 7.2 percentage points.
 - The reincarceration rates decreased 8.2 percentage points.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING RELEASES, FY 2015 - FY 2017*

Independent Living	FY2015				FY2016				FY2017			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Damamli	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	0		
Future Bound	4	2	1	1	5	1	0	0	2	1		
Jumoke	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0		
Mentor MD - Baltimore	2	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	2	1		
Mentor MD - Salisbury	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0		
New Pathways-Independence Plus	3	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	3	2		
San Mar - Anderson	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Transition Age Youth Program	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Independent Living Total	14	21.4%	14.3%	14.3%	19	21.1%	0.0%	0.0%	12	33.3%		
Alternative Living Units												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	2	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Alternative Living Units	2	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	N/A		
Total Independent Living & Alternative Living Units	16	31.3%	18.8%	12.5%	21	19.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12	33.3%		

For total Independent Living releases including Alternative Living Units:

- Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, the rearrest rate increased 2.1 percentage points
- Between FY 2015 and FY 2016:
 - The reconviction rate decreased from 18.8% to 0.0%.
 - The reincarceration rate decreased from 12.5% to 0.0%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR RTC RELEASES, FY 2015 - FY 2017*

Residential Treatment Centers (RTC)	FY2015				FY2016				FY2017			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Behav. Hlth-East. Shore	9	4	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Good Shep. Ctr Females	11	5	0	0	20	5	0	0	8	3		
Good Shep. Ctr Males	5	0	0	0	4	3	3	3	3	3		
Jefferson School	6	3	2	2	7	3	2	2	7	3		
New Directions Chesapeake	12	6	2	1	5	1	0	0	17	8		
Potomac Ridge	16	9	3	1	8	5	1	0	3	0		
RICA Baltimore	7	3	1	1	3	1	0	0	0	N/A		
RICA Rockville	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	9	4	4	3	21	16	3	2	28	12		
Right Moves Ches. Trt. Ctr-Hickey	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	3		
Villa Maria	1	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	1	0		
Woodbourne	32	16	10	8	18	8	4	4	27	13		
Residential Treat. Total	109	46.8%	21.1%	15.6%	89	49.4%	15.7%	12.4%	97	46.4%		
Psychiatric Hospitals												
Behavioral Health Hospital Rockville	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		
Brook Lane Hosp.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	1	1	1	0		
East. Shore Acute Unit	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A		
Sheppard Pratt Ellicott City and Towson	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	1		
Spring Grove Hosp. Ctr	32	12	5	2	26	12	4	4	30	12		
Springfield Adult Hospital Ctr.	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0		
Thomas Finan Ctr.	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A		
Psychiatric Hosp. Total	40	32.5%	12.5%	5.0%	32	50.0%	15.6%	15.6%	39	33.3%		
Diagnostic Units/CEU												
Arrow Child & Family Ministries	23	11	7	5	23	13	2	0	13	9		
Children's Home	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	2	1		
RICA Rockville	3	1	0	0	5	3	1	1	3	2		
Woodbourne	9	7	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Diag. Units/CEU Total	35	54.3%	28.6%	22.9%	29	58.6%	10.3%	3.4%	18	66.7%		
Total RTC	184	45.1%	20.7%	14.7%	150	51.3%	14.7%	11.3%	154	45.5%		

For total RTC releases including Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Units/CEU:

- Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, the rearrest rate remained relatively stable (increased 0.3 percentage points).
- Between FY 2015 and FY 2016, the reconviction rate decreased 6.0 percentage points and the reincarceration rate decreased 3.3 percentage points.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2015 - FY 2017*

Residential Treatment Facility OOS	FY2015				FY2016				FY2017			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Boys' Town	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	N/A		
Cottonwood Treat. Ctr.	4	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Devereux (Florida)	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Devereux (Georgia)	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1		
New Hope Carolinas	4	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Three Rivers - Midland Campus	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
UHS of DE-Laurel	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	N/A		
UHS of DE-Sandy Pines	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1		
Residential Treat. Facility Total	12	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	4	75.0%	50.0%	25.0%	3	66.7%		
Staff Secure OOS												
Canyon State Academy	1	1	1	1	7	7	6	5	3	1		
Clarinda Academy	4	2	1	1	3	0	0	0	3	1		
Cornell Abraxas	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A		
Glen Mills School (PA)	15	6	3	2	16	10	7	5	10	6		
Kid Link - Coastal Harbor Treat Ctr	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0		
KidLink Network- Found. for Living	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1		
Natchez Trace Yth Acad	14	11	4	2	4	2	1	1	6	1		
New Outlook Acad.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0		
Summit Academy	7	3	1	1	3	1	0	0	3	2		
Woodward Academy	4	4	3	1	6	3	1	1	2	1		
Staff Sec. OOS Total	49	55.1%	26.5%	16.3%	41	58.5%	36.6%	29.3%	31	41.9%		

- The Out-of-State Facility Releases table is continued on the next page and trend analyses are presented there.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2015 - FY 2017* (CONTINUED)

Hardware Secure - OOS	FY2015				FY2016				FY2017			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Acadia Health Care	1	1	1	1	6	3	0	0	0	N/A		
Capstone Academy												
CCS Turning Point	1	0	0	0	9	5	2	1	0	N/A		
Cornell Abraxas Acad.	12	3	1	0	7	2	0	0	3	1		
Mid-Atlantic Luzerne	6	4	2	2	6	2	1	1	9	7		
Mid Atlantic West. PA	4	2	0	0	2	1	1	1	3	1		
NHS Yth Services, Inc												
Northwestern Academy	5	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Hardware Secure OOS Total	29	37.9%	17.2%	13.8%	30	43.3%	13.3%	10.0%	15	60.0%		
Out-of-State Total	90	48.9%	22.2%	15.6%	75	53.3%	28.0%	21.3%	49	49.0%		

For Out-of-State releases including Residential Treatment Facilities, Staff Secure, and Hardware Secure:

- Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, the rearrest rate remained relatively stable (increased 0.1 percentage points).
- Between FY 2015 and FY 2016, the reconviction rate increased 5.8 percentage points and the reincarceration rate also increased 5.8 percentage points.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR STATE-OPERATED AND PRIVATELY OPERATED FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2015 - FY 2017*

State-Operated Facilities	FY2015				FY2016				FY2017			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Backbone Mtn Yth Ctr.	62	23	9	6	63	32	16	11	67	33		
Green Ridge Yth Ctr.	46	24	11	8	26	16	8	3	52	22		
Green Ridge-Mtn Quest	29	11	4	2	26	17	6	5	22	13		
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr.	16	8	2	0	17	9	4	4	17	4		
Meadow Mtn Yth Ctr.	53	21	8	7	57	28	13	10	59	30		
Savage Mtn Yth Center	56	30	13	12	47	28	14	11	53	36		
Victor Cullen Center	79	45	23	19	77	37	24	23	54	31		
William Donald Schaefer House	29	16	4	3	34	19	6	6	0	N/A		
Total State Operated	370	48.1%	20.0%	15.4%	347	53.6%	26.2%	21.0%	324	52.2%		
Privately Operated Facility												
Silver Oak Academy	67	30	10	8	63	29	14	10	59	25		
Total Privately Operated	67	44.8%	14.9%	11.9%	63	46.0%	22.2%	15.9%	59	42.4%		
Total State and Privately Operated	437	47.6%	19.2%	14.9%	410	52.4%	25.6%	20.2%	383	50.7%		

For State-Operated Facility releases including Silver Oak:

- Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, the rearrest rate increased 3.1 percentage points.
- Between FY 2015 and FY 2016, the reconviction rate increased 6.4 percentage points, and the reincarceration rate increased 5.4 percentage points.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

ONE-, TWO-, AND THREE-YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2015 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest			Reconviction			Reincarceration		
		1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr
Foster Care Total	67	29.9%	47.8%	52.2%	11.9%	26.9%	29.9%	9.0%	19.4%	22.4%
Treatment Foster Care	61	27.9%	44.3%	49.2%	11.5%	24.6%	27.9%	8.2%	16.4%	19.7%
In-Home Foster Care	6	50.0%	83.3%	83.3%	16.7%	50.0%	50.0%	16.7%	50.0%	50.0%
Group Home Total	269	41.6%	58.4%	65.4%	17.5%	26.4%	31.2%	13.4%	20.4%	24.5%
Group Home	207	40.6%	57.5%	64.3%	16.9%	27.1%	31.9%	13.0%	20.8%	25.1%
Therapeutic Group Home	62	45.2%	61.3%	69.4%	19.4%	24.2%	29.0%	14.5%	19.4%	22.6%
Independent Living Total	16	31.3%	31.3%	31.3%	18.8%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	18.8%	18.8%
Independent Living	14	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%
Alternative Living Units	2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
IFCA Total	79	39.2%	53.2%	64.6%	17.7%	30.4%	31.6%	15.2%	25.3%	26.6%
RTC Total	184	45.1%	62.0%	70.1%	20.7%	32.1%	38.0%	14.7%	24.5%	31.0%
Residential Treatment Facility	109	46.8%	67.0%	73.4%	21.1%	32.1%	37.6%	15.6%	22.9%	29.4%
Psychiatric Hospital	40	32.5%	47.5%	55.0%	12.5%	25.0%	30.0%	5.0%	17.5%	22.5%
Diagnostic Units/CEU	35	54.3%	62.9%	77.1%	28.6%	40.0%	48.6%	22.9%	37.1%	45.7%
Out-of-State Total	90	48.9%	56.7%	64.4%	22.2%	33.3%	37.8%	15.6%	26.7%	31.1%
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	12	50.0%	58.3%	66.7%	16.7%	41.7%	41.7%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%
Staff Secure - OOS	49	55.1%	63.3%	71.4%	26.5%	34.7%	38.8%	16.3%	26.5%	28.6%
Hardware Secure - OOS	29	37.9%	44.8%	51.7%	17.2%	27.6%	34.5%	13.8%	27.6%	34.5%
State Operated Total	370	48.1%	67.8%	73.5%	20.0%	31.6%	38.6%	15.4%	24.1%	30.5%
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr.	62	37.1%	64.5%	67.7%	14.5%	29.0%	33.9%	9.7%	22.6%	25.8%
Green Ridge Youth Center	46	52.2%	76.1%	82.6%	23.9%	39.1%	45.7%	17.4%	32.6%	39.1%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	29	37.9%	55.2%	65.5%	13.8%	31.0%	44.8%	6.9%	13.8%	24.1%
J. DeWeese Carter Center	16	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	12.5%	18.8%	18.8%	0.0%	6.3%	6.3%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	53	39.6%	66.0%	67.9%	15.1%	28.3%	34.0%	13.2%	18.9%	24.5%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	56	53.6%	67.9%	71.4%	23.2%	28.6%	33.9%	21.4%	25.0%	26.8%
Victor Cullen Center	79	57.0%	73.4%	84.8%	29.1%	38.0%	48.1%	24.1%	30.4%	43.0%
William Donald Schaefer House	29	55.2%	72.4%	75.9%	13.8%	27.6%	34.5%	10.3%	24.1%	31.0%
Silver Oak (Privately-Operated DJS Youth Only)	67	44.8%	59.7%	64.2%	14.9%	28.4%	31.3%	11.9%	25.4%	28.4%
Statewide Total	1,142	44.0%	60.6%	67.3%	18.7%	29.9%	35.1%	14.2%	23.3%	28.2%

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2015 releases at 1 and 3 years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 44.0% and within 3 years it was 67.3%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 18.7% and within 3 years it was 35.1%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 14.2% and within 3 years it was 28.2%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

ONE- AND TWO-YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2016 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest		Reconviction		Reincarceration	
		1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr
Foster Care Total	59	33.9%	42.4%	16.9%	18.6%	10.2%	11.9%
Treatment Foster Care	56	35.7%	44.6%	17.9%	19.6%	10.7%	12.5%
In-Home Foster Care	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Group Home Total	208	40.9%	52.4%	15.4%	24.0%	10.6%	18.3%
Group Home	156	41.7%	53.2%	16.7%	25.0%	10.9%	18.6%
Therapeutic Group Home	52	38.5%	50.0%	11.5%	21.2%	9.6%	17.3%
Independent Living Total	21	19.0%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Independent Living	19	21.1%	26.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alternative Living Units	2	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
IFCA Total	57	26.3%	43.9%	10.5%	21.1%	7.0%	15.8%
RTC Total	150	51.3%	63.3%	14.7%	23.3%	11.3%	19.3%
Residential Treatment Facility	89	49.4%	60.7%	15.7%	23.6%	12.4%	20.2%
Psychiatric Hospital	32	50.0%	62.5%	15.6%	25.0%	15.6%	21.9%
Diagnostic Units / CEU	29	58.6%	72.4%	10.3%	20.7%	3.4%	13.8%
Out-of-State Total	75	53.3%	61.3%	28.0%	38.7%	21.3%	33.3%
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	4	75.0%	100.0%	50.0%	75.0%	25.0%	50.0%
Staff Secure - OOS	41	58.5%	63.4%	36.6%	43.9%	29.3%	39.0%
Hardware Secure - OOS	30	43.3%	53.3%	13.3%	26.7%	10.0%	23.3%
State Operated Total	347	53.6%	69.7%	26.2%	35.2%	21.0%	28.8%
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr.	63	50.8%	66.7%	25.4%	28.6%	17.5%	20.6%
Green Ridge Youth Center	26	61.5%	73.1%	30.8%	42.3%	11.5%	26.9%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	26	65.4%	73.1%	23.1%	42.3%	19.2%	34.6%
J. DeWeese Carter Youth Center	17	52.9%	52.9%	23.5%	23.5%	23.5%	23.5%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	57	49.1%	66.7%	22.8%	35.1%	17.5%	29.8%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	47	59.6%	78.7%	29.8%	36.2%	23.4%	29.8%
Victor Cullen Center	77	48.1%	70.1%	31.2%	40.3%	29.9%	36.4%
William Donald Schaefer House	34	55.9%	70.6%	17.6%	29.4%	17.6%	23.5%
Silver Oak (Privately-Operated DJS Youth)	63	46.0%	60.3%	22.2%	36.5%	15.9%	31.7%
Statewide Total	980	46.5%	59.8%	20.0%	28.8%	15.1%	23.3%

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2016 releases at 1 and 2 years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 46.5% and within 2 years it was 59.8%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 20.0% and within 2 years it was 28.8%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 15.1% and within 2 years it was 23.3%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

Overall Recidivism Rates for Youth with First-Time Probation Dispositions

6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2015-2017 FIRST-TIME PROBATION YOUTH⁺

Follow-up Period	FY2015 (N=2,011)			FY2016 (N=1,833)			FY2017 (N=1,610)		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*
6 Months	27.2%	11.1%	3.6%	28.1%	11.1%	4.4%	30.8%		
12 Months	40.1%	18.2%	7.2%	41.1%	17.4%	7.7%	42.5%		
24 Months	52.6%	26.7%	11.7%	53.4%	24.3%	11.3%			
36 Months	58.8%	30.7%	15.0%						

- Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, the 12-month rearrest rate increased 2.4 percentage points.
- Between FY 2015 and FY 2016:
 - The 12-month reconviction rate remained relatively stable (decreased 0.8 percentage points).
 - The 12-month incarceration rate remained relatively stable (increased 0.5 percentage points).

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS FIRST-TIME PROBATION YOUTH⁺, FY 2016

Demographics	FY2016						
	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*			
Race/Ethnicity							
Black	1,224	574	46.9%	253	20.7%	113	9.2%
White	462	131	28.4%	47	10.2%	17	3.7%
Hispanic/Other	147	48	32.7%	19	12.9%	11	7.5%
Sex							
Male	1,472	641	43.5%	284	19.3%	132	9.0%
Female	361	112	31.0%	35	9.7%	9	2.5%
Age at Disposition							
11 and Under	21	8	38.1%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%
12	66	26	39.4%	11	16.7%	5	7.6%
13	129	58	45.0%	25	19.4%	14	10.9%
14	225	107	47.6%	53	23.6%	23	10.2%
15	379	187	49.3%	87	23.0%	37	9.8%
16	463	201	43.4%	81	17.5%	33	7.1%
17	410	135	32.9%	50	12.2%	22	5.4%
18 or older	140	31	22.1%	8	5.7%	7	5.0%
Total	1,833	753	41.1%	319	17.4%	141	7.7%

Note: The probation cohort includes youth placed on probation (not youth released from probation). Therefore, recidivism is measured starting at the disposition date.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
 - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
 - Black youth had the highest rates for all measures.
 - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

⁺Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015. *Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FIRST-TIME PROBATION YOUTH, BY REGION AND COUNTY⁺, FY 2015-2017

Region/ County	FY2015				FY2016				FY2017			
	Place- ments	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration*	Place- ments	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration*	Place- ments	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration*
R-I-Balt. City	474	44.5%	20.3%	8.6%	364	48.1%	26.9%	14.3%	332	52.4%		
Baltimore City	474	44.5%	20.3%	8.6%	364	48.1%	26.9%	14.3%	332	52.4%		
R-II-Central	512	41.8%	19.7%	5.9%	478	42.9%	19.5%	5.6%	444	41.0%		
Baltimore Co.	330	43.3%	19.7%	5.2%	283	41.3%	19.8%	4.9%	275	43.6%		
Carroll	42	23.8%	14.3%	4.8%	27	33.3%	22.2%	14.8%	23	17.4%		
Harford	69	34.8%	15.9%	10.1%	91	40.7%	17.6%	6.6%	89	37.1%		
Howard	71	52.1%	26.8%	5.6%	77	54.5%	19.5%	3.9%	57	43.9%		
R-III-Western	203	49.8%	22.2%	5.4%	140	37.9%	15.0%	7.9%	109	49.5%		
Allegany	39	51.3%	17.9%	5.1%	41	34.1%	12.2%	7.3%	27	44.4%		
Frederick	65	41.5%	21.5%	7.7%	52	23.1%	13.5%	5.8%	47	48.9%		
Garrett	12	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7	42.9%		
Washington	87	58.6%	27.6%	4.6%	41	58.5%	22.0%	12.2%	28	57.1%		
R-IV-Eastern	195	37.9%	15.9%	5.6%	212	42.9%	11.8%	5.7%	176	36.9%		
Caroline	18	38.9%	5.6%	0.0%	10	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14	35.7%		
Cecil	43	25.6%	16.3%	0.0%	48	35.4%	8.3%	2.1%	39	43.6%		
Dorchester	25	40.0%	16.0%	8.0%	30	56.7%	16.7%	3.3%	26	61.5%		
Kent	4	100.0%	75.0%	25.0%	8	37.5%	25.0%	0.0%	5	20.0%		
Queen Anne's	9	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%	10	30.0%	20.0%	20.0%	11	27.3%		
Somerset	9	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	11	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7	71.4%		
Talbot	7	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	8	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4	25.0%		
Wicomico	40	50.0%	15.0%	12.5%	57	59.6%	19.3%	14.0%	49	30.6%		
Worcester	40	30.0%	15.0%	2.5%	30	23.3%	3.3%	0.0%	21	9.5%		
R-V-Southern	334	36.2%	18.0%	9.6%	322	35.1%	13.4%	4.3%	247	38.9%		
Anne Arundel	151	37.7%	19.2%	10.6%	144	44.4%	18.8%	6.9%	107	40.2%		
Calvert	36	33.3%	22.2%	19.4%	38	13.2%	5.3%	0.0%	26	38.5%		
Charles	85	32.9%	16.5%	4.7%	74	29.7%	12.2%	4.1%	58	44.8%		
St. Mary's	62	38.7%	14.5%	8.1%	66	33.3%	7.6%	1.5%	56	30.4%		
R-VI-Metro	293	29.4%	11.6%	6.5%	317	36.6%	12.3%	7.9%	302	37.4%		
Montgomery	110	34.5%	18.2%	7.3%	126	50.0%	23.8%	15.1%	140	44.3%		
Prince George's	183	26.2%	7.7%	6.0%	191	27.7%	4.7%	3.1%	162	31.5%		
Statewide	2,011	40.1%	18.2%	7.2%	1,833	41.1%	17.4%	7.7%	1,610	42.5%		

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of placements. Some counties have a small number of placements; therefore if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

⁺Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015. *Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.


FIRST-TIME PROBATION YOUTH BY RISK LEVEL *, FY 2012-2016



* Percentages for each FY may not add to 100% due to cases with missing or incomplete risk assessments.

- The proportion of high risk new probation cases has increased each fiscal year since FY 2013. This is especially important when considered in conjunction with the data presented in the graph below.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL¹FIRST-TIME PROBATION YOUTH⁺, FY 2016



¹ There were 47 youth who did not have MCASP risk levels. Percentages are calculated excluding these youth.

- Youth with a high risk level and a moderate risk level had similar rearrest rates though consideration needs to be given to the smaller number of youth with a high risk. At reconviction and incarceration, youth with high risk levels had the highest recidivism rates.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FIRST-TIME PROBATION YOUTH⁺, FY 2012-2016



- Rearrest rates and reconviction rates were lowest in FY 2012 and incarceration rates were lowest in FY 2015.

⁺Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015. *Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.

Appendices



As part of the Baltimore Evening Reporting Center program, youth who were compliant with reporting requirements were rewarded with a trip to the local YMCA to experience an indoor rock climbing adventure.

Appendix A

COMMUNITY SERVICES STAFFING DISTRIBUTION AS OF JUNE 30, 2018

	Facilities (By Region)	CMS ⁺		Mgmt ⁺	Admn	RA/CD		Res. Spec.		Services ⁺	Secur ⁺	Othr ⁺	Total
		Spec	Sup			Offr	Sup	Spec	Sup				
R-I-Baltimore City	CD - BCJJC	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	CD - MYRC	0	0	1	1	34	6	0	0	0	0	0	42
	Evening Reporting Center Office	1	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
	Gay Street Office ¹	36	10	2	11	1	0	2	1	0	0	4	67
	Hilton Street Office	11	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	20
	Plaza Office	9	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	16
R-II-Central	Arbutus Office	6	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Bel Air Office	7	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Ellicott City Office	10	1	0	2	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	24
	Essex Office	13	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	Garrison Office	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Hunt Valley Office	7	4	1	5	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	22
R-III-Western	Westminster Office	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Allegany Co Office	4	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	10
	Frederick Office	6	2	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	13
	Hagerstown Office	7	2	0	2	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	16
	Oakland Office	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Cambridge Office	4	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
R-IV-Eastern	CD - LESCC	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Centreville Office	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Chestertown Office	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Denton Office	2	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
	Easton Office	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7
	Elkton Office	5	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	9
R-V-Southern	Ocean City Office*	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	10
	Princess Anne Office	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	Salisbury Office	11	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	Snow Hill Office	3	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Annapolis Office	14	4	2	5	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	29
	Glen Burnie Office	7	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
R-VI-Metro	LaPlata Office	10	3	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	17
	Leonardtown Office	7	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Prince Frederick Office	4	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Largo Office	20	7	0	7	5	0	1	1	0	0	2	43
	Rockville Office	23	4	1	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	35
	Silver Spring Office	5	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
	Upper Marlboro Office	10	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	Total Filled	262	74	16	69	76	11	12	5	6	4	12	547
	Total Vacancies	14	2	2	8	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	31

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

*Ocean City Office is a seasonal office, staffed by contractual employees; ¹Includes four staff from Green Corps in the Othr category

+ "CMS" means Case Management Specialist; "Mgmt" includes management and Assistant Area Directors; "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Secur" includes Police Officer Trainee or Building Security Officer classifications; "Othr" (Other) includes transportation, recreation, and program specialists.

Appendix B

DETENTION CENTER STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2018

Facility (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services ⁺	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Othr ⁺	Total
	Advis	Sup										
R-I-Baltimore City												
BCJJC**	102	9	7	10	4	4	17	18	10	4	2	187
R-II-Central												
Hickey School**	97	5	6	6	4	4	8	11	6	3	2	152
R-III-Western												
WMCC	29	4	2	1	2	1	2	4	6	1	1	53
R-IV-Eastern												
LESCC	30	4	2	2	2	0	3	5	4	1	1	54
R-V-Southern												
Waxter Center	45	6	4	4	5	2	2	6	3	2	1	80
R-VI-Metro												
Cheltenham**	82	7	6	10	6	2	5	8	7	3	1	137
Noyes Children's Ctr.	51	6	3	3	4	0	1	0	3	2	1	74
Total Filled	436	41	30	36	27	13	38	52	39	16	9	737
Vacancies Available	4	2	8	4	4	5	4	7	7	0	1	46

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

* "GLM" represents Group Life Manager; "CMS" represents Case Management Specialist; "Facil" represents Facility Maintenance at all facilities and at BCJJC it represents Facility Maintenance and Building Security Officers.

⁺ "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Othr" includes transportation and recreation.

**Direct clinical services are provided by contracted vendors. For the MAST initiative, DJS added full-time, non-contractual psychologist and social work positions.

Appendix C

COMMITTED PROGRAM STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2018

Facility (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services ⁺	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Othr ⁺	Total
	Advis	Sup										
R-III (Western)												
Backbone Mt. Yth Ctr	38	3	3	4	1	1	2	4	0	2	1	59
Green Ridge Yth Ctr	32	2	4	4	3	1	2	6	0	2	0	56
Meadow Mt. Yth Ctr	28	3	2	4	4	1	2	5	0	2	2	53
Savage Mt. Yth Ctr ¹	25	2	4	4	3	1	2	4	0	1	1	47
Victor Cullen	44	5	4	5	4	1	6	7	8	3	1	88
Yth Ctrs Central Office	0	0	0	1	0	2	4	0	0	1	0	8
R-IV (Eastern)												
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr	12	2	2	1	0	1	1	0	3	2	1	25
Total Filled	179	17	19	23	15	8	19	26	11	13	6	336
Vacancies Available	16	3	3	3	9	2	0	0	0	1	2	39

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

* "GLM" represents Group Life Manager; "CMS" represents Case Management Specialist; "Facil" represents Facility Maintenance.

⁺ "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Othr" includes transportation and recreation.

¹ Savage Mountain Youth Center has staff in these positions; however, due to the facility closure, staff were temporarily assigned to other facilities.

Appendix D

STATE-OPERATED FACILITY EXPENDITURES, FY 2018

	General Admin	Mainten.	Education Services*	Somatic Health	Direct Care	Dietary Services	Mental Health Services	Juvenile Incent.	Grand Total
Baltimore City	\$3,445,336	\$1,575,368	\$573,976	\$2,010,516	\$12,098,000	\$1,876,265	\$2,224,267	\$0	\$23,803,728
Carter	\$431,759	\$150,787	\$28,294	\$480,987	\$1,673,282	\$154,258	\$229,981	\$3,267	\$3,152,615
Cheltenham	\$2,179,486	\$765,276	\$234,778	\$1,863,446	\$9,823,371	\$825,847	\$2,141,764	\$7,209	\$17,841,177
Green Ridge ¹	\$443,916	\$210,087	\$0	\$10,600	\$3,492,813	\$432,552	\$252,469	\$249	\$4,842,686
Hickey	\$1,211,330	\$1,670,510	\$348,319	\$1,362,323	\$10,043,580	\$1,147,681	\$1,055,040	\$3,396	\$16,842,179
Lower East Shore ¹	\$366,267	\$408,075	\$105,535	\$691,747	\$3,523,500	\$458,302	\$407,796	\$529	\$5,961,751
Noyes	\$867,334	\$255,920	\$184,443	\$1,080,445	\$5,652,137	\$562,961	\$468,584	\$5,508	\$9,077,332
Statewide Youth Centers**	\$1,089,654	\$3,724,508	\$542,719	\$1,356,758	\$11,315,408	\$1,161,086	\$1,100,186	\$1,427	\$20,291,746
Victor Cullen	\$1,173,973	\$1,407,997	\$102,105	\$888,692	\$4,692,463	\$632,383	\$610,568	\$0	\$9,508,181
Waxter	\$1,500,115	\$214,158	\$106,642	\$1,060,839	\$4,645,508	\$523,566	\$766,414	\$2,908	\$8,820,150
Western Maryland	\$530,891	\$263,348	\$95,678	\$832,358	\$3,143,263	\$449,986	\$247,412	\$0	\$5,562,936
Grand Total¹	\$13,240,061	\$10,646,034	\$2,322,489	\$11,638,711	\$70,103,325	\$8,224,887	\$9,504,481	\$24,493	\$125,704,481

*Education costs reflect the amounts collected from Local Education Agencies. The funds are transferred to MSDE, which is responsible for operation of the education programs in DJS facilities.

**Only include statewide youth centers (Backbone Mountain, Meadow Mountain, and Savage Mountain which was closed from Sept. 2017 through the end of the FY.) ¹Lower Eastern Shore Direct Care, Green Ridge Mental Health Services, & Total Expenditures were misreported in the FY 2017 DRG. The correct figures have been updated in the DRG files on the website.

Appendix E

STATE-OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY18

	Per Diem Cost	Avg. Annual Cost
Baltimore City	\$575	\$210,048
Carter	\$1,057	\$385,719
Cheltenham	\$995	\$363,019
Green Ridge ¹	\$458	\$166,989
Hickey	\$753	\$274,898
L. Eastern Shore ¹	\$872	\$318,273
Noyes	\$736	\$268,667
Statewide Youth Centers ^{1*}	\$958	\$359,146
Victor Cullen	\$1,048	\$382,361
Waxter	\$1,137	\$414,929
Western Maryland	\$734	\$268,003

¹Per Diem Cost for LESCC & Green Ridge and Avg. Annual Cost for LESCC & Statewide Youth Centers were misreported in the FY17 DRG. Correct figures have been updated online.

*Only includes Backbone Mountain, Meadow Mountain, and Savage Mountain (closed Sept. 2017 through the end of the FY.)

COMMITTED PROGRAM PER DIEM RATES, FY 2018

	Program Type	FY18 Per Diem Range*, ***	Avg. FY18 Per Diem Rate**
In-State	Treatment Foster Care	\$116.36 - \$252.40	\$150.22
	Group Home	\$184.94 - \$279.95	\$216.54
	Therapeutic Group Home	\$261.15 - \$275.51	\$269.30
	Independent Living	\$100.97 - \$201.32	\$137.81
	Alternative Living	\$257.67	\$257.67
	Residential Treatment Center	\$398.26 - \$493.71	\$450.54
	Diagnostic Unit/CEU	\$308.55 - \$317.22	\$312.89
Out-of-State	Residential Treatment Center	\$311.49 - \$398.00	\$354.87
	Staff Secure	\$151.00 - \$369.34	\$210.70
	Hardware Secure	\$348.71 - \$369.61	\$359.88

*"Per Diem Ranges" are presented for privately operated programs that DJS reimburses for service using a single rate. Rates are not presented for programs that are funded in whole or in part by other sources such as private health care insurance or Medicaid. IFCA and Psychiatric Hospitals are excluded as a class for this reason, and rates for in-state Residential Treatment Centers are based on 5 of 7 programs utilized by DJS during FY 2018.

**The average per diem rate should not be used to calculate the total cost by program type given the range of per diem rates. The average per diem rate does not take into account individual program utilization and the total cost may vary substantially based on the number of youth who actually participate in each program.

***Educational costs are not included in the per diem rates. Educational costs may vary within a program depending on the combination of services provided (e.g., regular education vs. special education) and the educational needs of youth placed in the program.

Appendix F

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 1

Youth Name: _____

ASSIST ID: _____

Date of Birth: _____

Race: _____

Gender: _____

Complaint ID/Complaint Date: _____

Worker Name: _____

County of Jurisdiction: _____

Date DRAI Completed: _____

Date of Decision: _____

Police Requested Detention: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Completed by Centralized Intake: ☐ Yes ☐ No

DETENTION RISK SCORING

1. Charges Pending Adjudication (excluding charges pending at intake)

- ☐ Two or more prior charges pending adjudication for Category I or II Offenses: 8 Points
- ☐ One or more prior charge pending adjudication for a Category III, IV, or V Offense: 4 Points
- ☐ One prior charge pending adjudication for a Category I or II Offense: 2 Points
- ☐ No additional pending charges: 0 Points

2. Prior Sustained Adjudications / Current Supervision

- ☐ One prior sustained adjudication for a Category III or IV Offense OR Probation Supervision: 5 Points
- ☐ One or more prior sustained adjudication for a Category V Offense OR Pre-Court or ATD Supervision: 3 Points
- ☐ One or more prior sustained adjudications for a Category I or II Offense OR Intensive Supervision: 1 Point
- ☐ Two or more prior sustained adjudications for Category III or IV Offenses OR Aftercare Supervision: 1 Point
- ☐ No prior sustained adjudication AND no current supervision: 0 Points

3. History of Failure to Appear for a Court Hearing (within past 12 months)

- ☐ One or more FTA for court hearing in past 12 months : 5 Points
- ☐ No FTA for court hearing in past 12 months: 0 Points

4. History of Escape/AWOL (within past 12 months)

- ☐ One or more instances of escape/AWOL in past 12 months: 4 Points
- ☐ No escapes/AWOLS in past 12 months: 0 Points

5. Prior Detentions (within past 6 months)

- ☐ One or more prior detention in past 6 months: 2 Points
- ☐ No prior detentions in past 6 months: 0 Points

6. Age at First Felony Complaint

- ☐ 16 or under: 4 Points
- ☐ Over 16 or no prior felony complaint: 0 Points

DRAI RISK SCORE:

DRAI RISK LEVEL:

Low: 0-7 points
Moderate: 8-12 points
High: 13+ points

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 2

DRAI RATIONALES	
New Complaint: <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes No	
Most Serious New Alleged Offense: <input style="width: 150px;" type="text"/>	Offense Category: <input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/> (Cat. 1 or 2 = Mandatory Detention)
Mandatory Court and Policy Holds (Check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> ATD Violation (Court Order Requires Detention at First Infraction)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Non-ATD GPS Violation (Court Order Requires Detention at First Infraction)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Writ or Warrant	
<input type="checkbox"/> Detained for Adult Court Pending Transfer Hearing, or Transferred Pending Juvenile Charging	
<input type="checkbox"/> Court-Ordered Detention at Hearing (include Committed Youth Held for Court Hearing)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Interstate Hold	
<input type="checkbox"/> Firearm use / possession (exclude BB guns)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Escape – Secure Facility	
<input type="checkbox"/> Ejected – Committed Placement	
Non-Mandatory Rationales (Check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Transferred From Adult Court	
<input type="checkbox"/> Violation of Probation / Violation of Commitment	
<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-Adjudicated ATD Violation	
<input type="checkbox"/> Post-Disposition ATD Violation	

INDICATED DECISION:

DECISION COMPONENTS:
 (Risk Score, Offense Seriousness, Mandatory Hold)

Appendix F (cont.)

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 3

ACTUAL DECISION:

☐ RELEASE ☐ DETENTION ALTERNATIVE (ATD) ☐ DETAIN

Please check ALL aggravating and mitigating factors below that impact your decision to override.

REASON(s) FOR OVERRIDE UP TO ATD OR SECURE DETENTION	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent refusal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent unavailable
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth has a history of violence in the home or victim resides in the home
<input type="checkbox"/>	ATD refusal (specify name of ATD(s)) _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shelter refusal (specify name of shelter(s)) _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shelter unavailable
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify, required) _____

REASON(s) FOR OVERRIDE DOWN TO ATD OR RELEASE	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Age of youth
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent willing/able to provide supervision
<input type="checkbox"/>	Category I or II offense is less serious than indicated by charge (please specify, required) _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	New charge referred is not recent
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify, required) _____

OVERRIDES:

SUPERVISOR APPROVAL OBTAINED ☐ Yes ☐ No

Intake Worker Signature: _____

Authorizing Supervisor (please print): _____

Supervisor Signature: _____

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 4

FIRST COURT APPEARANCE:

Current Detention Status (Prior to Court Action)

- ☐ Detained
- ☐ Detention Alternative: ☐CD ☐Shelter ☐DRAP ☐ERC ☐Other: _____
- ☐ Released

DJS Recommendation to Court

- ☐ Detained
- ☐ Detention Alternative: ☐CD ☐Shelter ☐DRAP ☐ERC ☐Other: _____
- ☐ Release to: ☐Parent ☐Sibling ☐Grandparent ☐Aunt/Uncle ☐Other: _____

Court Detention Decision

- ☐ Detained
- ☐ Detention Alternative: ☐CD ☐Shelter ☐DRAP ☐ERC ☐Other: _____
- ☐ Release to: ☐Parent ☐Sibling ☐Grandparent ☐Aunt/Uncle ☐Other: _____

Special Circumstances Affecting Detention Decision: _____

Appendix G

DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH IN DETENTION

DJS Housing Classification Assessment for Youth in Detention			
Name of Youth	first	last	MI
			Youth PID: _____ Youth DOB: _____
Date of Admission: _____		Staff Name: _____	
1. Severity of <u>Current</u> Charge (for detained youth), or Adjudication (for pending placement youth): <i>Category I = 4 points Category III = 2 points</i> <i>Category II = 3 points Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point</i>			
			Points: _____
2. Most Serious <u>Past</u> Adjudicated Delinquent Offense: _____			
<i>Category I = 4 points Category II = 3 points Category III = 2 points</i> <i>Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point None = 0 points</i>			
			Points: _____
3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody* (See summary on Face Sheet) <i>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.</i>			
<i>6 or more = 6 points 2 or 3 = 2 points</i> <i>4 or 5 = 4 points 0 or 1 = 0 points</i>			
			Points: _____
4. Current Age of Youth: _____			
<i>Under 14 = 4 Points, 15-17 = 2 Points, 18 and Over = 0 Points.</i>			
			Points: _____
			Total Score: _____
Recommended Classification: <i>Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i>			
Special Housing Issues. Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infirmary.			
Y/N	Issue:	Details	
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____	
_____	Protective Custody	_____	
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____	
Behavioral Health review of Special Issues: _____			
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>			
Double Bunking Concerns. Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions			
Y/N	Issue:		
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.		
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together		
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.		
_____	Other. Details:	_____	
Unit Assignment: _____		Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____ <i>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</i>	
Room Number: _____		Single Room Only? (Y/N) _____	

DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION RE-ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH IN DETENTION

Housing Classification Re-Assessment for Youth in Detention			
Current Supervision Level: _____			
Current Pod/Unit Assignment: _____			
1. Current Score - From Last Classification Assessment		Current Score: _____	
2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment		Points: _____	
<i>1 or more = 6 Points, None = 0 points.</i>			
3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment*		Points: _____	
<small>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.</small>			
<i>5 or more incidents</i>		<i>= 4 Points</i>	
<i>2 - 4 incidents</i>		<i>= 2 Points</i>	
<i>0 - 1 incidents</i>		<i>= - 4 Points</i>	
		Total Score: _____	
Recommended Supervision Level: _____ <small>Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</small>			
Special Housing Issues. Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions			
Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details</u>	
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____	
_____	Protective Custody	_____	
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____	
Behavioral Health review of Special Issues: _____			
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>			
Double Bunking Concerns. Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions			
Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>		
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.		
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together		
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.		
_____	Other. Details: _____		
Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____			
<small>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</small>			
Unit Assignment: _____		Single Room Only? (Y/N) _____	
Room Number: _____			

Appendix H

DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT FOR COMMITTED YOUTH

DJS Housing Classification Assessment For Committed Youth			
Name of Youth	first	last	MI
			Youth PID: _____ Youth DOB: _____
Date of Admission: _____		Staff Name: _____	
1. Severity of <u>Current</u> Committing Offense:			
Category I = 4 points		Category III = 2 points	
Category II = 3 points		Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point	
			Points: _____
2. Most Serious <u>Past</u> Adjudicated Delinquent Offense: _____			
Category I = 4 points		Category III = 2 points	
Category II = 3 points		Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point	
			Points: _____
3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody* (See summary on Face Sheet)			
<small>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.</small>			
6 or more = 6 points		2 or 3 = 2 points	
4 or 5 = 4 points		0 or 1 = 0 points	
			Points: _____
4. Current Age of Youth: _____			
Under 14 = 4 Points, 15-17 = 2 Points, 18 and Over = 0 Points.			
			Points: _____
			Total Score: _____
Recommended Classification: Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above			
Special Housing Issues. Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infirmary.			
Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details</u>	
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____	
_____	Protective Custody	_____	
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____	
Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:			
Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth			
Special Concerns. Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.			
Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>		
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old		
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other		
_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth		
_____	Other. Details:	_____	
Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____			
Unit Assignment: _____		If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve	
Room Number: _____			

DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION RE-ASSESSMENT FOR COMMITTED YOUTH

Housing Classification Re-Assessment For Committed Youth		
Current Supervision Level: _____		
Current Unit Assignment: _____		
1. Current Score - From last Classification Assessment	Current Score: _____	
2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment <i>1 or more = 6 Points, None = 0 points.</i>	Points: _____	
3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment* <i>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.</i>		
<i>5 or more incidents</i>	<i>= 4 Points</i>	Points: _____
<i>2 - 4 incidents</i>	<i>= 2 Points</i>	
<i>0 - 1 incidents</i>	<i>= - 4 Points</i>	
		Total Score: _____
Recommended Supervision Level: _____ <i>Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i>		
Special Housing Issues. <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions</i>		
Y/N	Issue:	Details
	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
	Protective Custody	_____
	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____
Behavioral Health review of Special Issues: _____		
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>		
Special Concerns. <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.</i>		
Y/N	Issue:	
	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old	
	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other	
	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth	
	Other. Details:	_____
Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____		
Unit Assignment: _____	<i>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</i>	
Room Number: _____		

Appendix I

DJS YOUTH VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL, PAGE 1



Department of Juvenile Services
Youth Vulnerability Assessment Instrument: Risk of
Victimization and/or Sexually Aggressive Behavior/Overall Risk

Youth's Name: _____

ASSIST ID#: _____ Sex: ____ Race: ____ DOB: _____

Facility: _____

Date of Assessment: _____

Date of Re-Assessment: _____

Results:

Yes	No	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vulnerable to Victimization
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sexually Aggressive
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Roommate
	Low	Medium
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		High
		<input type="checkbox"/>

Youth Interview: (Must be completed in a private setting)

1. **Experience in Institution** Score
Ask: **Have you been in a locked juvenile facility?**

NO	SCORE 2	
YES	SCORE 0	

2. **Social Skills**
Lead in with: **How do you feel being in a facility with so many other juvenile justice youth?**
Then ask:

- Do you feel you get along well with other people? Yes/No (Yes score 0, No score 1)
- Do you find it easy to make friends? Yes/No (Yes score 0, No score 1)
- Do you feel OK about being in groups of people you don't know well? Yes/No (Yes score 0, No score 1)

Award a score of 1 for each **No** answer.

SCORE (0-3)	
-------------	--

3. **Perception of Risk**
Ask: **Do you feel at risk from attack or abuse from other youth?** For example, have you received threats, insults, and harassment from other youth? Prompt with options if necessary

NOT AT ALL	SCORE 0	
SOMETIMES	SCORE 1	
OFTEN	SCORE 2	

If sometimes or often, ask for more details and note youth's statements below:

4. **History of Victimization**
Ask: **Do you have concerns about your safety or concerns you will be attacked, bullied or abused by your peers?**
Prompt with options if necessary.

NEVER	SCORE 0	
A FEW TIMES	SCORE 2	
OFTEN	SCORE 4	

Ask: **Have you ever had a sexual experience that you did not want to have?** If yes, ask what & if this information was reported to the Abuse Registry and law enforcement. If the youth reports abuse that has never been reported, a report must be made to the Abuse Registry.

NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 4	

DJS YOUTH VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL, PAGE 2

5. Offense Type

Ask: **Have you ever been arrested on a sexual offense?** Also verify by checking the youth's file.

NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 4	

Ask: **Have you ever been arrested on a violent offense?**

NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 4	

6. Ask: Have you ever engaged in behavior that you would consider violent or sexually aggressive?

NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 4	

7. Age of Youth

19 YEARS AND UP TO 21	SCORE 0	
16, 17, 18 YEARS	SCORE 1	
13, 14, 15 YEARS	SCORE 2	
10 – 12 YEARS	SCORE 3	

8. Intellectual Impairment: From the file, review is there any evidence that this youth has been previously reported to have an intellectual impairment (Low IQ), learning disability or Special Education classes?

NO EVIDENCE	SCORE 0	
EVIDENCE	SCORE 2	

9. Review of Features. This item requires a judgment by the screener that this youth's features may place the youth at higher risk of vulnerability with the peer group. (Place a check in applicable box)

Look for features of the youth's physical appearance such as:		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Small Build	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Looks younger than stated age	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Impaired vision (requires glasses)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pronounced disfigurement	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Physical disability	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Deaf or Hard of Hearing	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Limited English Proficiency	
Look for features of the youth's presentation and behaviors such as:		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inappropriate verbal behavior (e.g., giggling, odd remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Any gender non-conforming appearance or manner	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Hunched fearful posture (e.g., very fearful, very shy)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Acts of Aggression – observation	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth's interaction with sibling(s)/youth	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth's behavior in school	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Speech impediment	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Has difficulty understanding questions	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Behaviors that are likely to irritate and annoy other youth (e.g., immature, silly)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Behaviors that appear related to mental illness (e.g., jittery, crying, bizarre)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Do you consider yourself lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex? (Youth should be informed that this question is asked of ALL youth, and that he/she is not compelled to answer.)	
Look for features of the youth which make him or her stand out		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Having a lack of exposure to criminal lifestyle	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Being from an ethnic minority not well represented in the offender population (e.g., Vietnamese, Indian, Middle Eastern)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Membership in a gang that is likely to be a target of attack from others.	
Note other features not listed above:		
NONE OR ONLY ONE FEATURE		SCORE 0
TWO OR THREE FEATURES		SCORE 2
MULTIPLE FEATURES (FOUR OR MORE FEATURES)		SCORE 4
ITEMS 1-9		
TOTAL SCORE		

Appendix I (cont.)

DJS YOUTH VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL, PAGE 3

10. File Review:

Does the file indicate the youth has been charged with a sex offense?

INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE	SCORE 0	
NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 2	

Any information suggests prior sexual aggression or sexual victimization of others?

INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE	SCORE 0	
NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 2	

Overall Risk Score

Vulnerability to Victimization:

- | | |
|--|--------------|
| 1. Experience in institution | Score: _____ |
| 2. Social Skills | Score: _____ |
| 3. Perception of Risk | Score: _____ |
| 4. History of Victimization | Score: _____ |
| 7. Age of Youth | Score: _____ |
| 8. Intellectual Impairment | Score: _____ |
| 9. Review of Features with juvenile justice facility culture | Score: _____ |

Interview: _____

Overall Vulnerability To Victimization Score: _____

Maximum Score - 20

Sexually Aggressive Behavior:

- | | |
|---------------------|--------------|
| 5. Offense Type | Score: _____ |
| 6. Violent Behavior | Score: _____ |
| 10. File Review | Score: _____ |

Overall Sexually Aggressive Score: _____

Maximum Score - 16

DJS YOUTH VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL, PAGE 4

Risk Level:
Low (1-8)
Medium (9-16)
High (17 & above)

Total Risk Score: _____

Risk Level: _____

No Roommate (NR): High overall score and/or high score in either Vulnerability to Victimization and/or Sexually Aggressive Behavior would indicate need to place on NR status.

NR Documentation: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Double Room ☐ NR

In the event that you need movement to a lesser or higher risk unit or room assignment, the Shift Commander will determine movement. Proper documentation must be stated below as to the reason why youth is moved, i.e., behavior, maturity, facility count, etc. In support of an override please attempt to obtain collateral information from file review and/or parent/guardian contact.

Override Documentation: _____

Supervisor: _____ Date/Time of Override: _____

Signature of Screener: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____

Adapted from the "Prison Youth Vulnerability Scale", New Zealand
Department of Corrections © Crown copyright 2003
Florida Department of Juvenile Justice – Form RC 8050-2

Appendix J

RACIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT LENS, PAGE 1

RACIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT LENS			
Date of Assessment: _____ DJS Unit and Division _____			
Type of Proposed Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Policy <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol <input type="checkbox"/> Residential Program <input type="checkbox"/> Community Program			
Target Implementation: <input type="checkbox"/> Residential <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Both			
STAGE 1: SCREENING *The screening process helps the policy maker determine whether it is necessary to carry out a full Racial Impact Assessment.			
1. Describe the proposed action:			
2. Geographic Impact:		<input type="checkbox"/> Statewide <input type="checkbox"/> Regional (Which Region?) _____ (Include justification for a regional strategy)	
3. Screening Considerations:		NO	YES
A. DJS Client Impact: Will the proposed action change or introduce new policy, protocol, or programming that directly or indirectly affect youth and families who have any level of involvement in the DJS continuum of care?			
B. Staff and Training Impact: Will the proposed action significantly change existing policy or procedures, and therefore require staff training or review?			
C. Expenditure Impact: Will the proposed action change the level and/or proportion of expenditures involved in service delivery?			
D. Relevance to People of Color: Is the proposed action significant to populations who are most vulnerable to disparate treatment and/or outcomes, specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • African-Americans • Hispanic or Latinos • Limited English Proficient (LEP) populations • Youth and families experiencing urban disadvantage • Youth and families experiencing rural disadvantage • Others (Please specify): _____ 			
<i>* It is not necessary to carry out a full racial equity impact assessment if the answer is NO in all cases.</i> <i>**Complete a full racial impact assessment if the answer is YES or POSSIBLY to any of the above, which requires completion of Steps 1 through 4 in Stage 2.</i>			

Name and Title of Proposal Lead

☐ Please check here if you require technical assistance from the DJS Office of Equity and Reform to complete a full Racial Impact Assessment

RACIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT LENS, PAGE 2

STAGE II: Full Racial Impact Assessment				
STEP 1: CONSULTATION				
Consultation is a step that ensures inclusion of both internal and external stakeholders in policy considerations. Stakeholders include those who will play a role in supporting and/or implementing the proposed action, and those who will be affected by its implementation. Consultation also lends to the transparency of DJS efforts to integrate racial equity into its policy considerations. Consultation methods may include group dialogue, focus groups, personal interviews, etc.				
1.1	Describe how and when the following entities were consulted in consideration of the proposed actions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DJS Staff External system agencies (Juvenile Court, Prosecutor, Indigent Defense, Law Enforcement, Schools, etc) Non-profit/Community Organizations Youth, Parents, and Guardians/Caregivers Service Providers Other (Specify): _____ 			
1.2	Describe how perspectives/ insights gained from consultation processes have been included in the proposed action.			
STEP 2: POLICY AIMS AND POPULATION TARGETS				
2.1	What is the primary objective of the proposed action?			
2.2	To whom specifically is the proposed action aimed by decision point <i>within the DJS continuum</i> ?			
2.3	Identify the racial and ethnic group(s) at the targeted decision point(s) —Refer to the <i>DJS Data Resource Guide</i> “Youth of Color” statewide and regional trends data for the current year.			
2.4	Describe any gaps in the data that prevent analysis of the targeted decision point(s), and your efforts to address data gaps with DJS Research and Evaluation.			
STEP 3: RACIAL IMPACT AND POTENTIAL FOR DISPARITY				
	<i>In its current form...</i>			
3.1	Are there differences within the target group that may lead to some youth benefitting more or being disadvantaged in different ways? <u>Are these differences distinguished by race and ethnicity?</u>			
3.2	Does the proposed action address a root cause of a problem? Please describe.			
STEP 4: CONSIDERATION OF ADVANCING EQUITY				
	<i>In its current form...</i>			
4.1	If proposed as a specific racial equity strategy, please describe how the proposed action will specifically benefit youth and families of color.			
4.2	Does the proposed action advance racial equity in terms of the following:	NO	YES	POSSIBLY
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limiting and/or monitoring staff use of personal discretion? Changing or eliminating institutional barriers posed by current DJS policy or practice? Acknowledging and seeking to positively influence cultural strongholds in communities of color? Creating or expanding community options for youth who are under DJS care or at risk of deeper levels of care at and beyond the Intake decision point? Other (Describe): _____ 			
4.3	If the answer is <u>YES or POSSIBLY</u> to any of the above, describe how these equity advancements are achieved, measured, and consider whether the position could be improved upon any further.			
4.4	If the answer is <u>NO to any of the above</u> , consider and describe how the proposed action can be adjusted to achieve one or more of the equity advancements, and thus eliminate burden specifically on youth of color.			
4.5	What function or operation of the agency is adversely affected if the proposed action <u>is not implemented</u> ?			
4.6	How will efforts to mitigate burden be implemented? How will they be monitored, by whom, and how frequently?			

Appendix K

CRIMES OF VIOLENCE

The definition of “crimes of violence” occurs in two separate places in Maryland’s Annotated Code. The Maryland State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy uses the definition found in the Maryland Annotated Code, Correctional Services Article, Section 7-101(m). This definition references section 14-101 of the Criminal Law Article and also includes burglary in the 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree.

Maryland Annotated Code, Criminal Law Article, Section 14-101 provides a list of crimes identified as crimes of violence. They are as follows:

(1) abduction; (2) arson in the first degree; (3) kidnapping; (4) manslaughter, except involuntary manslaughter; (5) mayhem; (6) maiming, as previously proscribed under former Article 27, §§385 and 386 of the Annotated Code; (7) murder; (8) rape; (9) robbery under §3-402 or §3-403 of the Criminal Law Article; (10) carjacking; (11) armed carjacking; (12) sexual offense in the first degree; (13) sexual offense in the second degree; (14) use of a handgun in the commission of a felony or other crime of violence; (15) child abuse in the first degree under §3-601 of the Criminal Law Article; (16) sexual abuse of a minor under §3-602 of the Criminal Law Article if:

- (i) the victim is under the age of 13 years and the offender is an adult at the time of the offense; and
- (ii) the offense involved:
 1. vaginal intercourse, as defined in §3-301 of the Criminal Law Article;
 2. a sexual act, as defined in §3-301 of the Criminal Law Article;
 3. an act in which a part of the offender’s body penetrates, however slightly, into the victim’s genital opening or anus; or
 4. the intentional touching, not through the clothing, of the victim’s or the offender’s genital, anal, or other intimate area for sexual arousal, gratification, or abuse;

(17) home invasion under § 6-202(b) of the Criminal Law Article; (18) an attempt to commit any of the crimes described in items (1) through (16) above; (19) continuing course of conduct with a child under §3-315 of the Criminal Law Article; (20) assault in the first degree; (21) assault with intent to murder; (22) assault with intent to rape; (23) assault with intent to rob; (24) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the first degree; and (25) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the second degree.

Appendix L

MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS AND JUVENILE JURISDICTION

Human Services Article

• Title 9 – Juvenile Services

- Establishes the functions of the Department of Juvenile Services; enumerates the authority of the Secretary; details the organization and administration of DJS; establishes the operation of state facilities, advisory boards, juvenile care facilities, and regional services; and specifies the procedures for Interstate Compact and the Juvenile Services facility capital program.

Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article

• Title 3 – Courts of General Jurisdiction

- Establishes the jurisdiction and functions of the juvenile court.

• Subtitle 8 – Juvenile Causes – Children in Need of Assistance (CINA)

- **§3-823. Permanency plan for out-of-home placement:** Requires the court to hold a permanency planning hearing to determine the permanency plan for a child no later than 11 months after a child is committed.

• Subtitle 8A – Juvenile Causes – Children Other than CINAs and Adults

- **§3-8A-01. Definitions:** Provides the meanings of words commonly used in juvenile justice (e.g. adjudicatory hearing, community detention, detention, disposition, petition, violation.)
- **§3-8A-02. Purposes and construction of subtitle:** Establishes the goals of the Juvenile Justice System.
- **§3-8A-03. Jurisdiction of court:** Specifies the jurisdiction of the court over delinquent, child in need of supervision (CINS), Peace Order, and Interstate Compact juveniles and details exceptions to this jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-05. Determination of Jurisdiction:** Specifies the age of the person at the time the alleged delinquent act was committed and controls the determination of jurisdiction under this subtitle.

MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS AND JUVENILE JURISDICTION

- **§3-8A-06. Waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes how the juvenile court may waive jurisdiction of a youth to the adult court.
- **§3-8A-07. Retention, termination or waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes when the court may terminate jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-08. Venue:** Establishes the venue for a CINS petition, a petition concerning delinquency, a Peace Order Request, and certain offenses.
- **§3-8A-09. Transfer of Proceedings:** Describes when the court may transfer certain proceedings to the youth's county of residence or domicile.
- **§3-8A-10. Complaint; preliminary procedures:** Procedural requirements when an intake office receives a complaint from a person or agency or certain citations issued by a police officer.
- **§3-8A-10.1. Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program:** Describes the CINS pilot program.
- **§3-8A-12. Certain information inadmissible in subsequent proceedings:** Describes when certain statements or information are inadmissible in certain proceedings.
- **§3-8A-13. Petition; general procedures:** Describes the general procedures for filing a petition that alleges delinquency or CINS and for filing peace order requests.
- **§3-8A-14. Taking child into custody:** Defines methods by which youth may be taken into custody (e.g. by court order/law enforcement officer.)
- **§3-8A-14.1. Taking child into custody-Arrest Warrant:** Describes the procedure by which an arrest warrant may be obtained for crimes originating in juvenile jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-15. Detention and shelter care prior to hearing:** Provides the authorization for placing a youth in detention, community detention, or shelter care for a child who may be in need of supervision or delinquent.
- **§3-8A-16. Transfer to other facilities:** Requires notice to be given upon arrest of individual under the age of 18. Also provides guidelines for when transfer to adult facility is required.
- **§3-8A-16.1. Blood lead level testing:** Authorizes a court to order a child to undergo blood lead level testing after a petition has been filed, but before an adjudication.
- **§3-8A-17. Study and Examination of child, etc:** Describes when the court may direct DJS or another qualified agency to make a study concerning the child, the child's family, the child's environment, and other matters relating to the disposition of the case.
- **§3-8A-17.1 - §3-8A-17.12. Juvenile Competency:** Procedural requirements regarding juvenile competency; including evaluations, reports, hearings, services, court findings, and dismissal.
- **§3-8A-18. Adjudication:** Requires the court to hold an adjudicatory hearing; requires the allegations in the petition be proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the youth has committed a delinquent act. Also prescribes situations when application for body attachment can be made.
- **§3-8A-19. Disposition; costs:** Requires the court to hold a separate disposition hearing after an adjudicatory hearing unless the court has dismissed/waived the petition/citation; allows disposition to be held on the day of the adjudicatory hearing; and describes permitted dispositions.
- **§3-8A-19.1 - §3-8A-19.5. Peace Order Request:** Describes procedures for an intake officer to file a Peace Order Request, peace order hearings, forms of relief the order may include, service of a peace order; modification or recessions, and violations of an order.
- **§3-8A-20. Right to counsel:** Specifies when a youth is entitled to the assistance of counsel.
- **§3-8A-20.1. Treatment service plan:** Defines "treatment service plan" and describes the procedures for development and implementation of a treatment service plan.
- **§3-8A-21. Emergency medical treatment:** Authorizes court to order medical treatment in certain circumstances when child's guardian is unavailable or refuses to grant authorization without good cause.
- **§3-8A-22. Limitations on place of commitment:** Specifies the limitations on where the court may detain, commit, or transfer a youth.
- **§3-8A-23. Effect of proceedings under subtitle:** Describes the effect of an adjudication and disposition of a child.
- **§3-8A-24. Effective period of order of commitment; renewal of order:** Specifies the length of time for an order of commitment, procedures for renewal of an order, and when the order is effective.

MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS AND JUVENILE JURISDICTION

- **§3-8A-25. Progress reports:** Specifies requirements for visitation by a juvenile counselor of a child who is committed to an individual or to a public or private agency or institution. Authorizes the court to require the custodian to file periodic reports.
- **§3-8A-26. Order controlling conduct of a person before the court:** Authorizes the court to make an appropriate order controlling conduct under certain circumstances.
- **§3-8A-27. Confidentiality of records:** Describes confidentiality requirements, procedures, and exceptions for police and court records pertaining to or concerning a child.
- **§3-8A-27.1. Expungement of records:** Describes procedure for expunging juvenile records.
- **§3-8A-28. Judgment of restitution:** Authorizes the court to enter a judgment of restitution against the parent of a child, the child, or both.
- **§3-8A-29. Parents liable for support after commitment:** Authorizes the court to order either parent/both parents to pay support of the child.
- **§3-8A-30. Contributing to certain conditions of a child:** Describes the offense of contributing to certain conditions of a child and provides for a penalty.
- **§3-8A-32. Appointment of attorney or advocate to represent child's interest:** Authorizes a court to appoint an attorney to represent a child's interest under certain conditions.
- **§3-8A-33. Citation for certain violations:** Authorizes a law enforcement officer to issue a citation to a child if the officer has probable cause to believe that the child is violating certain alcoholic beverage, tobacco, salvia divinorum, or marijuana possession violations.
- **§3-8A-34. Rights of victim or witness of delinquent act:** Refers to the rights of victims and witnesses of delinquent acts.

Appendix M

MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 1

MCASP Intake Risk Screen

Part I: DELINQUENT HISTORY

ITEM	Scoring
1. Age at First Offense: The age at the time of the offense for which the youth was referred to DJS for the first time on a misdemeanor or felony.	<input type="checkbox"/> Over 16 <input type="checkbox"/> 16 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 13 to 14 <input type="checkbox"/> Under 13
2. Misdemeanor Referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a misdemeanor.	<input type="checkbox"/> One or fewer <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or four <input type="checkbox"/> Five or more
3. Felony Referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a felony.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
4. Weapon Referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense includes the possession or use of a firearm or explosive.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One or more
5. Against-person misdemeanor referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was an against-person misdemeanor. An against-person misdemeanor involves threats, force, or physical harm to another person such as assault, sex, coercion, harassment, obscene phone call, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
6. Against-person felony referrals: Total number of referrals for an against-person felony. An against-person felony involves force or physical harm to another person such as homicide, murder, manslaughter, assault, rape, sex, robbery, kidnapping, domestic violence, harassment, criminal mistreatment, intimidation, coercion, obscene harassing phone call, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One or two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
7. Sexual misconduct misdemeanor referrals: Total number of referrals for which the most serious offense was a sexual misconduct 4 th degree misdemeanor.	
8. Felony sex offense referrals: Total number of referrals for a felony sex offense – first, second, or third degree.	
9. Detention: Number of times a youth served at least one day confined in detention under a detention order.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
10. Placement: Number of times a youth served at least one day in placement under commitment to DJS (including pending placement in a detention facility).	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
11. Escapes: Total number of referrals for escape.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
12. Failure to appear in court warrants: Total number of failures-to-appear in court that resulted in a warrant being issued. Exclude failure-to-appear warrants for non-criminal matters.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more

Appendix M (cont.)

MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 2

Part II - SOCIAL HISTORY

ITEM	Responses
1a. Youth's <u>current</u> school enrollment status, regardless of attendance. If the youth is in home school as a result of being expelled or dropping out, check <i>Expelled</i> or <i>Dropped out</i> ; otherwise check <i>Enrolled full or part-time</i> .	<input type="checkbox"/> Graduated/GED <input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled full-time <input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled part-time <input type="checkbox"/> Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Dropped out <input type="checkbox"/> Expelled <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
1b. Youth's school conduct, last 3 months. <i>Check all that apply.</i> Conduct problems include things like fighting or threatening students/teachers; overly disruptive behavior; drug/alcohol use; crimes (e.g., theft, vandalism); lying, cheating.	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> No school conduct problems <input type="checkbox"/> Conduct problems reported by teachers <input type="checkbox"/> Calls to parents about conduct <input type="checkbox"/> Calls to police about conduct <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
1c. Youth's attendance in last 3 months. Partial-day absence means missing less than half the school day. Full-day absence means missing all or most of school day.	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> No full-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Some full-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Some partial-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Chronic full-day unexcused absences or youth is currently dropped out <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
1d. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> A average <input type="checkbox"/> B average <input type="checkbox"/> C average, no F's <input type="checkbox"/> C or D average, with one or more F's <input type="checkbox"/> Mostly D's and F's <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
2. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with—last 3 months. <i>Check all that apply.</i> <i>Anti-social:</i> Friends that are hostile to the social order, disdain authority, engage in criminal/delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> No consistent friends or companions <input type="checkbox"/> Pro-social friends <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-social friends <input type="checkbox"/> Gang member/associate <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
3. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime). Include both court-ordered placements AND voluntary placements that resulted from CPS investigation of the home. Exclude DJS commitments.	<input type="checkbox"/> No placements ever <input type="checkbox"/> 1 or more placements <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
4. Number of times youth has run away or gotten kicked out of home (youth's lifetime). Include <u>all</u> incidents when the youth did not voluntarily return within 24 hours, even if not reported by or to law enforcement.	<input type="checkbox"/> No incidents <input type="checkbox"/> 1 incident <input type="checkbox"/> 2 or more incidents <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
5. Current household members with history of jail/prison/detention. <i>Check all members that apply or check "None"</i>. Mother and father refer to current parent, legal guardian or youth's primary female and male caretakers residing in household.	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) <input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> Mother/female caretaker <input type="checkbox"/> Father/male caretaker <input type="checkbox"/> Older sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Younger sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Other member <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
6. Youth's current compliance with guardian's/caretaker's rules—last 3 months.	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) <input type="checkbox"/> Youth usually obeys rules <input type="checkbox"/> Youth sometimes obeys rules <input type="checkbox"/> Youth consistently disobeys, is hostile to parental authority <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown

MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 3

<p>7. Current alcohol/drug use. First assess whether the youth has used alcohol or drugs in the last 3 months. If there is an indication of recent use, then examine (1) whether drug use contributes or is related in some way to the youth's recent criminal behavior, and (2) whether the current/recent use is serious enough to disrupt the youth's life, causing problems related to education, family life, social life or health.</p>	
<p>7a. Current alcohol use—last 3 months.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>7b. Current drug use—last 3 months.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>7c. Alcohol or drug use contributes to current/recent criminal behavior. Substance use either motivates criminal behavior (e.g. stealing to support a habit) or is related to criminal behavior in some other way (e.g., substance use is connected with violent, risky or impulsive behaviors that get the youth into trouble).</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>7d. Alcohol or drug use disrupts other areas of youth's life. Substance use creates problems with any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School (e.g., problems with school attendance, conduct or grades); • Family (e.g., stealing at home to support use, withdrawing, arguing over use); • Peers (e.g., loss of pro-social friends, inability to form pro-social relationships); • Health (e.g., trips to emergency room, medical problems related to use). 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>8. Victim of physical or sexual abuse during lifetime. Check all that apply. Include any history of suspected abuse, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of abuse proven to be false. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Physical abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>9. Victim of neglect during lifetime. Include any history of suspected neglect, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of neglect proven to be false. Neglect includes negligent behavior that endangers the child's health, welfare and safety, such as failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, healthcare, nurturing or supervision. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>10. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever during lifetime). Such as schizophrenia, bi-polar, anxiety, depression, personality and other diagnosed disorders. <u>Exclude substance abuse, conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, ADD/ADHD and special education needs.</u> Confirm by a professional in the social service/healthcare field that the youth was diagnosed, prescribed medicine or treated for a mental health problem.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown

Appendix N

QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - REVISED 2018, PAGE 1

Item
DOMAIN 1: Delinquent History
1. Age at first adjudication: Age at first adjudication for a misdemeanor or felony offense.
2. Adjudications: Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was a felony or misdemeanor.
A. Misdemeanor adjudications: Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was a misdemeanor.
B. Felony adjudications: Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was a felony.
3. Against-person misdemeanor adjudications: Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was an against-person misdemeanor.
4. Against-person felony adjudications: Total # of adjudications for an against-person felony.
5. Detention: # of times a youth served at least one day confined in detention under a detention order.
6. Placement: # of times a youth served at least one day in placement under commitment to DJS (including pending placement in a detention facility).
7. Escapes: Total # of referrals for escape.
8. Failure to appear in court warrants: Total # of failures-to-appear in court that resulted in a warrant being issued. Exclude failure-to-appear warrants for non-delinquent matters.
DOMAIN 2: School (History)
1. Youth is/was a special education student or has had a formal diagnosis of a special education need (in any grade). If the specific type of special ed. need is not known, check Other/ Unspecified. Check all that apply.
2. Total number of times expelled or suspended for conduct problems. Only consider out-of-school suspensions for conduct problems.
A. Since first grade.
B. In most recent school term.
3. Age at first expulsion or suspension. Only consider out-of-school suspensions for conduct problems.
DOMAIN 2: School (Current)
4. Youth has been enrolled in school during the last 3 months or most recent school term, regardless of attendance.
5. Youth's current school enrollment status, regardless of attendance. If the youth is in home school as a result of being expelled or dropping out, check Expelled or Dropped out; otherwise check Enrolled full-time or Enrolled part-time.
A. Type of school in which youth is currently enrolled. Select the school in which the youth spends most of his/her day.
6. Youth believes there is value in getting an education.
7. Youth believes school environment is encouraging.
8. Number of school staff (e.g., teachers, coaches, counselors, and support staff) the youth likes/feels comfortable with.
9. Youth's involvement in school activities, most recent school term. E.g., Ongoing participation in school leadership activities, school clubs, arts-related activities, athletics, other extracurricular school activities.
10. Youth's school conduct, most recent school term. Conduct problems include things like fighting or threatening students/teachers; overly disruptive behavior; drug/alcohol use; delinquent acts (e.g., theft, vandalism); lying, cheating. Check all that apply.
11. Youth feels safe in school, most recent school term.
12. Youth's attendance in the most recent school term. Partial-day absence means missing less than half the school day. Full-day absence means missing all or most of school day.
13. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.
DOMAIN 3: Use of Free Time
1. Current interest and involvement in structured recreational activities. Youth regularly participates in structured, supervised pro-social community-based activity, such as church, community, or cultural group, or an organized music, art, sports, or volunteer activity.
2. Current interest and involvement in pro-social unstructured recreational activities. Youth regularly engages in unstructured activities that positively occupy his or her time, such as reading, hobbies, unsupervised sports, etc.
DOMAIN 4: Employment (History and Current)
1. History of employment.
2. Youth's history of success on the job. Check all that apply.
3. Current employment status. Employment problems include things like youth not getting along with boss or co-workers, poor performance on the job, poor attendance, etc.

QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - REVISED 2018, PAGE 2

Item
4. Current interest in employment.
DOMAIN 5: Relationships in the Community (History and Current)
1. Current positive relationships with adults in the community. Adults who provide support and model pro-social behavior (such as a religious or youth leader, coach, neighbor, mentor, etc.)
2. History of delinquent friends/companions—last few years. Delinquent: Friends who engage in delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc. Check all that apply.
3. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with, last 3 months. Delinquent: Friends who engage in delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc. Check all that apply.
4. Currently admires/emulates delinquent/criminal peers.
5. Current resistance to delinquent/criminal peer influence.
DOMAIN 6: Family (History)
1. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime). Include both court-ordered placements AND voluntary placements that resulted from CPS investigation of the home. Exclude DJS detentions and commitments.
2. Number of times youth has either run away or gotten kicked out of home: Include all incidents when the youth did not voluntarily return within 24 hours, even if not reported by or to law enforcement.
A. Incidents across lifetime.
B. Any incidents in last 3 months.
C. Currently a runaway or kicked out.
3. Household members who ever lived with youth (at least 3 months) and had a history of criminal conviction/prison. Mother and father refer to parent, legal guardian or youth's primary female and male caretakers residing in household. Check all members that apply or check "None".
4. Siblings who ever lived with youth (at least 3 months) and have a history of adjudication/commitment. Check all members that apply or check "None".
DOMAIN 6: Family (Current Household)
5. Youth has been living under adult supervision during last 3 months. Adult supervision: Someone is responsible for the youth's day-to-day welfare, either legally or with parental consent.
6. Youth has been living in a stable environment during last 3 months.
7. Persons with whom youth is currently living. Check all that apply.
8. Youth's current parenting status.
9. Annual combined income of youth and family.
10. Problem history of parents/caretakers who currently live with youth. Check if either of the parents/caretakers in the current household have had a problem in the past or currently have a problem. Check all that apply or check "No problems".
11. Current support network for youth's family. Extended family and/or friends who can provide additional support (emotional, financial, or other forms of assistance) to the family.
12. Family willingness to support youth.
13. Family member(s) youth currently feels close to or has good relationship with. Family member does NOT have to live in youth's household. Check all that apply.
14. Current level of conflict between parents, between youth and parents, among siblings, last 3 months. Select the most serious level of conflict.
15. Current parental supervision, last 3 months. Good supervision: Parents know whom youth is with, when youth will return, where youth is going, and what youth is doing.
16. Youth's current compliance with parent's rules and authority.
17. Current parental approach to discipline. Appropriate discipline involves clear communication, timely response, and response proportionate to youth's conduct.
18. Current parental approach to rewarding youth. Appropriate rewards involve acknowledging positive behavior, giving praise and positive feedback (as well as tangible rewards), providing a reward in response to and proportionate to positive conduct.

Appendix N (cont.)

QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - REVISED 2018, PAGE 3

Item
DOMAIN 7: Alcohol & Drugs
1. History of alcohol use <u>prior to the last 3 months</u> .
A. Past alcohol use.
B. Age at first alcohol use.
C. Past alcohol use disrupted functioning.
2. History of drug use <u>prior to the last 3 months</u> .
A. Past drug use.
B. Age at first drug use.
C. Past drug use disrupted functioning.
3. History of alcohol/drug assessment/diagnosis.
4. History of attending alcohol/drug education classes.
5. History of participating in alcohol/drug treatment program.
6. Any drug or alcohol use within last 3 months.
7. Current alcohol use (within last 3 months):
A. Any <u>current</u> alcohol use.
B. Alcohol use disrupts current functioning.
C. Frequency of alcohol use <u>in the last 30 days</u> .
D. Use of alcohol.
8. Current drug use (within last 3 months):
A. Any <u>current</u> drug use.
B. Drug use disrupts current functioning.
C. Frequency of drug use <u>in the last 30 days</u> .
D. Use of drugs.
9. Type of drugs currently used. Check all that apply.
10. Current participation in alcohol/drug treatment, last 3 months.
DOMAIN 8: Mental Health (History)
1. Victim of neglect during lifetime. Neglect includes negligent behavior that endangers the child's health, welfare and safety, such as failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, healthcare, nurturing or supervision.
2. Exposure to violence during lifetime. Check all that apply.
3. Victim of violence/physical abuse during lifetime. Check all that apply.
4. Victim of sexual abuse during lifetime. Check all that apply.
5. Traumatic experiences during lifetime. Ever experienced events such as rape, abuse or observed violence, dreams or flashbacks.
6. History of lead exposure/poisoning.
7. History of ADD/ADHD. Confirm by a professional.
8. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever in lifetime). Such as schizophrenia, bi-polar, anxiety, depression, personality and other diagnosed disorders. <u>Exclude</u> substance abuse, conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, ADD/ADHD, and special education needs. Confirm by a professional.
DOMAIN 8: Mental Health (Current)
9. Current mental health status, last 3 months.
10. ADD/ADHD medication currently prescribed. Confirm by a professional.
11. Mental health treatment currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD treatment. Confirm by a professional.
12. Mental health medication currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD medication. Confirm by a professional.
13. Current health insurance.
DOMAIN 9: Attitudes and Aggression
Part A: Attitudes
1. Youth's primary emotion when committing delinquent act(s).
2. Youth's primary purpose(s) for committing delinquent act(s). Check all that apply.
3. Youth's optimism about future. Youth talks about future in positive way, has plans or aspirations, has pro-social goals (for education, employment, family or other life domains.)

QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - REVISED 2018, PAGE 4

Item
4. Impulsive; acts before thinking.
5. Youth's belief in control over his/her own anti-social behavior.
6. Youth's empathy, remorse, or sympathy for the victim(s).
7. Youth's respect for adults. Consider youth's general attitude towards parents, teachers, coaches, employer, etc.
8. Youth's attitude toward laws/social norms.
9. Youth's view of his/her anti-social behavior.
10. Youth's belief in successfully meeting conditions of court supervision.
Part B: Aggression
11. Interpretation of actions and intentions of others in common, non-confrontational settings.
12. Tolerance for frustration.
13. Belief in yelling and verbal aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.
14. Belief in fighting and physical aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.

Appendix O

RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

The Relative Rate Index (RRI) is a measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool for measuring how ethnic minorities compare with white youth at various contact points (court referral, diversion, etc.) The RRI was developed by the National Center for Juvenile Justice, with funding from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. For more detailed information, see: <http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/dmcdp/asp/whatis.asp>

DEFINITIONS FOR KEY CONTACT POINTS

The definitions below describe each key contact point included in the tables that follow along with a brief explanation of each point. Understanding each contact point and how it is defined in the calculations will provide the basis for proper interpretation of the rates. Though not a 'contact point,' the general youth population is included because it is a key data point for calculating the rate of contact.

Contact Point	Definition
Population	Population of youth ages 11-17 as of July 2017. Source: MD Dept. of Planning. <i>Note: DJS uses 11-17 as a population denominator due to the low number of cases under 11.</i>
Referrals to Juvenile Court/Intake	Juvenile complaints referred to DJS intake, from police or other sources, including delinquent & non-delinquent offenses, recorded in ASSIST. Rate is based on youth in the general population.
Diversion	Complaints diverted by DJS Intake (resolved or informally adjusted to pre-court supervision) without formal petition. Rate is per referral.
Detention	Cases resulting in detention both pre-disposition or pending placement, but does not include youth ejected to detention from a committed placement. Rate is per referral.
Petitioned	Complaints resulting in juvenile court petitions. Rate is per referral.
Delinquent	Complaints resulting in delinquent findings. Rate is per petition.
Probation	Probation dispositions. Rate is per delinquent finding.
Confinement	Youth placed in Secure Committed program: Victor Cullen, Carter Center or staff and hardware secure private out-of-state programs. Rate is per delinquent finding.
Transferred	Juvenile petitions waived up to adult court. Does not include charges under original adult jurisdiction. Rate is per petition.

RRI TABLE FOR ALL MINORITY YOUTH

The data presented here shows the RRI for minority youth compared to white youth. An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disparity at that decision point. A higher value means more disparity (higher minority over-representation), and a lesser value means under-representation. The RRI for each decision point is based on the number of youth of each race/ethnicity represented at that point compared with the number at the previous decision point.

RATES OF CONTACT FOR ALL YOUTH

This table shows the number and rate of representation for all youth, regardless of color, at each key juvenile justice decision point. The rates are based on the general population for this table, rather than based on the previous decision point.

RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

RRIs FOR ALL MINORITIES COMPARED WITH WHITE YOUTH, AT KEY CONTACT POINTS¹, FY 2018

Region/ County	Referred to Juvenile Court/ Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involving Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
R-I-Balt. City								
Baltimore City	4.76	**	1.29	1.23	1.38	**	**	**
R-II-Central								
Baltimore Co.	3.73	0.54	1.25	1.19	0.90	1.23	**	**
Carroll	1.58	0.43	1.26	1.35	0.66	**	**	**
Harford	3.40	0.68	1.11	1.30	0.92	1.09	**	**
Howard	2.83	0.54	**	1.47	0.84	**	**	**
R-III-Western								
Allegany	1.65	0.72	1.70	1.28	0.90	**	**	**
Frederick	3.04	0.64	1.41	1.38	0.84	1.36	**	**
Garrett	3.13	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Washington	4.29	0.69	1.30	1.16	1.25	0.82	**	**
R-IV-Eastern								
Caroline	1.60	1.12	**	1.00	0.78	**	**	**
Cecil	2.33	1.01	1.65	1.43	0.70	1.37	**	**
Dorchester	2.83	1.33	1.30	1.01	0.85	**	**	**
Kent	3.65	**	**	1.06	**	**	**	**
Queen Anne's	1.93	1.14	**	1.19	**	**	**	**
Somerset	2.25	0.60	**	0.90	**	**	**	**
Talbot	2.87	1.03	**	1.31	**	**	**	**
Wicomico	4.23	0.78	2.09	1.09	0.70	**	**	**
Worcester	1.46	1.56	2.41	2.21	0.75	**	**	**
R-V-Southern								
Anne Arundel	2.44	0.89	1.58	1.02	0.99	0.81	**	**
Calvert	1.14	1.35	1.12	0.80	**	**	**	**
Charles	2.76	0.38	**	0.96	0.78	**	**	**
St. Mary's	2.49	1.30	1.87	1.08	1.69	**	**	**
R-VI-Metro								
Montgomery	3.33	0.60	3.26	1.39	1.46	0.97	**	**
Prince George's	2.64	0.69	**	1.10	**	**	**	**
State Total	1.93	0.70	2.39	1.47	0.92	0.96	2.26	2.42

¹ At all decision points minority youth include: African American, Asian or Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Hispanic/Latino and Other/Unknown youth.

- Statistically significant results are shaded; Results that are not statistically significant are shown in regular font
- Insufficient number of cases for analysis are shown as **; an RRI of 1.0 indicates no disproportional contact. A rate higher than 1.0 indicates that minority youth are disproportionately over-represented at that decision point, and a rate below 1.0 shows they are under-represented.

Appendix O (cont.)

RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

RATE OF CONTACTS PER 10,000 YOUTH AT KEY CONTACT POINTS, COMPARED WITH GENERAL POPULATION, FY 2018*

Region/ County	Population (ages 11-17)	Referred to Juvenile Court/ Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involving Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
R-I-Balt. City	47,215	377.6	22.7	160.5	294.8	140.4	54.0	10.6	0.0
Baltimore City	47,215	377.6	22.7	160.5	294.8	140.4	54.0	10.6	0.0
R-II-Central	142,166	381.4	44.0	29.2	184.6	84.0	57.7	1.4	1.2
Baltimore Co.	71,941	494.3	46.1	36.6	259.5	109.3	83.3	1.5	1.8
Carroll	15,635	234.1	89.5	14.7	81.9	51.2	26.2	0.6	0.0
Harford	22,969	359.2	10.0	25.3	141.9	74.9	45.7	1.3	0.9
Howard	31,621	213.5	41.1	22.5	96.1	49.3	24.0	1.6	0.6
R-III-Western	45,065	436.9	79.4	41.3	213.0	104.1	73.9	3.6	2.2
Allegany	5,754	731.7	114.7	60.8	259.0	119.9	78.2	1.7	0.0
Frederick	23,997	254.2	37.1	20.4	122.1	78.8	44.2	4.2	1.3
Garrett	2,280	671.2	215.0	39.5	206.2	153.5	127.2	0.0	0.0
Washington	13,034	602.3	118.1	71.3	361.4	135.0	117.4	3.8	5.4
R-IV-Eastern	40,030	713.7	105.9	63.2	233.1	105.9	66.4	3.2	5.5
Caroline	3,074	797.0	81.3	26.0	244.0	100.8	94.3	0.0	3.3
Cecil	9,457	370.1	30.7	55.0	132.2	100.5	46.5	4.2	1.1
Dorchester	2,558	1778.8	340.1	105.6	715.4	273.7	226.8	3.9	0.0
Kent	1,526	458.7	85.2	39.3	91.7	26.2	6.6	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	4,474	303.9	78.2	26.8	82.7	69.3	29.1	0.0	0.0
Somerset	2,153	826.7	209.0	74.3	301.9	120.8	92.9	4.6	0.0
Talbot	2,685	569.8	100.6	22.3	145.3	104.3	63.3	0.0	0.0
Wicomico	10,523	727.9	72.2	98.8	273.7	84.6	40.9	6.7	12.4
Worcester	3,580	1407.9	243.0	61.5	298.9	139.7	114.5	0.0	19.6
R-V-Southern	84,279	505.3	78.3	27.9	138.8	68.2	40.7	1.7	2.0
Anne Arundel	48,698	525.5	71.7	20.1	139.4	65.5	39.2	1.4	0.4
Calvert	9,067	419.1	78.3	32.0	113.6	50.7	36.4	0.0	0.0
Charles	15,569	492.0	23.8	36.6	152.2	87.4	48.8	1.3	8.4
St. Mary's	10,945	506.2	185.5	46.6	138.0	67.6	39.3	4.6	1.8
R-VI-Metro	172,207	195.6	47.6	25.4	102.7	44.3	27.6	1.5	0.1
Montgomery	93,829	247.7	65.9	21.8	127.5	49.7	31.0	1.0	0.1
Prince George's	78,378	133.3	25.6	29.7	73.1	37.9	23.5	2.0	0.0
State Total	530,962	370.3	56.4	44.8	166.7	77.0	47.0	2.7	1.3

*Rates are calculated for each decision point based on general population data from the Census (see Appendix P).

- This table calculates the rate of DJS contact for all youth at each stage, compared with the general population (Census ages 11-17). For example, for every 10,000 youth in Baltimore City, there were 160.5 youth detained, and in Prince George's County it was 29.7 per 10,000 youth.

Appendix P

CENSUS INFORMATION, 2017* POPULATION ESTIMATES BY RACE (AGE 11-17)¹

Region / County	White ²	Black ²	American Indian/ Alaska ²	Asian ²	Hawaiian/ OPI ²	Two or More ²	Hispanic/ Latino	Total
R-I - Balt. City	7,877	33,723	140	980	11	1,356	3,127	47,215
Baltimore City	7,877	33,723	140	980	11	1,356	3,127	47,215
R-II - Central	77,317	35,410	329	11,724	57	6,884	10,445	142,166
Baltimore Co.	34,338	24,117	198	4,635	19	3,105	5,529	71,941
Carroll	13,286	591	25	352	3	575	803	15,634
Harford	15,634	3,818	45	823	16	1,205	1,428	22,969
Howard	14,059	6,884	62	5,914	19	1,999	2,685	31,621
R-III - Western	32,018	4,745	79	1,739	20	2,327	4,137	45,065
Allegany	4,851	436	10	69	4	271	113	5,754
Frederick	15,702	2,585	47	1,347	8	1,254	3,053	23,997
Garrett	2,127	78	1	12	0	27	34	2,280
Washington	9,337	1,646	21	311	8	775	937	13,034
R-IV - Eastern	26,901	7,731	85	661	18	1,788	2,847	40,030
Caroline	2,135	464	10	27	2	133	302	3,074
Cecil	7,509	747	19	114	7	426	636	9,457
Dorchester	1,309	864	6	32	1	123	223	2,558
Kent	1,135	203	2	17	0	75	94	1,526
Queen Anne's	3,704	231	9	61	1	202	267	4,474
Somerset	815	1,091	4	17	1	95	129	2,153
Talbot	1,886	363	4	49	3	104	276	2,685
Wicomico	5,869	3,155	20	278	1	475	725	10,523
Worcester	2,538	612	12	65	1	156	195	3,580
R-V - Southern	49,290	19,878	230	2,629	59	4,896	7,298	84,279
Anne Arundel	30,153	9,058	107	1,639	39	2,680	5,023	48,698
Calvert	6,698	1,077	33	206	6	542	505	9,067
Charles	4,781	8,101	66	525	9	996	1,091	15,569
St Mary's	7,658	1,643	24	260	4	678	678	10,945
R-VI - Metro	43,236	65,415	323	15,842	28	6,943	40,419	172,207
Montgomery	35,797	18,741	147	12,752	11	4,679	21,702	93,829
Prince George's	7,440	46,673	176	3,090	17	2,264	18,718	78,378
Statewide	236,639	166,902	1,187	33,575	193	24,193	68,273	530,962

* Data Sources: Maryland Department of Planning, Population Estimation for State of Maryland. Files used: (1) July 1, 2017 Population by Age (single year), Race, Sex and Hispanic Origin for State of Maryland; (2) July 1, 2017 Population by Age, Sex, Race and Hispanic/Latino Origin for Maryland's Jurisdictions. Available on-line: https://planning.maryland.gov/MSDC/Pages/pop_estimate/CensPopEst.aspx (accessed in August 2018).

¹ The proportions of age ranges 11-14 and 15-17 have been computed from the population by age (single year), race and ethnicity for State of Maryland. The proportions were then applied to estimate each Jurisdiction's/County's pop, from the population by age (5-year age group), race and ethnicity of Maryland Jurisdictions.

² Excludes Hispanic and Latino

Note: Estimates with fractional values are rounded to whole numbers; therefore, totals may not sum exactly.

Appendix Q

NUMBER AND RATIO OF YOUTH, CASES, AND PLACEMENTS BY COUNTY*, FY 2018

County / Region	Complaints			Pre-D Detention Placements			Post-D Detention Placements			Committed Placements		
	Cases	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements ¹	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth
R-I - Balt. City	1,783	1,076	1.7	758	475	1.6	218	165	1.3	210	169	1.2
Baltimore City	1,783	1,076	1.7	758	475	1.6	218	165	1.3	210	169	1.2
R-II - Central	5,422	3,505	1.5	415	296	1.4	136	104	1.3	154	121	1.3
Baltimore Co.	3,556	2,197	1.6	263	197	1.3	71	52	1.4	74	59	1.3
Carroll	366	255	1.4	23	15	1.5	7	5	1.4	15	12	1.3
Harford	825	590	1.4	58	43	1.3	30	27	1.1	27	22	1.2
Howard	675	463	1.5	71	41	1.7	28	20	1.4	38	28	1.4
R-III - Western	1,969	1,122	1.8	186	134	1.4	66	48	1.4	80	64	1.3
Allegany	421	259	1.6	35	26	1.3	13	12	1.1	16	14	1.1
Frederick	610	363	1.7	49	43	1.1	28	18	1.6	30	23	1.3
Garrett	153	95	1.6	9	8	1.1	1	1	1.0	3	3	1.0
Washington	785	405	1.9	93	57	1.6	24	17	1.4	31	24	1.3
R-IV - Eastern	2,857	1,918	1.5	253	182	1.4	69	54	1.3	79	60	1.3
Caroline	245	151	1.6	8	6	1.3	2	2	1.0	1	1	1.0
Cecil	350	244	1.4	52	34	1.5	10	8	1.3	13	7	1.9
Dorchester	455	265	1.7	27	17	1.6	10	8	1.3	13	10	1.3
Kent	70	61	1.1	6	5	1.2	1	1	1.0	1	1	1.0
Queen Anne's	136	97	1.4	12	9	1.3	8	7	1.1	12	8	1.5
Somerset	178	118	1.5	16	13	1.2	6	5	1.2	6	4	1.5
Talbot	153	103	1.5	6	4	1.5	6	3	2.0	2	2	1.0
Wicomico	766	480	1.6	104	76	1.4	22	16	1.4	28	24	1.2
Worcester	504	399	1.3	22	18	1.2	4	4	1.0	3	3	1.0
R-V - Southern	4,259	2,992	1.4	235	173	1.4	128	105	1.2	133	115	1.2
Anne Arundel	2,559	1,818	1.4	98	79	1.2	82	65	1.3	80	68	1.2
Calvert	380	270	1.4	29	20	1.5	3	3	1.0	6	5	1.2
Charles	766	533	1.4	57	42	1.4	22	19	1.2	27	23	1.2
St. Mary's	554	371	1.5	51	32	1.6	21	18	1.2	20	19	1.1
R-VI - Metro	3,369	2,214	1.5	438	314	1.4	159	127	1.3	156	126	1.2
Montgomery	2,324	1,428	1.6	205	146	1.4	83	67	1.2	79	64	1.2
Prince George's	1,045	786	1.3	233	168	1.4	76	60	1.3	77	62	1.2
Out-of-State	N/A	N/A	N/A	92	76	1.2	7	6	1.2	13	12	1.1
Statewide	19,659	12,827	1.5	2,377	1,650	1.4	783	609	1.3	825	667	1.2

* Complaint data are based on county of jurisdiction. Detention, Pending Placement, and Committed Placements data are based on county of residence.

¹ Post-ejection detention placements are included.

Appendix R

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Crimes of Violence			
	Offense Severity [^]		Offense Severity [^]
Arson 1st Degree	3	Murder 1st Degree - Attempted	1
Assault 1st Degree	2	Murder 2nd Degree	1
Burglary 1st Degree	3	Murder 2nd Degree - Attempted	2
Burglary 2nd Degree	4	Prostitution - Abduct, Persuade, Entice for Prostitution or Certain Sex Crimes	1
Burglary 3rd Degree	4	Rape 1st Degree	1
Burglary - Home Invasion	2	Rape 1st Degree - Attempted	1
Burglary with Explosives	3	Rape 2nd Degree	1
Carjacking - Armed	1	Rape 2nd Degree - Attempted	2
Carjacking - Unarmed	2	Robbery	3
Child Abduction of Individual Under 16	1	Robbery with Deadly Weapon	2
Child Abuse 1st Degree	1	Sex Offense 1st Degree**	1
Child Abuse - Physical with Death	1	Sex Offense 1st Degree - Attempted**	1
In-State Abduction of Child Under 12	2	Sex Offense 2nd Degree**	1
Kidnapping	1	Sex Offense 2nd Degree - Attempted**	2
Manslaughter - Voluntary	3	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, 1st Offense	2
Murder 1st Degree	1	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, Subsequent	1
Person-to-Person Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity [^]	Felony	Offense Severity [^]
Assault on Police Officer	4	Manslaughter - Negligent Homicide by Automobile	4
CDS - Weapons Use	2	Manslaughter by Automobile	3
Child Abuse 2nd Degree	3	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc. Subsequent Offense	3
Child Pornography	3	Out-Of-State Family Abduction	5
Destructive Devices	2	Poisoning	1
Escape - 1st Degree	3	Prostitution- Human Trafficking	1
Gang Offense - Commission of Crime	2	Removing Firearm from Possession of Law Enforcement Officer	3
Gang Offense - Commission of Crime - Resulting in Death of Victim	1	Sex Abuse by Household Member	1
Gang Offense - Manage, Fund Gang	2	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (no force or threat)	4
Hate Crime Involving a Separate Felony Crime	3	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (w/ force or threat)	3
Hate Crime Involving Death of a Victim	2	Sex Offense - Use of Personal Identifying Information of an Individual to Invite Another to Commit a Sexual Crime	3
Incest	3	Sexual Solicitation of a Minor	3
Judicial Process - Influence or Intimidate - Felony	2	Sodomy; Unnatural or Perverted Sexual Practice	3
Manslaughter - Involuntary	3	Use of Machine Gun for a Crime	2

[^]The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

** Offense date prior to 10/1/2017

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

Appendix R (cont.)

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Person-to-Person Offenses			
Misdemeanor	Offense Severity [^]	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity [^]
Affray	4	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry 1st Offense (incl. on school prop.)	5
Assault 2nd Degree / Battery	4	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry, Subsequent (incl. on school prop.)	2
Assault - Knowingly / willfully Causing Another to Ingest Bodily Fluid	4	Handgun Violation - Wear, Carry with Intent to Injure, Kill	2
Assault Weapons - Possess, Sell Assault Weapon, etc.; Manufacture, Possess, Sell, etc. a Rapid Fire Trigger Activator*	4	Handgun Violation - Wearing, Carrying Under the Influence	5
Assault Weapons - Use of Assault Weapon, Rapid Fire Trigger Activator, etc. in the Commission of a Felony or Crime of Violence, 1st Offense*	2	Harassment	5
Assault Weapons - Use of Assault Weapon, Rapid Fire Trigger Activator, etc. in the Commission of a Felony or Crime of Violence, Subsequent*	1	Harassment - Revenge Porn	5
Child Neglect	4	Hate Crime Other	4
Committing a Crime of Violence in Presence of Minor	4	In-State Family Abduction	5
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Concealed Dangerous Weapon - Wear or Carry	5	Indecent Exposure	4
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Openly with Intent to Injure - Dangerous Weapon, Mace/ Chemical Device, Wear or Carry	5	Judicial Process - Influence or Intimidate - Misdemeanor	4
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Certain Ordinance	5	Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle/ Vessel	4
Deadly Weapon on Public School Property	4	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc.	4
Disorderly Intoxication	5	Obstructing Justice - Tamper with or Fabricate Physical Evidence ¹	4
Disturbing the Peace	5	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering, Common Law	4
Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	5	Prostitution, etc. - Human Trafficking	2
Driving under Influence CDS	5	Prostitution – Receive money for	3
Driving under Influence Drugs and/ or Alcohol	5	Prostitution – Solicitation	5
Driving While Impaired	5	Reckless Endangerment	4
Driving While Intoxicated	5	Resisting Arrest	4
Driving While Using Text Messaging Device, etc. that Causes Accident Resulting Death, Serious Bodily Injury	5	Retaliating Against a Victim or Witness ¹	4
Electronic Mail Abuse	5	Rioting	3
Escape 2nd Degree - Remove Monitoring Device	4	Sex Offense 4th Degree	5
Escape 2nd Degree - Secure Facility	4	Sextortion - Causing Another to Engage in Sexual Activity, etc. by Threatening Behavior*	4
Failure to Appear - Connection Felony	4	Stalking	4
Failure to Appear – Connection Misdemeanor	5	Threat of Mass Violence	4
Failure to Appear - Citation	5	Use of Machine Gun for Aggressive Purposes	3
Gang Offense	5	Visual Surveillance, Unlawful	5
Gang Offense - School	4		

¹The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

¹ Inactive as of 10/1/2017; * Active as of 10/1/2018

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Property Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity [^]	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity [^]
Arson 2nd Degree	4	Arson Misdemeanor	5
Bad Check Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Arson - Threat	4
Bad Check Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000	4	Attempted Arson	5
Bad Check Felony - \$1,500 to < \$25,000	5	Bad Check Misdemeanor	5
Bad Check Felony - Multiple w/in 30 Days (\$1,500 to \$25,000)	5	Breaking and Entering Motor Vehicles	5
Breaking and Entering a Research Facility	5	Burglary 4th Degree	5
Cloned Wireless Phone - Possession with Intent to Distribute, Distribution, Manufacturing or Sell	4	Code Grabbing Device	5
Credit Card Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Contraband - Possess, Possess with Intent to Deliver, Receive Telecommunications Device, Accessories	5
Credit Card Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000	4	Credit Card Misdemeanor	5
Credit Card Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000	5	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	5
Credit Card - Unlawfully Use or Disclose	4	Cruelty to Animals - Surgery on Dogs; Unauthorized Surgical Devocalization	5
Cruelty to Animals - Felony Racehorse	5	False Alarm	4
False Statement - Fake Bomb	4	False Report	5
False Statement - Rumor as to Bomb	4	Forgery - Prescription	5
Forgery, Counterfeit &/or Uttering-Felony	4	Forgery, Counterfeit &/or Uttering - Misd	5
Fraud Felony (Medicaid)	4	Fraud	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Fraud Misdemeanor (Medicaid)	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000	4	Gambling	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000	5	Harassment - Use of Interactive Computer Service to Disclose Personal Identifying Information to Annoy, Threaten, Harass	5
Identity Fraud - Intent to Manufacture/Distribute Personally Identifying Information	4	Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person	5
Malicious Burning - Felony	5	Identity Fraud Misdemeanor	5
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	Malicious Burning - Misdemeanor	5
Railroad Obstructing	4	Malicious Destruction	5
Theft Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Possession of Dangerous or Wild Animals	5
Theft Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000	4	Telephone Misuse	5
Theft Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000	5	Theft Misdemeanor	5
Trademark Counterfeiting-Felony	4	Trademark Counterfeiting-Misdemeanor	5
Verbal Threat - Extortion	4	Transfer Recorded Sound/Images w/o Consent	5
Written Threat	4	Trespassing	5
		Unauthorized Removal of Property	5

[^]The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

Appendix R (cont.)

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Drug Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity [^]	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity [^]
CDS - Import Certain Amount into State	3	CDS - False Prescription	5
CDS - Using Minors for Manufacture or Distribution	3	CDS - Possession Marijuana > 10 Grams	5
CDS (Cocaine, Heroin, PCP, LSD, Oxy., Meth.)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	3	CDS - Possession Other	5
CDS (Marijuana) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Distribution of Inhalants	5
CDS (Other) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Drug Paraphernalia	5
CDS - Distribution (Fake CDS)	4	Non-CDS, Possession	5
CDS (Marij/ Amphet/ Valium/ Ecstasy < 750 grams/ Bup./ Countrft)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	4	Paraphernalia Controlled - Possess or Distribute - Marijuana	5
Receipt of Proceeds From CDS Offenses	4	Paraphernalia Controlled - Possess or Distribute - Non-Marijuana	5
		Use of Inhalants	5
Unspecified Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity [^]	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity [^]
Conspiracy to Commit Any (Felony) Offense	3	Conspiracy to Commit Any (Misdemeanor) Offense	5
Unspecified Felony	3	Traffic Violation Incarcerable	5
		Unspecified Misdemeanor	5
Other Offenses			
Citation Offenses	Offense Severity [^]	Ordinance Offenses	Offense Severity [^]
Alcohol Possession on School Premises	5	BB Gun / Pellet Gun	5
Alcoholic Beverage Violation	5	Discharging Firearms	5
CDS - Possession Marijuana < 10 Grams	5	Fireworks Violation	5
Lottery Restrictions (Tables Games or Video Lottery Terminals, Facility) Less than 21 years	5	Loitering	5
Pager at School	5	Tampering	5
Tobacco Violation	5	Violation of Local Ordinance	5
Traffic Violation Non-Incarcerable	5	Violation of Natural Resources Article	5
Vaporizer Violation (Electronic Cigarette)*	5		
Child in Need of Supervision (CINS)	Offense Severity [^]	Violation of Probation	Offense Severity [^]
Runaway	5	Violation of Felony Probation	3
Truant	5	Violation of Misdemeanor Probation	5
Ungovernable	5		

[^]The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

* Active as of 10/1/2018

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES

OFFENSES INCLUDED IN THE INTAKE & COMMUNITY SUPERVISION OFFENSE TYPE TABLES AS OTHER PERSON, OTHER PROPERTY, AND ORDINANCE. THE OFFENSES ARE LISTED BASED ON FY 2018 INTAKE CASES.

The following information references back to the Intake and Community Supervision Section's Offense Type tables. Space was limited on those pages and these caveats were not able to be placed directly under those tables. They provide a detailed list of the specific offenses that were categorized under "Other Person", "Other Property" and "Ordinance Offenses" respectively.

⁺Other Person includes: Affray; Burglary - Home Invasion - Breaking and Entering with Intent to Commit Crime of Violence; Destructive Devices; Disturbing School Activities or Personnel; Disturbing the Peace; Electronic Mail Abuse; Escape 1st Degree; Escape 2nd Degree - Remove Monitoring Device; Escape 2nd Degree - Secure Facility; Gang Offense - Commission of Crime; Gang Offense - School; Judicial Process - Influence or Intimidate - Felony; Obstructing Justice - Tamper with or Fabricate Physical Evidence; Poisoning; Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering, Common Law; Reckless Endangerment; Resisting Arrest; Retaliating Against a Victim or Witness; Rioting; Stalking; Threat of Mass Violence; and Visual Surveillance-Unlawful.

⁺⁺Other Property includes: Code Grabbing Device; Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor; Cruelty to Animals - Surgery on Dogs ; Unauthorized Surgical Devocalization Cat, Dog; False Alarm; False Report; False Statement - Rumor as to Bomb; Forgery-Counterfeit; Forgery-Counterfeit &/or Uttering-Felony; Forgery-Counterfeit &/or Uttering-Misdemeanor; Forgery-Prescription; Fraud-Misdemeanor; Gambling; Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person; Identity Fraud - Misdemeanor > \$100 to \$1,500; Possess - Obtain - Help Another Obtain Re-Encoder or Skimming Device; Telephone Misuse; Trespassing; and Written Threat.

⁺⁺⁺Ordinance Offenses category includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun; Discharging Firearms; Fireworks Violation; Loitering; Tampering; Violation of Local Ordinance; and Violation of Natural Resources Article.

Appendix S

OFFENSES RELATED TO YOUTH DETAINED PENDING ADULT CRIMINAL CHARGES

AUTOMATIC ADULT JURISDICTION		
Source: §3-8A-03 of the Maryland Courts & Judicial Proceedings Article		
AGE 14 AND OLDER	AGE 16 AND OLDER	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Murder – 1ST Degree • Rape – 1ST Degree • Any attempts of above • Any conspiracies of above 	Serious/Violent Offenses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kidnapping • Assault – 1st Degree (CL, §3-202) • Carjacking & Armed Carjacking (CL, §3-405) • Murder – 2nd Degree <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempted Murder – 2nd Degree (CL, §2-206) • Voluntary Manslaughter • Rape – 2nd Degree <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempted Rape – 2nd Degree (CL, §3-310) • Robbery w/ Dangerous Weapon <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempted Robbery w/ Dangerous Weapon (CL, §3-403) • Sex offense – 3rd (CL, §3-307(a)(1) (IF force, a weapon, or threat of force used)) 	Firearms Offenses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wear, Carry, Transport Handgun (CL, §4-203) • Possession of Unregistered Short-barreled Shotgun or Short-barreled Rifle (PS, §5-203(a)) • Possession of Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-133 - convicted of prior disqualifying crime, etc.) • Minor in Possession of Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-133(d)(1)) • Use Wear, Carry, Transport Firearm during Drug Trafficking Crime (CL, §5-621) • Use of Handgun in Commission of Crime of Violence or Felony (CL, §4-204) • Possess, Own, Carry, Transport Firearm by Drug Felon (CL, §5-622) • Possess, Sell, Transfer Stolen Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-138) • Sell, Rent, Transfer Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-134(b)) • Sell, Rent, Transfer Regulated Firearm to Minor (PS, §5-134(d)) • Use or Possess Machine Gun in commission/attempted commission of Crime of Violence (CL, §4-404) • Use or Possess machine Gun for Offensive or Aggressive Purpose (CL, §4-405) Miscellaneous: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any felony IF prior adult felony conviction • Non-incarcerable Traffic & Boating Offenses • Domestic Violence Peace Order where the victim is: the current or former spouse of the respondent; a cohabitant of the respondent; a person related to the respondent by blood, marriage or adoption; a parent, stepparent, child, or stepchild of the respondent or victim who resides or resided with the respondent or victim for at least 90 days within 1 year before the filing of the petition; a vulnerable adult; or an individual who has a child in common with the respondent. CP, §3-8A-03(d)(6).
Abbreviations: CL = Criminal Law Article CP = Criminal Procedure Article PS = Public Safety Article		
Automatic Adult Jurisdiction – When Transfer to Juvenile Court is Prohibited (CP, §4-202(c)) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In an unrelated case, Juvenile was <u>convicted</u> for violation of any of the above offenses (excluding “non-incarcerable traffic & boating”) • Alleged crime is 1st degree murder and the juvenile was 16 or 17 years of age when the alleged crime was committed 		

Appendix T

ACCOUNTABILITY INCENTIVES MANAGEMENT (AIM)

AIM grids are designed to structure case manager decision-making when responding to positive or negative behaviors of supervised youth. The Graduated Responses grid determines an appropriate response based on the level of infraction and the level of youth supervision. Responses range from a verbal warning at the lowest level, to requesting a formal violation at the highest. This structured process supports the Department's efforts to reward positive behaviors and objectively identify and sanction violations, which reduces inequalities and increases successful completion rates among youth under supervision.

GRADUATED RESPONSES - COMMUNITY SUPERVISION CASES

	Low Supervision	Moderate Supervision	High/Intensive Supervision
Minor Infraction*	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Verbal Warning Make up Missed Appointments 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Verbal Warning Make up Missed Appointments Written Essay or Oral Report Assigned Reading or Video Viewing Community Service (up to 4 hours) 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Verbal Warning Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 1 day Make up Missed Appointments Written Essay or Oral Report Assigned Reading or Video Viewing Community Service (up to 10 hours) Restrict Activity (Short-Term) Modify Curfew (Extended-Term)
Moderate Infraction**	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Verbal Warning Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 3 days Community Service (up to 4 hours) 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Verbal Warning Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 1 day Community Service (up to 8 hours) Written Essay or Oral Report Assigned Reading or Video Viewing Restrict Activity (Short-Term) Modify Curfew (Short-Term) Increase Supervision Contacts 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 1 day Make up Missed Appointments Written Essay/Oral Report Assigned Reading or Video Viewing Community Service (up to 12 hours) Restrict Activity (Short-Term) Modify Curfew (Extended-Term) Increase Supervision Contacts Increase drug screenings (if applicable) GPS for 30 Days (Intensive Level ONLY) File VOP
Serious Infraction***	Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 1 day Community Service (up to 6 hours) Modify Curfew (Short-Term) Increase Supervision Level to Moderate 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 2 days Make up Missed Appointments Written Essay or Oral Report Assigned Reading or Video Viewing Community Service (up to 10 hours) Restrict Activity (Extended-Term) Modify Curfew (Extended-Term) Increase Supervision Contacts Increase drug screenings (if applicable) Increase Supervision Level to High 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 1 day Make up Missed Appointments Written Essay/Oral Report Assigned Reading or Video Viewing Community Service (up to 14 hours) Restrict Activity (Short-Term) Modify Curfew (Extended-Term) Increase Supervision Contacts Increase drug screenings (if applicable) GPS for 30 Days (Intensive Level ONLY) File VOP

* Programs/Interventions for minor infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services; for moderate supervision, additional options include Evening Reporting Center and Community-Based Advocacy; for high/intensive supervision Evidence-Based Programs are available as well.

** Programs/Interventions for moderate infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services, Outpatient Treatment (if applicable); for moderate supervision, additional options include Evening Reporting Center (if available), Evidence-Based Program Services, Family Services, and Drug Court; for high/intensive supervision, Care Management Entities are available as well.

*** Programs/Interventions for serious infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Crisis Intervention Services, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services, Outpatient Treatment (if applicable), and Youth Advocacy Program Services; for moderate supervision, additional options include Evidence-Based Program Services and Crisis Intervention Services; for high/intensive supervision, Care Management Entities are available as well.

Appendix T (cont.)

ACCOUNTABILITY INCENTIVES MANAGEMENT (AIM)

DJS GRID OF INCENTIVIZED* BEHAVIORS - COMMUNITY SUPERVISION CASES

	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 0-30 days	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 31 – 90 days (1-3 mos.)	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 91-180 days (4-6 mos.)	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 181 to Indefinite (>6 months)
COMPLIANCE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational Program - Improves school attendance - Attends court-ordered Cognitive Programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments with reminders - Abides by curfew - Improved behavior in school - Improved grades in school - Abides by set family/house rules - Locates and/or begins court-ordered community service - Begins paying restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Improves school attendance - Attends and/or completes court-ordered Cognitive programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments without reminders - Abides by curfew - Improved behavior in school - Improved grades in school - Abides by set family/house rules - Clean urine screen/drug free - Completes at least 50% of court-ordered community service - Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Attends school and all classes as scheduled - Attends and/or completes court-ordered Cognitive programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments without reminders - Abides by curfew - Improved behavior in school - Maintains grade improvements - Abides by set family/house rules - Clean urine screen/drug free - Completes at least 75% of court-ordered community service - Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Attends school and all classes as scheduled - Attends and/or completes court-ordered cognitive programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments without Reminders - Abides by curfew - Improved behavior in school - Maintains grade improvements - Abides by set family/house rules - Clean urine screen/drug free - Completes 100% of court-ordered community service - Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions
PROSOCIAL INVOLVEMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joined/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school/community) - Participates in additional services - Detaches from negative peer groups - Establishes at least one personal goal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joined/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community) - Participates in additional services - Remains detached from negative peer groups - Makes progress toward or completes at least one personal goal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joined/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community) - Participates in additional services - Remains detached from negative peer groups - Makes progress toward or completes at least one personal goal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joined/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community) - Participates in additional services - Remains detached from negative peer groups - Completes at least one personal goal
SELF-ADVOCACY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition

*The incentives listed below are not a comprehensive list and are only representative of the types of incentives offered, the availability of which varies by region:

Incentives for minor achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0 - 30 days, incentives range from verbal praise to a positive letter sent home on their behalf;
- Within 31 - 90 days, incentives range from verbal praise to invitation to a monthly Recognition Ceremony;
- 91 days or greater, incentives range from verbal praise to being given additional school supplies.

Incentives for modest achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0 - 30 days, incentives range from being given school supplies to being provided with additional personal hygiene supplies; for modest behaviors achieved;
- Within 31 - 90 days, incentives range from being offered school supplies to being given formal Court recognition;
- 91 - 180 days, incentives range from being given books/magazines to being provided a job shadowing opportunity;
- 181 days or greater, incentives range from being given books/magazines to being given college/university gear.

Incentives for major achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0 - 30 days, incentives are meal coupons or meals for the youth's family;
- Within 31 - 90 days, incentives range from being given recreation center passes to participation in field trips;
- 91 - 180 days, incentives range from being given tickets to sporting events to having their case formally petitioned for closure;
- 181 days or greater, incentives range from being given movie tickets to being offered the opportunity to have lunch with their case manager and judge.

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services
FY 2018 Statistical Information

MISSION OF THE MARYLAND
DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

Intake & Community Supervision Information

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2016-2018

Complaint Source	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Adult Court Transfers	1.3%	1.5%	2.0%
Citizen	2.5%	2.6%	2.2%
Police*	92.2%	91.8%	91.3%
Violation of Probation**	4.0%	4.0%	4.5%
Total Complaints	22,444	21,532	19,659

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS,
FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	63.8%	62.2%	62.0%
White	28.9%	29.9%	29.4%
Hispanic/Other	7.3%	8.0%	8.6%
Sex			
Male	73.8%	74.2%	73.6%
Female	26.2%	25.8%	26.4%
Age			
11 and under	3.6%	3.6%	3.9%
12	4.7%	4.4%	4.7%
13	7.9%	8.1%	8.4%
14	12.9%	13.3%	12.9%
15	19.7%	19.7%	18.9%
16	23.4%	22.3%	22.1%
17	25.1%	25.4%	25.9%
18-20	2.7%	3.3%	3.2%
Total Complaints	22,444	21,532	19,659

Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION⁺
FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2016-2018

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	35.9%	38.7%	39.8%
Informed	15.6%	14.5%	15.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	48.6%	46.8%	45.0%
Total Complaints*	22,444	21,532	19,659
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.4%	12.1%	12.3%
Stet	9.0%	8.7%	9.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.1%	0.8%	0.8%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	28.2%	26.7%	22.5%
Pending Disposition**	4.8%	7.1%	13.3%
Services Not Ordered	1.9%	1.1%	1.1%
Probation	29.1%	29.7%	28.2%
Committed to DJS	12.2%	12.4%	11.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other***	1.2%	1.2%	0.9%
Total Formal Complaints	10,897	10,080	8,849

+ Reflects the most serious disposition at court
* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG
*** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2018

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements ¹	New Probation Cases ²	New Commitment ²
Crime of Violence*	12.0%	31.0%	12.7%	31.3%
Felony	9.5%	21.5%	13.7%	14.6%
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	2.4%	2.3%	3.0%
- Property	5.1%	12.3%	3.9%	3.4%
- Drugs	2.6%	6.3%	2.2%	2.2%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.5%	5.2%	6.0%
Misdemeanor	67.1%	42.0%	72.1%	52.9%
- Person-to-Person	41.3%	24.4%	39.8%	28.7%
- Property	22.3%	14.5%	23.1%	18.8%
- Drugs	1.7%	1.6%	3.8%	1.6%
- Unspecified	1.9%	1.5%	5.5%	3.8%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
Citations	9.0%	2.3%	1.3%	1.0%
CINS	1.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	19,659	2,377 ⁺⁺	1,587	501

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
¹ Alleged offenses
² Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
⁺⁺ Includes 92 placements of youth from out-of-state

Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2018

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	432.5
Pre-Court	742.3
Probation	2,204.4
Aftercare	668.6
Committed-Aftercare	411.5

COMPLAINTS AND PLACEMENTS BY COUNTY/REGION,
FY 2018

County / Region	Intake Complaints	Pre-D Detention Placements	Post-D Pending Placements*	Post-Ejection Placements	Committed Placements
R-I-Balt. City	1,783	758	218	10	210
Baltimore City	1,783	758	218	10	210
R-II-Central	5,422	415	136	41	154
Baltimore Co.	3,556	263	71	17	74
Carroll	366	23	7	3	15
Harford	825	58	30	8	27
Howard	675	71	28	13	38
R-III-Western	1,969	186	66	14	80
Allegany	421	35	13	2	16
Frederick	610	49	28	9	30
Garrett	153	9	1	0	3
Washington	785	93	24	3	31
R-IV-Eastern	2,857	253	69	21	79
Caroline	245	8	2	1	1
Cecil	350	52	10	5	13
Dorchester	455	27	10	2	13
Kent	70	6	1	0	1
Queen Anne's	136	12	8	2	12
Somerset	178	16	6	2	6
Talbot	153	6	6	2	2
Wicomico	766	104	22	7	28
Worcester	504	22	4	0	3
R-V-Southern	4,259	235	128	23	133
Anne Arundel	2,559	98	82	18	80
Calvert	380	29	3	0	6
Charles	766	57	22	1	27
St. Mary's	554	51	21	4	20
R-VI-Metro	3,369	438	159	17	156
Montgomery	2,324	205	83	5	79
Prince George's	1,045	233	76	12	77
Out-of-State	N/A	92	7	1	13
Statewide	19,659	2,377	783	127	825

* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP.

Secure Detention Information

The Maryland Department of Juvenile Services owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility serves a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are Post-Disposition awaiting placement in a committed program (pending).

PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	79.8%	76.4%	77.4%
White	14.4%	17.4%	15.0%
Hispanic/Other	5.8%	6.2%	7.6%
Sex			
Male	85.6%	84.7%	83.7%
Female	14.4%	15.3%	16.3%
Age			
11 and under	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%
12	1.8%	1.0%	0.7%
13	4.7%	4.6%	4.0%
14	9.6%	12.1%	12.5%
15	18.7%	20.7%	21.0%
16	24.9%	23.3%	24.9%
17	28.1%	25.3%	24.4%
18-20	12.0%	12.8%	12.2%
Total Placements	3,643	2,991	2,679

PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2016-2018*

		Placements With DRAI	Placements No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2018	Pre-D	80.9%	19.1%	2,299	18.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	638	25.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	136	38.7
FY 2017	Pre-D	79.6%	20.4%	2,708	17.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	744	23.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	142	34.9
FY 2016	Pre-D	81.8%	18.2%	3,271	15.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	777	22.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	143	30.1

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

CAPACITY, ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND UTILIZATION, FY 2018

Facility	Capacity	Admissions*	ADP	Utilization
Alfred D. Noyes	57	390	24.0	42.1%
Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Ctr	120	760	49.3	41.1%
Charles H. Hickey School	72	515	36.5	50.7%
Cheltenham Youth Facility	72	522	31.6	43.9%
Lower Eastern Shore Children's Ctr	24	261	14.5	60.4%
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr	42	332	17.8	42.4%
Western MD Children's Center	24	239	12.6	52.5%
Total	411	2,679**	186.4	45.3%

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

**Total represents placements not admissions

Committed Placement Information

A range of out-of-home program options (or placements) have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a Foster Home, Group Home, or Independent Living Program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operates six facilities in Maryland and contracts with others both in-state and out-of-state.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016-2018

Demographics	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	72.7%	69.2%	72.6%
White	20.7%	21.9%	18.7%
Hispanic/Other	6.6%	8.9%	8.7%
Sex			
Male	84.0%	84.0%	88.7%
Female	16.0%	16.0%	11.3%
Age			
11 and under	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.9%	0.5%	0.2%
13	4.6%	3.0%	2.5%
14	9.3%	8.2%	9.6%
15	16.7%	20.5%	17.9%
16	27.1%	26.9%	29.7%
17	29.5%	26.9%	28.1%
18-20	11.8%	13.9%	11.9%
Total Placements	1,062	988	825

COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2016-2018, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2018

	Placements			FY2018	
	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	61	40	31	23.6	271.9
Group Home	237	220	188	90.2	169.4
Indep. Living	23	15	12	11.7	313.6
ICFA	85	56	17	1.4	24.4
RTC	227	206	157	82.5	180.9
State Operated	494	456	405	118.2	117.4
<i>Staff Secure</i>	394	360	331	85.5	108.5
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	100	96	74	32.7	142.4
Silver Oak	54	71	43	34.9	228.5
Out-of-State	53	61	75	49.0	275.1
<i>RTC</i>	6	8	7	6.2	184.8
<i>Staff Secure</i>	25	37	51	27.2	283.2
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	22	16	17	15.7	346.0
Total*	1,062	988	825	411.5	169.1


⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category, for example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

* Statewide total placement count excludes transfers within and between program/program types, and therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.

Financial Information

FY 2018 OPERATING EXPENDITURES*

TOTAL:
\$265.9 MILLION



* Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

STATE OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY 2018

	Per Diem Cost	Avg. Annual Cost
Baltimore City	\$575	\$210,048
Carter	\$1,057	\$385,719
Cheltenham	\$995	\$363,019
Green Ridge ¹	\$458	\$166,989
Hickey	\$753	\$274,898
L. Eastern Shore ¹	\$872	\$318,273
Noyes	\$736	\$268,667
Statewide Youth Centers ^{1*}	\$958	\$359,146
Victor Cullen	\$1,048	\$382,361
Waxter	\$1,137	\$414,929
Western Maryland	\$734	\$268,003

¹Per Diem Cost for LESCO & Green Ridge and Avg. Annual Cost for LESCO & Statewide Youth Centers were misreported in the FY17 DRG. Correct figures have been updated online.

*Only includes Backbone Mountain, Meadow Mountain, and Savage Mountain (closed Sept. 2017 through the end of the FY.)

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services
Division of Support Services
One Center Plaza
120 West Fayette Street
Baltimore, MD 21201
www.djs.maryland.gov

Baltimore County Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Preston Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Bennisville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ichester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Forest Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill Cheverly Chillum Clinton College Park College Park Woods Colmar Manor Coral Hills Cottage City Croom Daniels Park District Heights Eagle Harbor East Riverdale Edmonston Fairmount Heights Forest Heights Forestville Fort Washington Friendly Glassmanor Glenarden Glenn Dale Goddard Greenbelt Hardesty Hillcrest Heights Hollywood Hyattsville Kaywood Gardens Kettering Lake Arbor Landover Landover Hills Landover Park Langley Park Lanham Largo Laurel Marlboro Meadows Marlow Heights Marlton Mitchellville Morningside Mount Rainier New Carrollton New Hampshire Gardens North Brentwood Oxon Hill Peppermill Village Queenstown Riverdale Park Rosaryville Seabrook Seat Pleasant South Laurel Springbrook Terrace Springdale Springhill Lake Suitland Temple Hills University Park Upper Marlboro Walker Mill West Laurel Westphalia Woodlawn Woodmore **Queen Anne's County** Barclay Centreville Chester Church Hill Grasonville Kent Narrows Kingstown Queen Anne Queenstown Stevensville Sudlersville **Somerset County** Chance Crisfield Dames Quarter Deal Island Eden Fairmount Frenchtown Mount Vernon Princess Anne Rumbley Smith Island West Pocomoke **St. Mary's County** California Charlotte Hall Golden Beach Leonardtown Lexington Park Mechanicsville Piney Point Saint George Island Tall Timbers **Talbot County** Cordova Easton Oxford Saint Aubins Heights Saint Michaels Tilghman Island Trappe **Washington County** Antietam Bagtown Bakersville Beaver Creek Big Pool Big Spring Blue Hill Boonsboro Breathedsville Brownsville Cascade Cavetown Cearfoss Charlton Chewsville Clear Spring Conococheague Dargan Downsview Eakles Mills Edgemont Ernstville Fairplay Fairview Fountain Head Funkstown Gapland Garretts Mill Greensburg Hagerstown Halfway Hancock Highfield Indian Springs Juxtown Keedysville Leitersburg Long Meadow Mapleville Maugansville Mercersville Mount Aetna Mount Briar Mount Lena Myersdale Orchard Hills Paramount Pecktonville Pinesburg Pondsview Reid Ringgold Robinwood Rohrsersville Rohrsersville Station Saint James San Mar Sandy Hook Sharpsburg Smithsburg Tilghmanton Trego Williamsport Wilson Yarrowsburg **Wicomico County** Allen Bivalve Delmar Fruitland Hebron Jesterville Lincoln Heights Mardela Springs Nanticoke Nanticoke Acres Parsonsburg Pittsville Powellville Quantico Salisbury Sharptown Spring Grove Tyaskin Waterview Whitehaven Willards **Worcester County** Berlin Bishopville Girdletree Newark North Ocean City Ocean City Ocean Pines Pocomoke City Snow Hill Stockton West Ocean City Whaleyville **Allegany County** Barreilville Barton Bel Air Bier Bowling Green Bowmans Addition Carlos Clarysville Corriganville Cresaptown Cumberland Danville Dawson Detmold Eckhart Mines Ellerslie Flintstone Franklin Frostburg Gilmore Grahamtown Klondike La Vale Little Orleans Lonaconing Luke McCoole Midland Midlothian Moscow Mount Savage National Nikep Ocean Oldtown Pleasant Grove Potomac Park Rawlings South Cumberland Spring Gap Vale Summit Westernport Woodland Zihlman **Anne Arundel County** Admiral Heights Annapolis Arden on the Severn Arnold Brooklyn Park Cape Saint Claire Crofton Crownsville Deale Eastport Edgewater Ferndale Forest Villa Friendship Galesville Gambrells Germantown Glen Burnie Green Haven Herald Harbor Highland Beach Hillsmere Shores Jessup Lake Shore Linthicum Londontowne Maryland City Mayo Odenton Parole Pasadena Primrose Acres Pumphrey Riva Riviera Beach Selby-on-the-Bay Severn Severna Park Shady Side South Gate Truxton Heights Tyler Heights Victor Haven Wardour West Annapolis **Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Presto Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Bennisville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ichester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Fores Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sady Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill